## Vietnam





## **Table of Contents**

Chapter 1	1
Country Overview	1
Country Overview	2
Key Data	3
Vietnam	4
Southeast Asia	5
Chapter 2	7
Political Overview	7
History	8
Political Conditions	10
Political Risk Index	20
Political Stability	34
Freedom Rankings	50
Human Rights	61
Government Functions	64
Government Structure	66
Principal Government Officials	68
Leader Biography	69
Leader Biography	69
Foreign Relations	69
National Security	75
Defense Forces	76
Chapter 3	78
Economic Overview	78
Economic Overview	79
Nominal GDP and Components	82
Population and GDP Per Capita	84
Real GDP and Inflation	85
Government Spending and Taxation	86
Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment	88
Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate	89
Data in US Dollars	90
Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units	91

Energy Consumption and Production QUADS	93
World Energy Price Summary	94
CO2 Emissions	95
Agriculture Consumption and Production	96
World Agriculture Pricing Summary	99
Metals Consumption and Production	100
World Metals Pricing Summary	103
Economic Performance Index	104
Chapter 4	116
Investment Overview	116
Foreign Investment Climate	117
Foreign Investment Index	120
Corruption Perceptions Index	133
Competitiveness Ranking	144
Taxation	153
Stock Market	154
Partner Links	154
Chapter 5	156
Social Overview	156
People	157
Human Development Index	158
Life Satisfaction Index	162
Happy Planet Index	173
Status of Women	182
Global Gender Gap Index	185
Culture and Arts	195
Etiquette	195
Travel Information	196
Diseases/Health Data	207
Chapter 6	213
Environmental Overview	213
Environmental Issues	214
Environmental Policy	215
Greenhouse Gas Ranking	216
Global Environmental Snapshot	227
Global Environmental Concepts	238

International Environmental Agreements and Associations	253
Appendices	277
Bibliography	278

## Chapter 1 Country Overview

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 1 of 290 pages

## **Country Overview**

#### **VIETNAM**

Vietnam is a country in Southeast Asia. The French began the conquest of Vietnam in 1858, and in the 1880s, all of present-day Vietnam, Cambodia and Laos came under control of France as French Indochina. During World War II, Japan took control of French Indochina until its defeat in 1945, but France continued to rule Vietnam until 1954 when Vietnamese Communists led by Ho Chi Minh gained control of North Vietnam. Under the Geneva Accords of 1954, Vietnam was divided into the Communist North and anti-Communist South. US economic and military aid to South Vietnam began in the early 1960s and ended, after years of conflict, following a cease-fire agreement in 1973. Communist victory and reunification of the country as the Socialist Republic of Vietnam was achieved in 1975. For over a decade after reunification, Vietnam experienced little economic growth because of its centralized economic policies and growing international isolation, while Vietnam's 1978 invasion of Cambodia resulted in tensions and fighting with China. Vietnam began to emerge from international isolation after it withdrew its troops from Cambodia in 1989. China re-established full diplomatic ties with Vietnam in 1991, and a visit to Vietnam by United States President Bill Clinton in 2000 was the culmination of the efforts by both countries to normalize relations. In 1986, Vietnam initiated economic reforms aimed at moving from a planned economy to a market economy. Dramatic progress has since been made in economic development, and the country's economy has become one of the fastest-growing in the world.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 2 of 290 pages

## **Key Data**

Key Data		
Region:	Southeast Asia	
Population:	94348832	
Climate:	Tropical in south; monsoonal in north with hot rainy season	
Languages:	Vietnamese Chinese English French Khmer Tribal languages	
Currency:	1 new dong (D) = $100 \text{ xu}$	
Holiday:	Independence Day, 2 September 1945	
Area Total:	329560	
Area Land:	325360	
Coast Line:	3444	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 3 of 290 pages

#### Vietnam

## Country Map



Vietnam Review 2016 Page 4 of 290 pages

### **Southeast Asia**

## Regional Map



Vietnam Review 2016 Page 5 of 290 pages

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 6 of 290 pages

# Chapter 2 Political Overview

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 7 of 290 pages

## **History**

Ancestors of the Vietnamese originally lived in today's southern China and northern Vietnam. Vietnam borders China in the north and Laos and Cambodia in the west.

In 111 before the common era (B.C.E.), the Chinese under the Han dynasty conquered the Vietnamese inhabiting present-day southern China and northern Vietnam. The region was under Chinese rule for more than 1,000 years.

In 939 in the common era (C.E.), the Vietnamese drove out the Chinese conquerors and began expanding southward along the eastern side of Southeast Asia's Indochina peninsula. They reached the Gulf of Siam by the mid-18<sup>th</sup> century.

During the 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> centuries, Vietnam saw internal struggles for ruling powers, and the country was divided politically in the midst of warfare between noble families.

In the late 18<sup>th</sup> century, a civil war started with a peasant rebellion and Vietnam was reunified. Soon after, the country was under attack by European colonialism. In 1884, Vietnam was integrated into the French empire after about 30 years of colonial resistance.

The Vietnamese were not happy existing under the aegis of colonial rule, and there was constant resistance against the French control. Still, the anticolonial movement -- led by the nationalists -- was unsuccessful because of strategy disagreements among leaders, providing an opportunity for communists to take charge of the movement.

Ho Chi Minh, who was a charter member of the French Communist Party in Paris in 1920, studied Marxist doctrine in the Soviet Union and went to China as a representative of Comintern, an association of communist parties worldwide, created in 1919 by Vladimir Lenin and eventually disbanded in 1943

In 1930, Ho Chi Minh formed the Vietnamese Revolutionary Youth League in China as a preparatory step for the formation of the Indochinese Communist Party. With anti-colonial activism on the increase, there was a concomitant increase in repression by the French colonial powers, aimed at fending off insurgency. The communists, along with the nationalists, had to either go

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 8 of 290 pages

underground or escape to China as a result. Some of them - Ho Chi Minh included - were imprisoned.

In 1933, Ho Chi Minh was released. During World War II in China, he organized the Viet Minh, a coalition of anti-French Vietnamese groups that led the movement against the Japanese after they occupied Vietnam. After the Japanese surrendered, the Viet Minh lost no time forming a Democratic Republic of Vietnam, or DRV, proclaiming independence for the country Sept. 2, 1945.

When the allied forces arrived in Vietnam in late September 1945, the DRV was forced to negotiate with the French for future settlement of Vietnam, while the Vietnamese communists and noncommunist nationalists were deeply divided in their political views.

In December 1946, as negotiations with the French failed to reach any agreement, a civil war started among the Vietnamese communists, the French and the Vietnamese nationalists.

On July 20, 1954, at the Geneva Conference, France signed the Agreement on the Cessation of Hostilities in Vietnam, thus ending the eight-year war in Vietnam and French colonial rule in Indochina. However, Vietnam was left a divided nation after the Geneva Conference, with the northern part led by the communist Ho Chi Minh and the South by United States-supported Ngo Dinh Diem.

In 1957, communist insurgency started in South Vietnam; weapons and men from the North began infiltrating the South. From 1960, United States aid to the Ngo Dinh Diem regime increased. During President Kennedy's administration, about 12,000 United States military advisors were sent to train the South Vietnamese troops fighting North Vietnamese-sponsored communist forces. Communist guerrillas, called the Viet Cong, defeated units of the South Vietnamese Army, or ARVN.

On Nov. 1, 1963, the Diem regime was overthrown and Ngo Dinh Diem was assassinated. Several more regime changes occurred in South Vietnam during the following years of war.

In 1964, United States military involvement in the Vietnam conflict escalated, authorized by the United States Congress after one confirmed attack and another alleged one by North Vietnamese patrol boats on United States naval vessels in the Gulf of Tonkin.

In 1965, 200,000 United States combat troops were sent to South Vietnam, and in 1967, the number of United States troops in Vietnam rose to 500,000.

The United States government lost home support for the war after the 1968 Tet Offensive, a combined assault by the Viet Cong and North Vietnamese army on United States positions that resulted in short-term territorial gains by the communists and very heavy American and ARVN casualties in the subsequent struggle to retake lost ground.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 9 of 290 pages

In 1969, President Nixon ordered withdrawal of United States ground troops from Vietnam as aerial bombardment intensified. In 1970, Nixon's national security advisor, Henry Kissinger, and North Vietnam's Le Duc Tho started peace talks in Paris.

In March 1973, United States troops completed withdrawal from Vietnam after the United States and North Vietnam signed a cease-fire agreement in Paris. The United States involvement in Vietnam finally ended April 30, 1975, when the last United States Marines were evacuated from the embassy roof in Saigon. A few hours later, North Vietnamese troops took control of the whole country and South Vietnamese President Duong Van Minh surrendered to the North.

On July 2, 1976, a reunified Vietnam was proclaimed under the name Socialist Republic of Vietnam.

United States involvement in Vietnam started at the height of the Cold War, with the stated aim to contain communism's spread. It ended with the United States investment of \$150 billion as well as 58,000 American lives and those of three million Vietnamese.

Twenty-seven years after the war, Vietnam remains under the control of communist regime that established full hegemony over the nation in 1975, and scars from the war still affect the relationship between the United States and Vietnam.

Vietnam suffered from economic difficulties in the 1980s, and in 1988, there was even a famine in northern Vietnam. The severe economic situation eventually forced the government to adopt a market-led economy and encourage foreign investment in the early 1990s.

While Vietnam remains a communist country, economic development has become the national priority, and adherence to ideological orthodoxy has become less important.

Note on History: In certain entries, open source content from the State Department Background Notes and Country Guides have been used. A full listing of sources is available in the Bibliography.

## **Political Conditions**

In late 1997, Vietnam's government experienced changes in two of its top three positions. Phan

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 10 of 290 pages

Van Khai and Tran Duc Luong were chosen new prime minister and president, respectively. Like his predecessor, Vo Van Kiet, Prime Minister Phan Van Khai was an advocate of market-style reforms and was expected to continue the economic restructuring program initiated in 1986.

At the time, General Secretary Do Muoi stayed in power to facilitate a smooth transition, but in January 1998, he was replaced by Lt. Gen. Le Kha Phieu. Le Kha Phieu was elected by old-guard communists who were looking to slow the pace of economic reform and keep the economy under tight state control.

Despite possible conflicts over economic policy, all three new leaders agreed the government appeared to have lost touch with the people and sensed growing discontent over high taxes and corruption.

Riots in the Thai Binh and Dong Nai provinces -- prompted by tax hikes, land acquisition and corruption among local officials -- sparked a concerted response by the Communist Party in early 1998. The government, which blamed the riots on press announcements of government reacquisition and sale of Roman Catholic Church property, began by imposing tight restrictions on journalists, including control of information supplied to them, and increased monitoring.

In May 1999, laws were passed that would require the news media to pay compensation to parties damaged by their reporting, regardless of the factual basis of the report.

Also in May 1999, Vietnam's ruling Communist Party launched a two-year campaign of criticism and self-criticism among its 2.4 million members to shore up the image of the party, which had become tarnished by corruption at all levels.

In January 1999, three officials were executed and 17 more jailed for embezzling more than \$50 million from Tamexco, a state-run import-export company.

In March, anti-corruption laws were introduced that required senior civil servants to report assets and imposed punishments on officials who accept bribes. The same month, the Communist Party called for measures to delineate people's rights and enforce them at a local level.

In May 1999, 77 people went on trial for defrauding state banks of hundreds of millions of dollars. All 77 defendants in the case, including 51 business directors or deputy directors and 18 bank officials, were charged with defaulting and appropriating public properties worth 5,186 billion Vietnam dong, or about \$US350 million.

In a six-month period ending in July 1999, 51 Communist Party members from Ho Chi Minh City were stripped of their membership, expelled mainly for corrupt behavior in economic activities and intentional violations of state laws. Another 154 party members received disciplinary sanctions.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 11 of 290 pages

The campaign against corruption was part of a greater package of reforms designed to overcome the economic crisis and enhance Vietnam's investment climate.

Earlier, in December 1997, new banking laws implemented by the National Assembly gave more control over monetary policy and supervision of commercial banks to the Central Bank. Then, in February 1998, the government devalued the dong by five percent and again in August by seven percent to compete regionally for foreign investment. At the same time, nearly 200 state companies were slated for privatization. Further reforms were implemented in July that introduced financial incentives for foreign investors.

In January 1999, shares in a national company were sold to foreigners for the first time. That same month, the government took the unprecedented step of disclosing details of the national budget, although specifics of the defense and public security budget were excluded.

In September 1999, the government decided to allow about 30 percent of state-owned enterprises to sell, lease, transfer or entrust their businesses to speed up shifts to profitability. In November 1999, the government began eliminating army control of 200 businesses that were not security-related.

Meanwhile, the Vietnamese government came under fire in 1998 and 1999 by international groups for its poor human rights record. In addition to the tight media controls implemented by the government and lack of religious freedom, Vietnam was criticized for suppressing criticism of the Communist Party and its policies. Legislation allowed the detention of individuals for up to two years without trial if accused of threatening national security.

The sentencing of 30 protesters against the sale of Roman Catholic Church property in 1997 was also condemned by international groups and the European Union. Perhaps in response to such criticism, the government freed 5,000 prisoners in August 1998. However, some dissidents were required to leave the country upon release.

In November 1998, the secretary-general of the Communist Party said human rights values would be introduced only in accordance with the national situation and not dictated by foreign entities.

The Communist Party continued to suppress dissenting views, even within itself. In 1999, two members were dismissed for criticizing the party. In March 1999, the government announced it would not allow human rights investigations.

In February 2000, Vietnam was criticized in the United States Department of State human rights report. The document described Vietnam as having an all-powerful one-party government as well as serious problems with human rights. The government continued to repress basic political

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 12 of 290 pages

freedoms and numerous abuses, the report said. Vietnam's single-party politics continued to take action against those who criticize the government, the State Department also said.

In March 2002, the United States Department of State released the human rights report for 2001, which claimed that among other human rights violations, "The Government continued to repress basic political and some religious freedoms and abuses by the Government increased." In response, Vietnam denounced the report. Vietnamese officials have stressed they do not imprison people for their political or religious beliefs, but rather that those who are in prison are there for having broken the law or threatened national security.

As a communist party-dominated country, religious freedom remains a highly sensitive subject for authorities. The government only recognizes five official religious leaderships in the country, and all other religious groups are outlawed. People have been detained because of their religious beliefs, and some have risked their lives to protest against the government's policy toward religion.

In September 2001, Ho Tan Anh, a member of the outlawed Unified Buddhist Church of Vietnam, set himself on fire and died in protest of the repression of religious freedoms by the communist authorities.

In October, a Roman Catholic priest in Vietnam was sentenced to 15 years in prison for undermining national unity and violating a detention order. The priest, Father Thadeus Nguyen Van ly, wrote to a United States congressional committee in March urging the lawmakers not to ratify a bilateral trade agreement until Vietnam eased restrictions on religious freedoms. He was put under house arrest shortly afterward and taken into custody in May. The priest was a longtime critic of the Vietnamese government and had previously spent 10 years in prison for speaking out in opposition.

The issue of repression continued to emerge the following year. In 2002, the Communist Party ordered the seizure and destruction of several unauthoriszd books penned by notable dissidents.

Earlier, during 2001, a refugee crisis arose as a result of the violent land riots of February and March of that year. Thousands of ethnic Central Highlanders gathered to protest the loss of their ancestral forests to coffee plantations, the influx of Vietnamese migrant workers clearing the land for the plantations and the religious restrictions imposed upon Protestants by the government.

Throughout the rest of 2001, approximately 1000 ethnic Montagnards fled to Cambodia to escape the persecution they endured after the riots. The Cambodians refused to grant the refugees asylumstatus and Vietnam refused to recognize the refugees as such and instead considered them illegal immigrants. There were reports of both countries taking the refugees back to Vietnam by force.

The United Nations Committee on Refugees (known by the acronym UNHCR) intervened in

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 13 of 290 pages

January 2002 by creating a repatriation agreement between the two countries and the UNHCR. The agreement allowed the UNHCR to monitor the voluntary repatriation of the refugees back to Vietnam.

Human rights organizations and the United States government demanded the refugees be given asylum status and told the United Nations that it was unsafe for the refugees to be returned home. However, in February 2002, the program was stopped after United Nations workers reported they were physically assaulted and verbally threatened while trying to prevent the refugees from being forcefully returned to Vietnam. In late March 2002, only 15 refugees had been successfully returned to Vietnam by the UNHCR. The United States offered asylum to the remaining several hundred refugees but it was unclear if they would be allowed to leave Cambodia.

In recent years, the government and the Vietnamese people continued to place the country's priority on economic development. Since 1986, Vietnam has been undergoing a policy known as "Doi Moi," or Renovation, which aims to relieve the country of its socio-economic crisis. The economy is far more open in Vietnam than it used to be. In July 2000, the nation opened its first stock exchange center in Ho Chi Minh City, which was seen by the Vietnamese government as an important measure to mobilize capital for economic development.

Vietnam has also been making efforts in its bid to join the World Trade Organization. In December 2000, the National Assembly approved a 7.5 percent target for the country's gross domestic product growth in 2001, the first year of the new socio-economic development strategy for the decade.

Acknowledging that Vietnam's growth rate had been hampered by low levels of technology, delays in economic reforms, weak competitiveness and low efficiency, the Vietnamese government has been determined to take breakthrough measures to create a transparent and predictable business climate. To better manage economic reforms, the government has also decided to work on administrative improvements, seeking a more efficient personnel structure.

Officials have vowed to separate governance from business management and rid state affairs of nonproductive activities. Furthermore, as Vietnam moves into the global market economy, the government in March 2002 lifted the restriction on communist party members' participation in private business enterprise.

From late 2001 and throughout 2002, the Vietnamese government initiated a number of social and economic reforms. Measures were taken to create more jobs, expand education, build more medical facilities, improve infrastructure in impoverished areas to promote trade, and develop urban planning to accommodate the potential growth of Vietnamese cities. The long-term vision of the Vietnamese government was to reduce poverty to below 10 percent by 2005.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 14 of 290 pages

Another ongoing problem was that of corruption. In this regard, the Vietnamese government admitted government corruption had become widespread. During the ninth party congress, many delegates advocated "grassroots democracy" as the most effective remedy to deliver the party from corruption and opaque government. For them, the grassroots democracy means bottom-up participation in decision-making and budget supervision and linking party promotions to public feedback.

Meanwhile, in terms of government, the Communist Party of Vietnam held the ninth congress (convened every five years) in Hanoi in 2001. The party appointed its new secretary-general, the country's most powerful leadership post. Nong Duc Manh replaced Le Kha Phieu, who was forced to step down. The change of the party secretary-general was seen as a positive trend in the country toward a more open and modernizing society. Unlike his predecessor who was an army general and regarded as too conservative to continue, Nong Duc Manh was the country's first secretary-general without direct experience of Vietnam's wars for independence. Showing himself as a modernizer and reformist, Nong Duc Manh said in his first news conference he wanted Vietnam to be an industrialized country by 2020. He also promised to crack down on corruption, fight against bureaucracy and wastefulness.

In 2002, the elections for the National Assembly were held and resulted in an elections victory for the ruling Communist Party. Of course, no opposition parties actually contested the election. Also in 2002, President Tran Duc Luong was reappointed for a second term by the National Assembly. Prime Minister Phan Van Khai was also re-appointed for a second five-year term.

A year later, in August 2003, the most pressing issue facing Vietnam was the matter of possible inclusion in the World Trade Organization (previously discussed above). The World Bank has expressed support for the notion of Vietnam joining the World Trade Organization, however, it also cautioned that Vietnam must do much to open its markets and institute reforms, if it is to achieve its goal of joining the international trading body by 2005.

Following four days of meeting with Vietnamese officials, the news agency *Agence France Presse* reported that World Bank Managing Director Shengman Zhang said, 'The World Bank fully supports Vietnam's ambitious goal of becoming a WTO member by the end of 2005." Zhang went on to say that Vietnam "has to take prompt action in order complete accelerated market reforms in the next two years."

Of particular urgency has been the need to ameliorate Vietnam's bureaucratic infrastructure and its pervasive climate of corruption and mismanagement. A strong reform program was scheduled to begin in early 2004.

From the latter half of 2003 and well into 2004, Vietnam was regarded as one of the most rapidly-advancing economies in Asia. Indeed, the communist country was benefiting from more foreign

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 15 of 290 pages

direct investment than other capitalist countries in the Asia-Pacific region, such as Thailand or the Philippines.

Amid these developments in which Vietnam has moved toward integration into the international community has been a continuing system of democratic suppression. In July 2004, a Vietnamese man was sentenced to over two years in prison for undermining the country's system of communism by availing himself of the Internet. (Note: The government of Vietnam controls access to the Internet and tends to block access to particular websites it determines to be problematic.) Although Dr. Nguyen Dan Que, a democracy activist, was detained in March 2003 for using the Internet to exchange information and disseminate a critical essay about government control of the media, it was not until 2004 that he was actually sentenced. Dr. Que was one of three people arrested and sentenced for such activities. In his particular case, the People's Court found him guilty of, "abusing democratic rights to jeopardize the interests of the state, and the legitimate rights and interests of social organizations and citizens." The government said, however, that he, like the other two dissidents -- Pham Que Duong and Tran Khue -- would likely be released early due to the time already served.

Meanwhile, relations with the United States became a focus area. In November 2003, the first warship from the United States visited the country since the Vietnam War, effectively sailing into port close to Ho Chi Minh City. Then, in July 2004, the United States-based United Airlines announced its decision to commence flights to Vietnam. By late 2004, the first commercial flight from the United States landed in Ho Chi Minh City since the end of the war. Then, in mid-2005, Vietnamese Prime Minister Phan Van Khai met with United States President George W. Bush in the United States. It was the first visit by a Vietnamese leader to the United States since the end of the war.

In August 2005, on the anniversary of the August Revolution of 1945, which led to the establishment of the Democratic Republic of Vietnam, the General Secretary of the Communist Party of Vietnam Central Committee, Nong Duc Manh, offered a national address. In that address, Nong Duc Manh called for the preservation of the state of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam. Nong Duc Manh also used the occasion to call for reform of the political and economic systems. He said there was a need to reform the operation of legislative work, to build a strict and transparent judicial system in order to protect justice and human rights. He also noted there was a need to fight against bureaucracy, corruption and waste; and to build an efficient administrative apparatus that wholeheartedly served the people. His remarks preceded several measures to open up the Vietnamese economy to market forces, starting with the some limited privatization of state-owned enterprises.

In May 2006, Vietnam's Prime Minister Phan Van Khai announced that he was nominating Deputy Prime Minister Nguyen Tan Dung to be his successor when he stepped down from office. After serving two five-year terms, the prime minister said that the time had come for the country to be

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 16 of 290 pages

led by a younger generation of politicians. Prime Minister Khai had earlier announced in April 2006 his intent to step down from his post during the Congress of Vietnam's ruling Communist Party.

As an economic liberal and something of a reformist, Nguyen Tan Dung would be following in the footsteps of outgoing Prime Minister Khai who gained acclaim for moving Vietnam towards the market reforms that transformed the Vietnamese economy in the 1990s. Giving a glimpse of what his focus might be as head of government, he spoke of Vietnam's strong record of economic growth as well as the country's problems of inequality during an opening address to the National Assembly. Dung also said that he would be focusing on the need for greater institutional transparency and accountability in order to deal with rampant corruption. Dung was representative of a younger generation of politicians as Vietnam in the current era.

The nomination of Nguyen Tan Dung was set to be confirmed at the National Assembly meeting, which commenced on May 16, 2006 and was expected to last for six weeks. Along with the anticipated confirmation of Dung, a number of other changes in leadership positions were slated for approval, such as the confirmation of a new president and a new foreign minister.

The National Assembly meeting was also expected to address a number of multi-million dollar corruption scandals, which have plagued the Communist Party in recent times. General-Secretary Nong Duc Manh warned that the corruption scandals posed a real threat to the legitimacy of the Communist Party. In this regard, he said, "This is the fight against one of the major dangers that do harm to our regime."

In late June 2006, the National Assembly in Hanoi approved the resignations of President Tran Duc Luong, Prime Minister Phan Van Khai, and Assembly Speaker Nguyen Van An. The announcement of the resignations was part of a broader cabinet shuffle aimed at rejuvenating the country's leadership (as discussed above). Given its political orientation, the Communist Party was expected to appoint successors to the top spots. Discussions ensued to decide on possible replacements. Reports suggested that the new president was likely to be Nguyen Minh Triet and, as noted above, the new prime minister would be Nguyen Tan Dung. Indeed, these replacements became official by the close of the month.

About a year later, elections to the National Assembly were held. As before, the eligible candidates were predominantly from the Communist Party of Vietnam and won the vast majority of seats in the election.

Then, on June 24, 2007, the National Assembly re-elected Nguyen Minh Triet as president. The decision as made with up to 98.78 percent of of the vote in the legislative branch favoring his continued tenure as head of state. After being re-elected, he said "I will share happiness of everybody, while sharing sorrow and pains of people. I will try my best to lessen the pains."

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 17 of 290 pages

A day later, on July 25, 2007, the National Assembly re-elected Nguyen Tan Dung as prime minister. The decision was made with almost 97 percent of the vote in the legislative branch favoring Dung's continued tenure as head of government. He was set to officially resume his duties and propose a new cabinet on August 2, 2007. Prime Minister Dung has said that his government would, "synchronously build up the socialist-oriented market economy, be active and dynamic in the international economic integration, rapidly develop economic sectors and types of enterprises, mobilize and well use all sources, especially the internal strength to speed up industrialization and modernization." Other priorities were said to include maintaining political and social stability, and enhancing international relations.

Note: At the start of 2008, Vietnam commenced a two-year stint as a non-permanent seat member on the United Nations Security Council.

In April, 2008, Vietnam launched its initial foray into satellite communications from French Guiana.

Later in the year, social policy took center stage when the authorities decided to put into force a two-child policy, intended to control population growth in Vietnam.

The issue of corruption has dominated the political landscape in recent times. In 2008, two Vietnamese journalists -- Nguyen Viet Chien and Nguyen Van Hai -- became the subject of national and international attention when they played central roles in exposing a major corruption scandal. At issue were the allegations that senior officials may have gambled funds earmarked for infrastructure development on European football two years earlier. The allegations ultimately led to the resignations of a number of senior officials. But it also led to the consequences for the two reporters. Indeed, Nguyen Viet Chien, a reporter for the Vietnamese language newspaper "Thanh Nien", was detained, along Nguyen Van Hai, a journalist with "Tuoi Tre" newspaper, in May 2008. Their detention was linked to their reports on this high-level corruption, which came to be known as the so-called "PMU-18 scandal."

In October 2008, the Hanoi People's Court sentenced both journalists under Article 258 of the Vietnamese Penal Code for "abusing democratic freedoms to infringe upon the interest of the State, the legitimate rights and interests of organizations and/or citizens." Nguyen Viet Chien was dealt a two-year prison sentence despite pleading his innocence, and Nguyen Van Hai was given a non-custodial, two-year re-education sentence, after pleading guilty. The situation resulted in condemnation from the international community, and particularly from human rights groups as well as media freedom advocacy groups.

The issue of media and press freedom was not one that would soon leave the Vietnamese political

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 18 of 290 pages

purview. At the close of 2008, the government cracked down on bloggers who disseminated views on the what the authorities deemed to be "inappropriate" subject matter.

In January 2009, Nguyen Viet Chien -- the aforementioned journalist who was sent to jail for writing about the PMU-18 corruption scandal -- was released. Although Nguyen Viet Chien was among more than 15,000 prisoners who were released early in what Vietnam called the "Lunar New Year amnesty," the repressive journalistic landscape continued to hold sway. Indeed, early 2009 also saw the Vietnamese government sack Nguyen Cong Khe and Le Hoang -- the editors of the two leading reformist newspapers -- over their coverage of the trial of the two reporters who were at the center of the PMU-18 corruption scandal.

To date, several writers, poets, journalists, photographers, and activists, remained detained and without legal recourse in sight. International human rights groups and media freedom associations have demanded their release, charging that their detainment is in violation of Article 19 of the United Nations Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, which guarantees the right to freedom of expression.

Parliamentary elections were held in Vietnam on May 22, 2011. At stake were the 500 seats in the unicameral National Assembly (Quoc-Hoi). Because Vietnam has been a single-party state, candidates for the ruling Communist Party of Vietnam were the only ones contesting the election guaranteed to win representation. That being said, the outgoing parliament had a minority of members not affiliated with the Communist Party. Ultimately, a small number of candidates won representation in 2011; indeed, just over eight percent of the 500 elected to power did not belong to the Communist Party. Clearly, they would not have much influence on the political process.

An indirect presidential election was scheduled to be held on July 25, 2011. At the time, the incumbent head of state was President Nguyen Minh Triet who came to power in June 2006. Following the first session of the new National Assembly (NA) of Vietnam, Truong Tan Sang was elected as president. Following the election of Truong Tan San as president (as noted here), the new chief of state presented a list of nominees for the posts of vice state president and prime minister. Then, on July 26, 2011, Nguyen Tan Dung was formally re-elected as prime minster while Nguyen Thi Doan was re-elected to the post of vice state president.

Note that the next elections were to be held in 2016. To that end, a presidential election was held in Vietnam on April 2, 2016. In Vietnam, the president is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly from its members. In 2016, at the end of the indirect election, Tran Dai Quang, a police official, was chosen by the communist country to be the new president. The selection of the head of government would follow later in April 2016. Note that a week later, Nguyen Xuan Phuc was chosen to be the country's new prime minister. These changes at the top echelon of leadership were not expected to significantly change the policy direction of Vietnam.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 19 of 290 pages

#### -- May 2015

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, <u>www.countrywatch.com</u>. See Bibliography for list of major sources. Supplementary sources: Far Eastern Economic Review, BBC News, Agence France Presse, International Freedom of Expression Exchange, PEN-International.

#### **Political Risk Index**

#### Political Risk Index

The **Political Risk Index** is a proprietary index measuring the level of risk posed to governments, corporations, and investors, based on a myriad of political and economic factors. The <u>Political Risk Index</u> is calculated using an established methodology by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on varied criteria\* including the following consideration: political stability, political representation, democratic accountability, freedom of expression, security and crime, risk of conflict, human development, jurisprudence and regulatory transparency, economic risk, foreign investment considerations, possibility of sovereign default, and corruption. Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the highest political risk, while a score of 10 marks the lowest political risk. Stated differently, countries with the lowest scores pose the greatest political risk. A score of 0 marks the most dire level of political risk and an ultimate nadir, while a score of 10 marks the lowest possible level of political risk, according to this proprietary index. Rarely will there be scores of 0 or 10 due to the reality that countries contain complex landscapes; as such, the index offers a range of possibilities ranging from lesser to greater risk.

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 20 of 290 pages

Albania	4
2 Modifie	7
Algeria	6
Andorra	9
Angola	4
Antigua	8
Argentina	4
Armenia	4-5
Australia	9.5
Austria	9.5
Azerbaijan	4
Bahamas	8.5
Bahrain	6
Bangladesh	3.5
Barbados	8.5-9
Belarus	3
Belgium	9
Belize	8
Benin	5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 21 of 290 pages

5	Bhutan
5	Bolivia
4	Bosnia-Herzegovina
7	Botswana
7	Brazil
7	Brunei
6	Bulgaria
4	Burkina Faso
4.5	Burma (Myanmar)
3	Burundi
4	Cambodia
5	Cameroon
9.5	Canada
6	Cape Verde
3	Central African Republic
4	Chad
9	Chile
7	China
4 4.5 3 4 5 9.5 6 3 4	Burkina Faso  Burma (Myanmar)  Burundi  Cambodia  Cameroon  Canada  Cape Verde  Central African Republic  Chad  Chile

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 22 of 290 pages

China: Hong Kong	8
China: Taiwan	8
Colombia	7
Comoros	5
Congo DRC	3
Congo RC	4
Costa Rica	8
Cote d'Ivoire	4.5
Croatia	7
Cuba	4-4.5
Cyprus	5
Czech Republic	8
Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	4.5
Dominica	7
Dominican Republic	6
East Timor	5
Ecuador	6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 23 of 290 pages

Egypt	5
El Salvador	7
Equatorial Guinea	4
Eritrea	3
Estonia	8
Ethiopia	4
Fiji	5
Finland	9
Fr.YugoslavRep.Macedonia	5
France	9
Gabon	5
Gambia	4
Georgia	5
Germany	9.5
Ghana	6
Greece	4.5-5
Grenada	8
Guatemala	6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 24 of 290 pages

Guinea	3.5
Guinea-Bissau	3.5
Guyana	4.5
Haiti	3.5
Holy See (Vatican)	9
Honduras	4.5-5
Hungary	7
Iceland	8.5-9
India	7.5-8
Indonesia	6
Iran	3.5-4
Iraq	2.5-3
Ireland	8-8.5
Israel	8
Italy	7.5
Jamaica	6.5-7
Japan	9
Jordan	6.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 25 of 290 pages

Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	7
Korea, North	1
Korea, South	8
Kosovo	4
Kuwait	7
Kyrgyzstan	4.5
Laos	4.5
Latvia	7
Lebanon	5.5
Lesotho	6
Liberia	3.5
Libya	2
Liechtenstein	9
Lithuania	7.5
Luxembourg	9
Madagascar	4

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 26 of 290 pages

Malawi	4
Malaysia	8
Maldives	4.5
Mali	4
Malta	8
Marshall Islands	6
Mauritania	4.5-5
Mauritius	7
Mexico	6.5
Micronesia	7
Moldova	5
Monaco	9
Mongolia	5
Montenegro	6
Morocco	6.5
Mozambique	4.5-5
Namibia	6.5-7
Nauru	6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 27 of 290 pages

Nepal	4
Netherlands	9.5
New Zealand	9.5
Nicaragua	5
Niger	4
Nigeria	4.5
Norway	9.5
Oman	7
Pakistan	3.5
Palau	7
Panama	7.5
Papua New Guinea	5
Paraguay	6.5-7
Peru	7
Philippines	6
Poland	8
Portugal	7.5
Qatar	7.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 28 of 290 pages

Romania	5.5
Russia	5.5
Rwanda	5
Saint Kitts and Nevis	8
Saint Lucia	8
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	8
Samoa	7
San Marino	9
Sao Tome and Principe	5.5
Saudi Arabia	6
Senegal	6
Serbia	5
Seychelles	7
Sierra Leone	4.5
Singapore	9
Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8
Slovenia	8
Solomon Islands	6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 29 of 290 pages

Somalia	2
South Africa	7
Spain	7.5
Sri Lanka	5
Sudan	3.5
Suriname	5
Swaziland	5
Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2
Tajikistan	4.5
Tanzania	6
Thailand	6.5
Togo	4.5
Tonga	7
Trinidad and Tobago	8
Tunisia	6
Turkey	7

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 30 of 290 pages

Turkmenistan	4.5
Tuvalu	7
Uganda	6
Ukraine	3.5-4
United Arab Emirates	7
United Kingdom	9
United States	9.5
Uruguay	8
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	7
Venezuela	4
Vietnam	5
Yemen	3
Zambia	4.5
Zimbabwe	3

### \*Methodology

The <u>Political Risk Index</u> is calculated by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on the combined scoring of varied criteria as follows --

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 31 of 290 pages

- 1. political stability (record of peaceful transitions of power, ability of government to stay in office and carry out policies as a result of productive executive-legislative relationship, perhaps with popular support vis a vis risk of government collapse)
- 2. political representation (right of suffrage, free and fair elections, multi-party participation, and influence of foreign powers)
- 3. democratic accountability (record of respect for political rights, human rights, and civil liberties, backed by constitutional protections)
- 4. freedom of expression (media freedom and freedom of expression, right to dissent or express political opposition, backed by constitutional protections)
- 5. security and crime (the degree to which a country has security mechanisms that ensures safety of citizens and ensures law and order, without resorting to extra-judicial measures)
- 6. risk of conflict (the presence of conflict; record of coups or civil disturbances; threat of war; threats posed by internal or external tensions; threat or record of terrorism or insurgencies)
- 7. human development (quality of life; access to education; socio-economic conditions; systemic concern for the status of women and children)
- 8. jurisprudence and regulatory transparency (the impartiality of the legal system, the degree of transparency within the regulatory system of a country and the durability of that structure)
- 9. economic conditions (economic stability, investment climate, degree of nationalization of industries, property rights, labor force development)
- 10. corruption (the degree of corruption in a country and/or efforts by the government to address graft and other irregularities)

#### Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the current climate of upheaval internationally -- both politically and economically -- has affected the ratings for several countries across the world.

North Korea, <u>Afghanistan</u>, <u>Somalia</u>, and <u>Zimbabwe</u> -- retain their low rankings.

Several Middle Eastern and North African countries, such as <u>Tunisia</u>, <u>Egypt</u>, <u>Libya</u>, <u>Syria</u>, <u>Iraq</u> and <u>Yemen</u> were downgraded in recent years due to political instability occurring in the "season of

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 32 of 290 pages

unrest" sweeping the region since 2011 and continuing today. The worst downgrades affected Syria where civil war is at play, along with the rampage of terror being carried out by Islamist terrorists who have also seized control over part of Syrian territory. Iraq has been further downgraded due to the rampage of Islamist terrorists and their takeover of wide swaths of Iraqi territory. Libya has also been downgraded further due to its slippage into failed state status; at issue in Libya have been an ongoing power struggle between rival militias. Yemen continues to hold steady with a poor ranking due to continued unrest at the hands of Houthi rebels, secessinionists, al-Qaida in the Arabian Peninsula, and Islamic State. Its landscape has been further complicated by the fact that it is now the site of a proxy war between Iran and Saudi Arabia. Conversely, Tunisia and Egypt have seen slight upgrades as these countries stabilize.

In Africa, Zimbabwe continues to be one of the bleak spots of the world with the Mugabe regime effectively destroying the country's once vibrant economy, and miring Zimbabwe with an exceedingly high rate of inflation, debilitating unemployment, devolving public services, and critical food shortages; rampant crime and political oppression round out the landscape. Somalia also sports a poor ranking due to the continuing influence of the terror group, al-Shabab, which was not operating across the border in Kenya. On the upside, Nigeria, which was ineffectively dealing with the threat posed by the terror group, Boko Haram, was making some strides on the national security front with its new president at the helm. Mali was slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. But the Central African Republic was downgraded due to the takeover of the government by Muslim Seleka rebels and a continued state of lawlessness in that country. South Sudan -- the world's newest nation state -- has not been officially included in this assessment; however, it can be unofficially assessed to be in the vicinity of "3" due to its manifold political and economic challenges. Burkina Faso, Burundi and Guinea have been downgraded due to political unrest, with Guinea also having to deal with the burgeoning Ebola crisis.

In Europe, <u>Ukraine</u> was downgraded due to the unrest facing that country following its Maidan revolution that triggered a pro-Russian uprising in the eastern part of the country. <u>Russia</u> was also implicated in the Ukrainian crisis due to its intervention on behalf of pro-Russian separatists, as well as its annexation of the Ukrainian territory of Crimea. Strains on the infrastructure of southern and eastern European countries, such as <u>Serbia</u>, <u>Croatia</u>, and <u>Hungary</u>, due to an influx of refugees was expected to pose social and economic challenges, and slight downgrades were made accordingly. So too, a corruption crisis for the Romanian prime minister has affected the ranking of that country. Meanwhile, the rankings for <u>Spain</u>, <u>Portugal</u>, <u>Ireland</u>, and <u>Italy</u> were maintained due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. <u>Greece</u>, another euro zone nation, was earlier downgraded due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, no further downgrade was added since the country was able to successfully forge a bailout rescue deal with creditor institutions. Cyprus' exposure to Greek banks yielded a downgrade in its case.

In Asia, Nepal was downgraded in response to continuous political instability and a constitutional

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 33 of 290 pages

crisis that prevails well after landmark elections were held. Both <u>India</u> and China retain their rankings; <u>India</u> holds a slightly higher ranking than <u>China</u> due to its record of democratic representation and accountability. Increasing violence and political instability in <u>Pakistan</u> resulted in a downgrade for this country's already low rating. Meanwhile, <u>Singapore</u> retained its strong rankings due to its continued effective stewardship of the economy and political stability.

In the Americas, ongoing political and economic woes, as well as crime and corruption have affected the rankings for Mexico, Guatemala, and Brazil. Argentina was downgraded due to its default on debt following the failure of talks with bond holders. Venezuela was downgraded due to its mix of market unfriendly policies and political oppression. For the moment, the United States maintains a strong ranking along with Canada, and most of the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean; however, a renewed debt ceiling crisis could cause the United States to be downgraded in a future edition. Finally, a small but significant upgrade was attributed to Cuba due to its recent pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the United States.

### Source:

Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, CountryWatch Inc. www.countrywatch.com

Updated:

2015

# **Political Stability**

# Political Stability

The **Political Stability Index** is a proprietary index measuring a country's level of stability, standard of good governance, record of constitutional order, respect for human rights, and overall strength of democracy. The <u>Political Stability</u>Index is calculated using an established methodology\* by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on a given country's record of peaceful transitions of power, ability of a government to stay in office and carry out its policies vis a vis risk credible risks of government collapse. Threats include coups, domestic violence and instability, terrorism, etc. This index measures the dynamic between the quality of a country's government and the threats that can compromise and undermine stability. Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the lowest level of political stability and an

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 34 of 290 pages

ultimate nadir, while a score of 10 marks the highest level of political stability possible, according to this proprietary index. Rarely will there be scores of 0 or 10 due to the reality that countries contain complex landscapes; as such, the index offers a range of possibilities ranging from lesser to greater stability.

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2
Albania	4.5-5
Algeria	5
Andorra	9.5
Angola	4.5-5
Antigua	8.5-9
Argentina	7
Armenia	5.5
Australia	9.5
Austria	9.5
Azerbaijan	5
Bahamas	9
Bahrain	6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 35 of 290 pages

Bangladesh	4.5
Barbados	9
Belarus	4
Belgium	9
Belize	8
Benin	5
Bhutan	5
Bolivia	6
Bosnia-Herzegovina	5
Botswana	8.5
Brazil	7
Brunei	8
Bulgaria	7.5
Burkina Faso	4
Burma (Myanmar)	4.5
Burundi	4
Cambodia	4.5-5
Cameroon	6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 36 of 290 pages

Canada	9.5
Cape Verde	6
Central African Republic	3
Chad	4.5
Chile	9
China	7
China: Hong Kong	8
China: Taiwan	8
Colombia	7.5
Comoros	5
Congo DRC	3
Congo RC	5
Costa Rica	9.5
Cote d'Ivoire	3.5
Croatia	7.5
Cuba	4.5
Cyprus	8
Czech Republic	8.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 37 of 290 pages

Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	5
Dominica	8.5
Dominican Republic	7
East Timor	5
Ecuador	7
Egypt	4.5-5
El Salvador	7.5-8
Equatorial Guinea	4.5
Eritrea	4
Estonia	9
Ethiopia	4.5
Fiji	5
Finland	9
Fr.YugoslavRep.Macedonia	6.5
France	9
Gabon	5
Gambia	4.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 38 of 290 pages

Georgia	5
Germany	9.5
Ghana	7
Greece	6
Grenada	8.5
Guatemala	7
Guinea	3.5-4
Guinea-Bissau	4
Guyana	6
Haiti	3.5-4
Holy See (Vatican)	9.5
Honduras	6
Hungary	7.5
Iceland	9
India	8
Indonesia	7
Iran	3.5
Iraq	2.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 39 of 290 pages

Ireland	9.5
Israel	8
Italy	8.5-9
Jamaica	8
Japan	9
Jordan	6
Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	8
Korea, North	2
Korea, South	8.5
Kosovo	5.5
Kuwait	7
Kyrgyzstan	5
Laos	5
Latvia	8.5
Lebanon	5.5
Lesotho	5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 40 of 290 pages

Liberia	3.5-4
Libya	2
Liechtenstein	9
Lithuania	9
Luxembourg	9.5
Madagascar	4
Malawi	5
Malaysia	8
Maldives	4.5-5
Mali	4.5-5
Malta	9
Marshall Islands	8
Mauritania	6
Mauritius	8
Mexico	6.5-7
Micronesia	8
Moldova	5.5
Monaco	9.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 41 of 290 pages

Mongolia	6.5-7
Montenegro	8
Morocco	7
Mozambique	5
Namibia	8.5
Nauru	8
Nepal	4.5
Netherlands	9.5
New Zealand	9.5
Nicaragua	6
Niger	4.5
Nigeria	4.5
Norway	9.5
Oman	7
Pakistan	3
Palau	8
Panama	8.5
Papua New Guinea	6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 42 of 290 pages

Paraguay	8
Peru	7.5
Philippines	6
Poland	9
Portugal	9
Qatar	7
Romania	7
Russia	6
Rwanda	5
Saint Kitts and Nevis	9
Saint Lucia	9
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	9
Samoa	8
San Marino	9.5
Sao Tome and Principe	7
Saudi Arabia	6
Senegal	7.5
Serbia	6.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 43 of 290 pages

Seychelles	8
Sierra Leone	4.5
Singapore	9.5
Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8.5
Slovenia	9
Solomon Islands	6.5-7
Somalia	2
South Africa	7.5
Spain	9
Sri Lanka	5
Sudan	3
Suriname	5
Swaziland	5
Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2
Tajikistan	4.5
Tanzania	6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 44 of 290 pages

Thailand	6
Togo	5
Tonga	7
Trinidad and Tobago	8
Tunisia	5
Turkey	7.5
Turkmenistan	5
Tuvalu	8.5
Uganda	6
Ukraine	3.5-4
United Arab Emirates	7
United Kingdom	9
United States	9
Uruguay	8.5
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	8.5
Venezuela	4.5-5
Vietnam	4.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 45 of 290 pages

Yemen	2.5
Zambia	5
Zimbabwe	3

# \*Methodology

The Political Stability Index is calculated by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on the combined scoring of varied criteria as follows --

- 1. record of peaceful transitions of power (free and fair elections; adherence to political accords)
- 2. record of democratic representation, presence of instruments of democracy; systemic accountability
- 3. respect for human rights; respect for civil rights
- 4. strength of the system of jurisprudence, adherence to constitutional order, and good governance
- 5. ability of a government to stay in office and carry out its policies vis a vis risk credible risks of government collapse (i.e. government stability versus a country being deemed "ungovernable")
- 6. threat of coups, insurgencies, and insurrection
- 7. level of unchecked crime and corruption
- 8. risk of terrorism and other threats to national security
- 9. relationship with regional powers and international community; record of bilateral or multilateral cooperation
- 10. degree of economic strife (i.e. economic and financial challenges)

#### Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the current climate of upheaval internationally -- both politically and economically --

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 46 of 290 pages

has affected the ratings for several countries across the world. The usual suspects -- North Korea, Afghanistan, and Somalia -- retain their low rankings. The reclusive and ultra-dictatorial North Korean regime, which has terrified the world with its nuclear threats, has exhibited internal instability. Of note was a cut-throat purge of hundreds of high ranking officials deemed to be a threat to Kim Jung-un. Despite their attempts to recover from years of lawlessness, war, and warlordism, both Afghanistan and Somalia continue to be beset by terrorism and turmoil. In Afghanistan, while international forces have seen success in the effort against the terror group, al-Qaida, the other Islamist extremist group, the Taliban, continues to carry out a vicious insurgency using terrorism. In Somalia, while the government attempts to do the nation's business, the terror group, al-Shabab continues to make its presence known not only in Somalia, but across the border into Kenya with devastating results/ Also in this category is Iraq, which continues to be rocked by horrific violence and terrorism at the hands of Islamic State, which has taken over wide swaths of Iraqi territory.

Syria, <u>Libya</u>, and <u>Yemen</u> have been added to this unfortunate echelon of the world's most politically unstable countries. <u>Syria</u> has been mired by the twin hazards of 1. a civil war as rebels oppose the Assad regime; and 2. the rampage of terror being carried out by Islamic State, which also seized control over vast portions of Syrian territory. Meanwhile, the post-Qaddhafi landscape of <u>Libya</u> has devolved into chaos as rival militias battle for control -- the elected government of the country notwithstanding. Rounding out this grim triad is <u>Yemen</u>, which was dealing with a Houthi rebellion, secesionists in the south, as well as the threat of terrorism from al-Qaida in the Arabian Peninsula as well as Islamic State, while also being the site of a proxy war between Shi'a <u>Iran</u> and Sunni <u>Saudi Arabia</u>.

Meanwhile, several Middle Eastern and North African countries, such as <u>Tunisia</u>, <u>Egypt</u>, and <u>Bahrain</u> were downgraded in recent years due to political instability occurring in the "season of unrest" sweeping the region since 2011 and continuing today. All three of these countries have stabilized in recent years and have been upgraded accordingly. In <u>Bahrain</u>, the landscape had calmed. In <u>Egypt</u>, the secular military-backed government has generated criticism for its crackdown on the Muslim Brotherhood; however, the country had ratified the presidency via democratic elections and were on track to hold parliamentary elections as the country moved along the path of democratization. Perhaps the most impressive story was coming out of <u>Tunisia</u> -- the country whose Jasmine Revolution sparked the entire Arab Spring -- and where after a few years of strife, a new progressive constitution was passed into law and a secular government had been elected to power. <u>Tunisia</u>, <u>Egypt</u>, and <u>Bahrain</u> have seen slight upgrades as these countries stabilize

In Africa, the <u>Central African Republic</u> was downgraded the previous year due to the takeover of the government by Muslim Seleka rebels. Although the country has been trying to emerge from this crisis, the fact of the matter was that it was difficult to halt the precipitous decline into lawlessness in that country. <u>Zimbabwe</u> has maintained its consistently poor ranking due to the

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 47 of 290 pages

dictatorial regime of Mugabe, who continues to hold a tight grip on power, intimidates the opposition, squashes dissent, and oppresses the white farmer population of the country. Moving in a slightly improved direction is Nigeria, which has sported abysmal ratings due to the government's fecklessness in dealing with the threat posed by the Islamist terror group, Boko Haram. Under its newly-elected government, there appears to be more of a concerted effort to make national security a priority action item. Mali was also slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. Political instability has visited Burkina Faso and Burundi as the leaders of those countries attempted to side-step constitutional limits to hold onto power. In Burundi, an attempted coup ensued but quelled, and the president won a (questionable) new term in office; unrest has since punctuated the landscape. In Burkina Faso, the political climate has turned stormy as a result of a successful coup that ended the rule of the president, and then a putsch against the transitional government. These two African countries have been downgraded as a result.

It should be noted that the African country of South <u>Sudan</u> -- the world's newest nation state -- has not been officially included in this assessment; however, it can be unofficially assessed to be in the vicinity of "3" due to its manifold political and economic challenges. <u>Guinea</u> has endured poor rankings throughout, but was slightly downgraded further over fears of social unrest and the Ebola heath crisis.

In Europe, <u>Ukraine</u> was downgraded due to the unrest facing that country following its Maidan revolution that triggered a pro-Russian uprising in the eastern part of the country. <u>Russia</u> was also implicated in the Ukrainian crisis due to its intervention on behalf of pro-Russian separatists, as well as its annexation of the Ukrainian territory of Crimea. <u>Serbia</u> and <u>Albania</u> were slightly downgraded due to eruptions of unrest, while <u>Romania</u> was slightly downgraded on the basis of corruption charges against the prime minister. <u>Spain</u>, <u>Portugal</u>, <u>Ireland</u>, and <u>Italy</u> were downgraded due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. <u>Greece</u>, another euro zone nation, was downgraded the previous year due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, the country successfully forged a rescue deal with international creditors and stayed within the Euro zone. Greek voters rewarded the hitherto unknown upstart party at the polls for these efforts. As a result, <u>Greece</u> was actually upgraded slightly as it proved to the world that it could endure the political and economic storms. Meanwhile, <u>Germany</u>, <u>France</u>, <u>Switzerland</u>, the <u>United Kingdom</u>, the <u>Netherlands</u>, and the Scandinavian countries continue to post impressive ranking consistent with these countries' strong records of democracy, freedom, and peaceful transfers of power.

In Asia, Nepal was downgraded in response to continuous political instability well after landmark elections that prevails today. Cambodia was very slighly downgraded due to post-election instability that has resulted in occasional flares of violence. Despite the "trifecta of tragedy" in Japan in 2011 -- the earthquake, the ensuing tsunami, and the resulting nuclear crisis -- and the appreciable destabilization of the economic and political terrain therein, this country has only slightly been downgraded. Japan's challenges have been assessed to be transient, the government

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 48 of 290 pages

remains accountable, and there is little risk of default. Both <u>India</u> and China retain their rankings; <u>India</u> holds a slightly higher ranking than <u>China</u> due to its record of democratic representation and accountability. Increasing violence and political instability in <u>Pakistan</u> resulted in a downgrade for this country's already low rating.

In the Americas, Haiti retained its downgraded status due to ongoing political and economic woes. Mexico was downgraded due to its alarming rate of crime. Guatemala was downgraded due to charges of corruption, the arrest of the president, and uncertainty over the outcome of elections. Brazil was downgraded due to the corruption charges erupting on the political landscape, the stalling of the economy, and the increasingly loud calls for the impeachment of President Rousseff. Argentina was downgraded due to its default on debt following the failure of talks with bond holders. Venezuela was downgraded due to the fact that the country's post-Chavez government is every bit as autocratic and nationalistic, but even more inclined to oppress its political opponents. Colombia was upgraded slightly due to efforts aimed at securing a peace deal with the FARC insurgents. A small but significant upgrade was attributed to Cuba due to its recent pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the Unitd States. Meanwhile, the United States, Canada, Costa Rica, Panama, and most of the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean retain their strong rankings due to their records of stability and peaceful transfers of power.

In the Pacific, Fiji was upgraded due to its return to constitutional order and democracy with the holding of the first elections in eight years.

In Oceania, <u>Maldives</u> has been slightly downgraded due to the government's continued and rather relentless persecution of the country's former pro-democracy leader - former President Nasheed.

#### Source:

Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, CountryWatch Inc. www.countrywatch.com

**Updated**:

2015

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 49 of 290 pages

# **Freedom Rankings**

# Freedom Rankings

### Freedom in the World

Editor's Note: This ranking by Freedom House quantifies political freedom and civil liberties into a single combined index on each sovereign country's level of freedom and liberty. The initials "PR" and "CL" stand for Political Rights and Civil Liberties, respectively. The number 1 represents the most free countries and the number 7 represents the least free. Several countries fall in the continuum in between. The freedom ratings reflect an overall judgment based on survey results.

Country	PR	CL	Freedom Status	Trend Arrow
Afghanistan	6 ?	6	Not Free	
Albania*	3	3	Partly Free	
Algeria	6	5	Not Free	
Andorra*	1	1	Free	
Angola	6	5	Not Free	
Antigua and Barbuda*	3 ?	2	Free	
Argentina*	2	2	Free	
Armenia	6	4	Partly Free	
Australia*	1	1	Free	
Austria*	1	1	Free	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 50 of 290 pages

Azerbaijan	6	5	Not Free
Bahamas*	1	1	Free
Bahrain	6?	5	Not Free?
Bangladesh*	3 ?	4	Partly Free
Barbados*	1	1	Free
Belarus	7	6	Not Free
Belgium*	1	1	Free
Belize*	1	2	Free
Benin*	2	2	Free
Bhutan	4	5	Partly Free
Bolivia*	3	3	Partly Free
Bosnia-Herzegovina*	4	3	Partly Free
Botswana*	3 ?	2	Free
Brazil*	2	2	Free
Brunei	6	5	Not Free
Bulgaria*	2	2	Free
Burkina Faso	5	3	Partly Free
Burma	7	7	Not Free

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 51 of 290 pages

Burundi*	4	5	Partly Free	ſſ
Cambodia	6	5	Not Free	<b>#</b>
Cameroon	6	6	Not Free	
Canada*	1	1	Free	
Cape Verde*	1	1	Free	
Central African Republic	5	5	Partly Free	
Chad	7	6	Not Free	
Chile*	1	1	Free	
China	7	6	Not Free	
Colombia*	3	4	Partly Free	
Comoros*	3	4	Partly Free	
Congo (Brazzaville )	6	5	Not Free	<b>\</b>
Congo (Kinshasa)	6	6	Not Free	<b>\</b>
Costa Rica*	1	1	Free	
Cote d'Ivoire	6	5	Not Free	
Croatia*	1 ?	2	Free	
Cuba	7	6	Not Free	
Cyprus*	1	1	Free	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 52 of 290 pages

1	1	Free	
1	1	Free	
5	5	Partly Free	
1	1	Free	
2	2	Free	#
3	4	Partly Free	
3	3	Partly Free	
6	5	Not Free	
2	3	Free	
7	7	Not Free	
7	7 ?	Not Free	
1	1	Free	
5	5	Partly Free	ψ
6	4	Partly Free	
1	1	Free	
1	1	Free	
6	5 ?	Not Free?	
5	5 ?	Partly Free	
	1 5 1 2 3 3 6 2 7 7 1 5 6 1 1 6	1       1         5       5         1       1         2       2         3       4         3       3         6       5         2       3         7       7         7       7         1       1         5       5         6       4         1       1         1       1         6       5?	1       1       Free         5       5       Partly Free         1       1       Free         2       2       Free         3       4       Partly Free         3       3       Partly Free         6       5       Not Free         7       7       Not Free         7       7?       Not Free         1       1       Free         5       5       Partly Free         6       4       Partly Free         1       1       Free         1       1       Free         1       1       Free         6       5?       Not Free?

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 53 of 290 pages

Georgia	4	4	Partly Free	
Germany*	1	1	Free	
Ghana*	1	2	Free	
Greece*	1	2	Free	
Grenada*	1	2	Free	
Guatemala*	4 ?	4	Partly Free	
Guinea	7	6 ?	Not Free	
Guinea-Bissau*	4	4	Partly Free	
Guyana*	2	3	Free	
Haiti*	4	5	Partly Free	
Honduras	4 ?	4 ?	Partly Free	
Hungary*	1	1	Free	
Iceland*	1	1	Free	
India*	2	3	Free	
Indonesia*	2	3	Free	
Iran	6	6	Not Free	Ψ
Iraq	5 ?	6	Not Free	
Ireland*	1	1	Free	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 54 of 290 pages

Israel*	1	2	Free	
Italy*	1	2	Free	
Jamaica*	2	3	Free	
Japan*	1	2	Free	
Jordan	6?	5	Not Free?	
Kazakhstan	6	5	Not Free	ψ
Kenya	4	4 ?	Partly Free	
Kiribati*	1	1	Free	
Kosovo	5 ?	4 ?	Partly Free ?	
Kuwait	4	4	Partly Free	
Kyrgyzstan	6?	5 ?	Not Free?	
Laos	7	6	Not Free	
Latvia*	2	1	Free	
Lebanon	5	3 ?	Partly Free	
Lesotho*	3 ?	3	Partly Free ?	
Liberia*	3	4	Partly Free	
Libya	7	7	Not Free	
Liechtenstein*	1	1	Free	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 55 of 290 pages

Lithuania*	1	1	Free	
Luxembourg*	1	1	Free	
Macedonia*	3	3	Partly Free	ſΥ
Madagascar	6 ?	4 ?	Partly Free	
Malawi*	3 ?	4	Partly Free	
Malaysia	4	4	Partly Free	
Maldives*	3 ?	4	Partly Free	
Mali*	2	3	Free	
Malta*	1	1	Free	1
Marshall Islands*	1	1	Free	
Mauritania	6	5	Not Free	
Mauritius*	1	2	Free	
Mexico*	2	3	Free	
Micronesia*	1	1	Free	
Moldova*	3 ?	4	Partly Free	
Monaco*	2	1	Free	
Mongolia*	2	2	Free	ſſ
Montenegro*	3	2 ?	Free ?	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 56 of 290 pages

Morocco	5	4	Partly Free	Ψ.
Mozambique	4 ?	3	Partly Free	
Namibia*	2	2	Free	
Nauru*	1	1	Free	
Nepal	4	4	Partly Free	
Netherlands*	1	1	Free	
New Zealand*	1	1	Free	
Nicaragua*	4	4 ?	Partly Free	
Niger	5 ?	4	Partly Free	
Nigeria	5	4	Partly Free	1
North Korea	7	7	Not Free	1
Norway*	1	1	Free	
Oman	6	5	Not Free	
Pakistan	4	5	Partly Free	
Palau*	1	1	Free	
Panama*	1	2	Free	
Papua New Guinea*	4	3	Partly Free	
Paraguay*	3	3	Partly Free	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 57 of 290 pages

Peru*	2	3	Free	
Philippines	4	3	Partly Free	<b>\</b>
Poland*	1	1	Free	
Portugal*	1	1	Free	
Qatar	6	5	Not Free	
Romania*	2	2	Free	
Russia	6	5	Not Free	#
Rwanda	6	5	Not Free	
Saint Kitts and Nevis*	1	1	Free	
Saint Lucia*	1	1	Free	
Saint Vincent and Grenadines*	2	1	Free	
Samoa*	2	2	Free	
San Marino*	1	1	Free	
Sao Tome and Principe*	2	2	Free	
Saudi Arabia	7	6	Not Free	
Senegal*	3	3	Partly Free	
Serbia*	2 ?	2	Free	
Seychelles*	3	3	Partly Free	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 58 of 290 pages

Sierra Leone*	3	3	Partly Free	
Singapore	5	4	Partly Free	
Slovakia*	1	1	Free	#
Slovenia*	1	1	Free	
Solomon Islands	4	3	Partly Free	
Somalia	7	7	Not Free	
South Africa*	2	2	Free	
South Korea*	1	2	Free	
Spain*	1	1	Free	
Sri Lanka*	4	4	Partly Free	
Sudan	7	7	Not Free	
Suriname*	2	2	Free	
Swaziland	7	5	Not Free	
Sweden*	1	1	Free	
Switzerland*	1	1	Free	ψ
Syria	7	6	Not Free	
Taiwan*	1 ?	2 ?	Free	
Tajikistan	6	5	Not Free	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 59 of 290 pages

Tanzania	4	3	Partly Free	
Thailand	5	4	Partly Free	
Togo	5	4 ?	Partly Free	
Tonga	5	3	Partly Free	
Trinidad and Tobago*	2	2	Free	
Tunisia	7	5	Not Free	
Turkey*	3	3	Partly Free	1
Turkmenistan	7	7	Not Free	
Tuvalu*	1	1	Free	
Uganda	5	4	Partly Free	
Ukraine*	3	2	Free	
United Arab Emirates	6	5	Not Free	
United Kingdom*	1	1	Free	
United States*	1	1	Free	
Uruguay*	1	1	Free	
Uzbekistan	7	7	Not Free	
Vanuatu*	2	2	Free	
Venezuela	5 ?	4	Partly Free	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 60 of 290 pages

Vietnam	7	5	Not Free	Ψ
Yemen	6 ?	5	Not Free ?	
Zambia*	3	4 ?	Partly Free	
Zimbabwe	6 ?	6	Not Free	

# Methodology:

PR and CL stand for political rights and civil liberties, respectively; 1 represents the most free and 7 the least free rating. The ratings reflect an overall judgment based on survey results.

- ? ? up or down indicates a change in political rights, civil liberties, or status since the last survey.
- $\uparrow$   $\downarrow$  up or down indicates a trend of positive or negative changes that took place but that were not sufficient to result in a change in political rights or civil liberties ratings of 1-7.
- \* indicates a country's status as an electoral democracy.

## Source:

This data is derived from the latest edition of Freedom House's Freedom in the World 2010 edition.

Available at URL: http://www.freedomhouse.org

# **Updated**:

Reviewed in 2015

# **Human Rights**

# Overview of Human Rights in Vietnam

Elections in the Socialist Republic of Vietnam are neither free nor fair. All of the candidates were

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 61 of 290 pages

hand picked by an umbrella group of the Communist Party of Vietnam (CPV), the party in power. The government's human rights record is unsatisfactory in the eyes of the international community. Embedded issues, such as high levels of poverty, economic instability, and corruption, continue to undermine any movement to improve the human rights situation.

In Vietnam, the freedoms of expression and press are severely restricted. The domestic media remains under stringent government control. Foreign journalists must obtain authorization from the government to travel outside of Hanoi. Demonstrations against the government are not allowed. Please see "Political Conditions" for information about the harsh treatment of journalists covering corruption in Vietnam.

Freedom of religion is only truly granted to officially recognized churches and organizations whose boards are either approved or governed by the CPV. In November 2004, an Ordinance on Beliefs and Religions was enacted, thus strengthening government controls over religion by banning activities deemed threatening to the security of the nation and national unity.

Prison conditions in Vietnam are very harsh and life threatening. The lack of medical care, prison guard brutality, and overcrowding are all areas of concern to human rights organizations.

In Vietnam, many suspects are detained for months on end before they are ever formally charged with a crime. Political trials are closed to the international press, the public, and often the detainees themselves. Defendants do not have access to independent counsel. Free and fair trials are rare in Vietnam. The death penalty is allowed for over 20 crimes, including but not limited to armed robbery, drug trafficking, some sex offences and murder.

The government prohibits the establishment and operation of domestic human rights organizations. It also places limits on citizens' privacy rights and on workers rights.

Child labor, child abuse, human trafficking, societal discrimination against ethnic and religious minorities, and child prostitution are all other human rights abuses committed in Vietnam.

## **Human Development Index (HDI) Rank:**

See Social Overview of Country Review for full listing of rankings for all countries.

## **Human Poverty Index Rank:**

47th out of 103

#### **Gini Index:**

36.1

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 62 of 290 pages

Genocide

Life Expectancy at Birth (years):
70.33 years
Unemployment Rate:
2.4%
Population living on \$1 a day (%):
N/A
Population living on \$2 a day (%):
N/A
Population living beneath the Poverty Line (%):
50.9%
Internally Displaced People:
N/A
Note- 363,000 refugees are currently in Vietnam
Total Crime Rate (%):
N/A
Health Expenditure (% of GDP):
Public: 1.5%
% of GDP Spent on Education:
2.0%
Human Rights Conventions Party to:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 63 of 290 pages

• International Convention on the Prevention and Punishment and Punishment of the Crime of

- International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women
- \*Human Development Index (HDI) is a composite index that measures the level of well-being in 177 nations in the world. It uses factors such as poverty, literacy, life-expectancy, education, gross domestic product, and purchasing power parity to assess the average achievements in each nation. It has been used in the United Nation's Human Development Report since 1993.
- \*Human Poverty Index Ranking is based on certain indicators used to calculate the Human Poverty Index. Probability at birth of not surviving to age 40, adult literacy rate, population without sustainable access to an improved water source, and population below income poverty line are the indicators assessed in this measure.
- \*The Gini Index measures inequality based on the distribution of family income or consumption. A value of 0 represents perfect equality (income being distributed equally), and a value of 100 perfect inequality (income all going to one individual).
- \*The calculation of the total crime rate is the % of the total population which has been effected by property crime, robbery, sexual assault, assault, or bribery (corruption) related occurrences.

# **Government Functions**

### Constitution

Under the 1992 constitution, the Communist Party of Vietnam has remained the leading force of state and society. The Communist Party Secretary General can thusly be regarded as the most influential post in the country.

The other important powers within the Vietnamese government, as opposed to the Communist Party, are the executive agencies: the offices of the president and the prime minister, most of whose members are also on the party's Central Committee.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 64 of 290 pages

## **Executive Authority**

In 1992, according to the revised constitution, the collective Council of State, which had served as the parliament's standing committee and whose chairman acted as ceremonial head of state, was abolished and replaced by the office of president. Elected by the National Assembly, the president is the head of state and also serves as commander of the armed forces and chairman of the Council on National Defense and Security.

## **Legislative Authority**

The revised constitution also replaced the cumbersome Council of Ministers with a cabinet headed by the prime minister, who is appointed by the president from members of the National Assembly. Cabinet members are appointed by the president upon nomination of the prime minister and ratification by the National Assembly.

The constitution also provides for the National Assembly as the highest representative organ of the people and the highest body of state power in Vietnam. The unicameral National Assembly is the only body with constitutional and legislative powers. Its members are elected every five years (albeit not in free, competitive, democratic elections) and it meets twice annually.

## **Judicial Authority**

The judicial system of Vietnam contains a Supreme People's Court, the local People's Courts, the Military Tribunals and other tribunals. The National Assembly is empowered to set up a Special Tribunal under special circumstances.

#### <u>Administration</u>

Local legislative bodies, called People's Councils, are elected at provincial, district and village levels. The councils choose administrative committees that handle routine business on the local level and are ultimately responsible to the office of the prime minister. Their function is more executive than legislative.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 65 of 290 pages

# **Government Structure**

#### Name:

conventional long form:
Socialist Republic of Vietnam
conventional short form:
Vietnam
local long form:
Cong Hoa Xa Hoi Chu Nghia Viet Nam
local short form:
Viet Nam
abbreviation:

### Type:

**SRV** 

Communist state

#### **Executive Branch:**

## Chief of state:

President Tran Dai Quang (as of 2016). The president is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly from its members

# Head of government:

Prime Minister Nguyen Xuan Phuc (as of 2016). The prime minister is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly from its members.

## Primer on presidential elections in Vietnam

A presidential election was held in Vietnam on April 2, 2016. In Vietnam, the president is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly from its members. In 2016, at the end of the indirect election, Tran Dai Quang, a police official, was chosen by the communist country to be the new president. The selection of the head of government would follow later in April 2016. Note that a week later, Nguyen Xuan Phuc was chosen to be the country's new prime minister. These changes at the top echelon of leadership were not expected to significantly change the policy direction of Vietnam.

#### Cabinet:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 66 of 290 pages

Cabinet is appointed by the president on proposal of the prime minister and ratification by the National Assembly.

## **Legislative Branch:**

<u>Unicameral National Assembly (Quoc-Hoi):</u>

500 seats; members elected by popular vote to serve five-year terms

#### Note:

Parliamentary elections were held in Vietnam in 2016. At stake were the 500 seats in the unicameral National Assembly (Quoc-Hoi). Because Vietnam has been a single-party state, candidates for the ruling Communist Party of Vietnam were the only ones contesting the election guaranteed to win representation.

### **Judicial Branch:**

Supreme People's Court, chief justice is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly on the recommendation of the president

# **Legal System:**

Based on communist legal theory and French civil law system; has not accepted compulsory ICJ jurisdiction

#### Constitution:

Promulgated April 15, 1992

#### **Administrative Divisions:**

58 provinces (tinh, singular and plural) and 5 municipalities (thanh pho, singular and plural)

## provinces:

An Giang, Bac Giang, Bac Kan, Bac Lieu, Bac Ninh, Ba Ria-Vung Tau, Ben Tre, Binh Dinh, Binh Duong, Binh Phuoc, Binh Thuan, Ca Mau, Cao Bang, Dac Lak, Dac Nong, Dien Bien, Dong Nai, Dong Thap, Gia Lai, Ha Giang, Ha Nam, Ha Tinh, Hai Duong, Hau Giang, Hoa Binh, Hung Yen, Khanh Hoa, Kien Giang, Kon Tum, Lai Chau, Lam Dong, Lang Son, Lao Cai, Long An, Nam Dinh, Nghe An, Ninh Binh, Ninh Thuan, Phu Tho, Phu Yen, Quang Binh, Quang Nam, Quang Ngai, Quang Ninh, Quang Tri, Soc Trang, Son La, Tay Ninh, Thai Binh, Thai Nguyen, Thanh Hoa, Thua Thien-Hue, Tien Giang, Tra Vinh, Tuyen Quang, Vinh Long, Vinh Phuc, Yen Bai

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 67 of 290 pages

## municipalities:

Can Tho, Da Nang, Ha Noi, Hai Phong, Ho Chi Minh City

#### **Political Parties and Leaders:**

Communist Party of Vietnam or CPV; other parties proscribed

## **Suffrage:**

18 years of age; universal

# **Principal Government Officials**

## Cabinet and Leadership of Vietnam

#### Chief of state:

President Tran Dai Quang (as of 2016). The president is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly from its members

## Head of government:

Prime Minister Nguyen Xuan Phuc (as of 2016). The prime minister is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly from its members.

### Primer on presidential elections in Vietnam

A presidential election was held in Vietnam on April 2, 2016. In Vietnam, the president is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly from its members. In 2016, at the end of the indirect election, Tran Dai Quang, a police official, was chosen by the communist country to be the new president. The selection of the head of government would follow later in April 2016. Note that a week later, Nguyen Xuan Phuc was chosen to be the country's new prime minister. These changes at the top echelon of leadership were not expected to significantly change the policy direction of Vietnam.

#### Cabinet:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 68 of 290 pages

Cabinet is appointed by the president on proposal of the prime minister and ratification by the National Assembly.

-- as of 2016

## **Leader Biography**

## Leader Biography

#### **Leadership of Vietnam**

A presidential election was held in Vietnam on April 2, 2016. In Vietnam, the president is elected for a five-year term by the National Assembly from its members. In 2016, at the end of the indirect election, Tran Dai Quang, a police official, was chosen by the communist country to be the new president. The selection of the head of government would follow later in April 2016. Note that a week later, Nguyen Xuan Phuc was chosen to be the country's new prime minister. These changes at the top echelon of leadership were not expected to significantly change the policy direction of Vietnam.

## **Foreign Relations**

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 69 of 290 pages

#### **General Relations**

In the past decade, Vietnam has recognized the increasing importance of growing global economic interdependence and has made concerted efforts to adjust its foreign relations to reflect the evolving international economic and political situation in Southeast Asia. The country has begun to integrate itself into the regional and global economy by joining international organizations. Vietnam has stepped up its efforts to attract foreign capital from the West and regularize relations with the world financial system.

In the 1990s, following the lifting of the American veto on multilateral loans to the country, Vietnam became a member of the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund, and the Asian Development Bank. The country has expanded trade with its East Asian neighbors as well as with countries in western Europe and North America. Of particular significance was Vietnam's acceptance into the Association of South-East Nations (ASEAN) in July 1995. Vietnam joined the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation forum (APEC) in November 1998 and also hosted the ASEAN summit the following month. Vietnam currently holds observer status in the World Trade Organization (WTO) and is applying for full membership. Today, Vietnam is slowly opening its economy in the hopes of closer economic intgration with the global marketplace.

Note: At the start of 2008, Vietnam commenced a two-year stint as a non-permanent seat member on the United Nations Security Council.

#### Regional Relations

#### Border Conflicts

Historically, Vietnam's relations with other communist countries have been close. However, in December 1977, border disputes escalated to a severance of diplomatic relations with Cambodia. By the end of 1978, full-scale border warfare began with Vietnam's invasion of Cambodia. In reaction, Chinese forces invaded North Vietnam in February 1979. Heavy casualties were suffered as China occupied a number of Vietnamese towns. Peace talks began in April, but Chinese officials broke off the talks one year later. Border disputes continued between China and Vietnam, while Vietnam continued its offensives against Cambodia.

#### A Note on Cambodia

In 1998, during a visit from the Cambodian prime minister, Vietnam and Cambodia signed agreements on road transportation, information sharing and drug trafficking; border disputes were discussed.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 70 of 290 pages

#### Relations With China

In response to hostile relations with the People's Republic of China, Vietnam joined the Soviet-led Council for Mutual Economic Assistance. In January 1989, Chinese and Vietnamese officials met for high-level, secret peace discussions. On Sept. 26, 1989, Vietnam withdrew its troops from Cambodia.

For several years, Vietnam and China struggled over territorial disputes, particularly over areas in the South China Sea. These disputes include overlapping claims to the Paracel and Spratly islands claimed by several other nations as well, water and continental shelf areas in the South China Sea and the Gulf of Tonkin, and areas along the land border with China. Relations between the two countries were fully normalized in 1991, resulting in negotiations designed to settle the disputes. Little progress has been made on these issues, though. The parties have agreed that a "code of conduct" should be established to maintain the status quo in the disputed areas while negotiations continue, but neither side can agree on what constitutes the status quo, especially in areas of overlapping claims where Vietnam does not recognize Chinese claims.

In March 1997, tensions rose after a Chinese oil rig named Kantan-03 and two Chinese tugboats were moved into waters off the northern coast of Vietnam. Hanoi refers to the area as Block 113, located about halfway between the central Vietnamese city of Hue and China's Hainan Island near the disputed Paracel Islands. Vietnam protested, claiming the area as part of its territorial waters, but China dismissed the protest, insisting it had special economic rights to the mainland's continental shelf under a U.N. convention.

In late 1997, the Chinese government offered to relinquish its claims on the disputed territory in the Spratly Islands if the Vietnamese government would agree to joint exploration of the area. In September 1998, tension over the issue heightened when it was discovered Vietnam had constructed some buildings in the Spratlys. Six nations have lain claims to the Spratly Islands, namely China and Vietnam, Malaysia, Taiwan, Brunei and the Philippines. Vietnam rejected the offer, maintaining it had historical and legal sovereignty over the potentially oil- and mineral-rich island area. Despite the continued disputes and tensions, both China and Vietnam have agreed a resolution should be reached to end these territorial disputes.

Since 1999, relations between Vietnam and China have seen significant improvement. In February 1999, the countries held a summit in Beijing. In August 1999, China and Vietnam resumed direct mail service, which had been suspended for more than 20 years. In December, Chinese Premier Zhu Rongji paid a reciprocal visit to Vietnam. On Dec. 30, 1999, China and Vietnam signed a treaty establishing the land border between the two countries. The signing of the treaty capped lengthy and detailed negotiations and brought a complete settlement of the land border issue. In January 2000, a Vietnamese Communist Party delegation visited China, and the trip resulted in both countries reiterating the importance of strengthened bilateral relations. In May 2000, the

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 71 of 290 pages

Chinese official, Huang Ju - a Politburo member and Shanghai party leader - visited Vietnam. Vietnam's President Tran Duc Luong acknowledged the occasion with an expression of hope for closer ties.

#### Relations With Thailand

Relations between Vietnam and Thailand have also developed and strengthened in the past few years. Vietnam settled its territorial dispute with Thailand in an agreement defining each country's boundaries in the Gulf of Thailand. The agreement, signed in Bangkok on Aug. 8, 1997, ended 20 years of conflicting boundary claims in the 2,507 square-mile overlapping area and cleared the way for hydrocarbon exploration and eventually production. Shortly after the agreement was signed, the Thai government proposed the two countries exploit the petroleum resources jointly, and the Vietnamese officials expressed interest in the proposal.

The two countries have also signed an agreement on visa exemption for holders of ordinary passports, which will facilitate travel and help develop closer relations between the two peoples. Thailand and Vietnam are the biggest and the second-biggest rice exporters in the world, respectively. Leaders from both countries have agreed that any cooperation, whether on the governmental level or directly between exporters, should be carried out in a way that will benefit rice growers of both nations. During a May 2000 visit to Thailand by Vietnamese Prime Minister Phan Van Khai, the prime ministers of both countries agreed bilateral trade and investment relations should be promoted. Phan Van Khai was the third Vietnamese premier to make an official visit to Thailand since the two countries established diplomatic relations in 1976, after the end of the Vietnam War

#### Other Significant Relations

Relations With the United States (U.S.)

In the past few years, relations between Vietnam and the United States have greatly improved. Then-U.S. President Clinton announced the normalization of diplomatic relations with Vietnam on July 11, 1995. This followed the establishment of liaison offices in Hanoi and Washington in January 1995 and the lifting of the U.S. trade embargo against Vietnam in February 1994. American companies have entered the Vietnamese market, and the United States is now the eighth-largest foreign investor in Vietnam, with more than \$530 million committed in 34 projects. Additionally, the United States has agreed to cooperation on health issues and civil aviation. In March 1998, the United States declared Vietnam exempt from legislation banning trade to communist-controlled countries.

The United States maintains an active dialogue with Vietnam on issues concerning Americans

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 72 of 290 pages

missing from the war there. U.S. policy since the early 1980s affirmed that normalization of relations with Vietnam should be based on withdrawal of the Vietnamese military from Cambodia as part of a comprehensive political settlement, which was achieved with an agreement signed in October 1992. Normalized U.S. relations with Vietnam are conditional, as well, on continued cooperation with regard to prisoners of war and those missing in action, besides other humanitarian concerns.

In recent years, Vietnam and the United States have been engaged in a joint investigation of the effects of United States use of the defoliant Agent Orange during the war. Agent Orange is believed to have been responsible for birth defects and deformities in thousands of Vietnamese children and illnesses in U.S. servicemen. The United States has no official position on the effects of Agent Orange on the Vietnamese people, demanding further research prior to authorizing any form of compensation. However, the United States does administer programs to treat and compensate American war veterans with health problems linked to exposure to the herbicide. In July 1998, Vietnam set up its own program to aid victims of Agent Orange, and in the following year, the Vietnamese government began a census of people believed to be adversely affected.

The year 2000 saw the United States and Vietnam entering new era in bilateral relations. In November, President Clinton visited Vietnam, the first U.S. president to visit the country since the Vietnam War ended. The historic visit by the president has shown the two countries' willingness to further the process of reconciliation and look ahead to a future relationship. A few days before the president's visit to Vietnam, the United States and Vietnam reached a wide-ranging trade agreement after four years of negotiations. The agreement would encourage more U.S. companies to invest in Vietnam and help speed up plans for Vietnam to join the World Trade Organization.

In early October 2001, the United States Senate approved the agreement normalizing trade relations with Vietnam, and President George W. Bush signed it into law. Under the agreement, Vietnam would be given full access to the United States market, and tariffs on Vietnamese products would fall from an average of 40 percent to three percent. The deal was also expected to open Vietnamese markets to American service and investment companies.

In November 2003, the first warship from the United States visited the country since the Vietnam War, effectively sailing into port close to Ho Chi Minh City. Then, in July 2004, the United Statesbased United Airlines announced its decision to commence flights to Vietnam. By late 2004, the first commercial flight from the United States landed in Ho Chi Minh City since the end of the war. Then, in mid-2005, Vietnamese Prime Minister Phan Van Khai met with United States President George W. Bush in the United States. It was the first visit by a Vietnamese leader to the United States since the end of the war

U.S. relations with Vietnam have become increasingly cooperative and broad-based in the years since political normalization. The United States and Vietnam hold an annual dialogue on human

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 73 of 290 pages

rights, which resumed in 2006 after a 2-year hiatus. The economic relationship between the United States and Vietnam is flourishing. Vietnam is a partner in nonproliferation regimes, including the Global Initiative to Combat Nuclear Terrorism, and takes advantage of expertise, equipment, and training available under the Export Control and Related Border Security program. With the support of the U.S. Department of Energy's Megaports Initiative, Vietnam is installing radiation detection equipment to help it detect and identify weapons of mass destruction and their components at the commercial port of Cai Mep-Vung Tau. The United States and Vietnam have signed an agreement on counternarcotics

The United States considers achieving the fullest possible accounting of Americans missing and unaccounted for in Indochina to be one of its highest priorities and has an involved mission to account for those missing in action (MIA). Vietnam remains heavily contaminated by explosive remnants of war, primarily in the form of unexploded ordnance (UXO) including extensive contamination by cluster munitions dating from the war with the United States. The United States is the largest single donor to UXO/mine action in Vietnam. While legacy issues such as UXO/demining, MIA accounting, and Agent Orange (a defoliant used by U.S. forces) provided the foundations for the U.S.-Vietnam defense relationship, mutual interest in addressing the challenges of humanitarian assistance/disaster relief, search and rescue, and maritime security have allowed the defense relationship to accelerate, with Vietnam participating in U.S.-provided capacity-building training in these areas.

In 2011, the U.S. Department of Defense and the Vietnamese Ministry of National Defense signed a landmark Memorandum of Understanding during the Defense Policy Dialogue that will further advance bilateral defense cooperation.

#### Other Developments

Vietnam has engaged in various cooperation agreements with several countries in the region. Vietnam re-established economic relations with Russia in late 1997 and agreed to resume military cooperation with the country in July 1999. In May 1998, the government established military ties with Myanmar and later agreed to cooperation on visa regulations. In January 1999, Vietnam agreed to economic cooperation with Tajikistan. In May 2000, Vietnamese Defense Minister Pham Van Tra paid a visit to Ukraine aimed at strengthening contact and cooperation between the two countries' armed forces.

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, <a href="www.countrywatch.com">www.countrywatch.com</a> . See Bibliography for list of research sources.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 74 of 290 pages

## **National Security**

#### **External Threats**

No foreign nation poses an immediate threat to Vietnam's national security. Vietnam is involved in several territorial disputes with neighboring countries. The governments of Vietnam, Brunei, China, Malaysia, and the Philippines disagree over the sovereignty of the Spratly Islands. Rights to the oil and gas reserves beneath the adjacent seabed are at the crux of the matter. The waters surrounding the chain of approximately 100 islands and reefs are highly coveted for fishing. The matter has led to periodic eruptions of tension between Vietnam and China in particular. In 1988, over 70 people were killed during a military exchange between the two countries over the contested territory. However, in March 2005, the national oil companies of each country signed a joint accord to begin conducting seismic activity in the area. The Parcel Islands represent another point of contention between Vietnam and China, as well as Taiwan. Both Vietnam and Taiwan claim the disputed islands, but China currently occupies them. Both China and Vietnam have ratified a maritime boundary and joint fishing agreement in June 2004, but implementation of the agreement has been delayed. Finally, the governments of Cambodia and Laos have protested the cross-border incursions of Vietnamese squatters.

#### Crime

Vietnam has a generally low rate of crime. While theft is fairly common, crime of a more violent nature is rare. Also, Vietnam is a minor producer of poppy and probably serves as an interim destination for Southeast Asian heroin.

#### **Insurgencies**

There are no insurgent movements operating inside or outside of Vietnam that directly threaten its government or general population. Turbulent conditions prevailed there throughout the 1960s, 1970s, and 1980s, shaped by civil war, the austere measures the government employed to foster reunification of the North and South, the invasion of Cambodia, and political isolation from the rest of the world. Oppression and poverty at home prompted hundreds of thousands of Vietnamese to flee the country during the 1970s and 1980s. As a result of the significant government reforms, including an easing of commercial polices and the adoption of a new constitution, the situation began to improve in Vietnam in the late 1980s and early 1990s. In recent years, the country has enjoyed a generally high degree of internal stability.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 75 of 290 pages

#### **Terrorism**

There is no specific threat of attacks against targets in Vietnam. Recent attacks in Southeast Asia, including the 2002 bombing of a popular nightclub in Bali, Indonesia, underscore the potential risk of similar acts of violence throughout the region, however. Jemaah Islamya, the Southeast Asian affiliate of al-Qaida allegedly responsible for the Bali bombings, has been active in many countries near Vietnam. Vietnam is party to eight of the twelve international conventions and protocols pertaining to terrorism.

## **Defense Forces**

#### **Military Data**

#### **Military Branches:**

People's Armed Forces: People's Army of Vietnam (PAVN; includes Vietnam People's Navy (with Naval Infantry), Vietnam People's Air and Air Defense Force, Border Defense Command, Coast Guard)

#### Eligible age to enter service:

18-25 years of age for male compulsory and voluntary military service; females may volunteer for active duty military service;

#### **Mandatory Service Terms:**

conscription typically takes place twice annually and service obligation is 18 months (Army, Air Defense), 2 years (Navy and Air Force); 18-45 years of age (male) or 18-40 years of age (female) for Militia Force or Self Defense Force service; males may enroll in military schools at age 17

#### Manpower in general population-fit for military service:

N/A

#### Manpower reaching eligible age annually:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 76 of 290 pages

N/A

# **Military Expenditures-Percent of GDP:**

2.4%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 77 of 290 pages

# Chapter 3 Economic Overview

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 78 of 290 pages

## **Economic Overview**

#### **Overview**

Vietnam is a densely-populated, developing country in Southeast Asia. In the decade after reunification in 1976, the economy was in stagnation while the country attempted to recover from three decades of bitter independence wars. In 1986, Vietnam initiated economic reforms aimed at moving from a planned economy to a market economy. Dramatic progress has since been made in economic development, and the country's economy has become one of the fastest-growing in the world

Traditionally an agrarian society, the agricultural sector (including forestry and fisheries) still employs about 60 percent of the population, but its contribution to GDP has declined to about 20 percent in recent years from 40 percent in the early 1990s. The industrial sector has grown rapidly, and now accounts for about 40 percent of GDP, with relatively well-diversified sub sectors of steel, mining (mainly oil and gas), garments, footwear, cement, and vehicle assembly.

Following an extended period of strong performance, Vietnam's economy was adversely affected by the global financial and economic crisis through weakening export growth, remittances, and foreign direct investment. To mitigate the impact of the crisis and support economic activity, the government made significant policy adjustments, including the easing of monetary and fiscal policies. These policies helped boost domestic demand, underpinning a recovery in industrial production and maintaining robust retail sales. As a result, after a sharp slowdown in the first quarter of 2009, economic activity picked up in the second quarter, and a stronger growth was expected in 2010. At the same time, however, the fiscal stimulus led to a substantial widening of the overall fiscal deficit in 2009. In 2010, exports climbed by more than 25 percent, year-on-year, but the trade deficit remained high. By 2011, foreign donors had pledged nearly \$8 billion in new development assistance. However, the government's strong growth-oriented economic policies have caused it to struggle to control one of the region's highest inflation rates. In February 2011, Vietnam shifted its focus away from economic growth to stabilizing its economy and tightened fiscal and monetary policies. Still, as of mid-2011, inflation was expected to remain elevated on the back of supply-side pressures and strong imported inflation.

Fiscal, monetary and credit tightening along with dong devaluation have dampened overheating risks but current external-sector pressures, credit boom and low confidence in the currency remain. Vietnam's trade deficit was expected to hit \$14 billion in 2011, slightly less than a previous forecast of \$14.6 billion, according to the country's ministry of planning and investment. This compares

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 79 of 290 pages

with a trade deficit of \$12.6 billion in 2010. For the year, exports increased by more than 33 percent, year-on-year, and the trade deficit, while down from 2010, remained high. This prompted the government to maintain administrative trade measures to limit the trade deficit. As expected, inflation reached as high as 23 percent in August 2011 and averaged 18 percent for the year. In early 2012 Vietnam unveiled a broad "three pillar" economic reform program, proposing the restructuring of public investment, state-owned enterprises and the banking sector. Vietnam's economy continues to face challenges from low foreign exchange reserves, an undercapitalized banking sector, and high borrowing costs. The near-bankruptcy and subsequent default of the state-owned-enterprise Vinashin, a leading shipbuilder, led to a ratings downgrade of Vietnam's sovereign debt, exacerbating Vietnam's borrowing difficulties. Vietnam's bad debt rose to 8.6 percent of total loans in the banking system at the end of March 2012, with the value of the bad debt totaled US\$9.69 billion, according to the State Bank of Vietnam. The central bank aimed to establish a national asset management firm to speed up resolution of bad debts, according to state media.

Overall, Vietnam's economy slowed in 2012 as banks tightened lending to avoid bad debts. In July 2013, in an effort to address the bad debt, Vietnam's central bank launched an asset firm to buy them from banks. The move was considered to be a significant reform but also considered by many to simply be a temporary fix for the ailing, credit-starved economy. Although the economy was picking up in 2013, funds remained limited as banks were still cleaning their books. Banks reported total loans of US\$156 billion at the end of August 2013, up 6.44 percent from the end of 2012, according to a State Bank of Vietnam report on its website. This indicated that bad debts totaled around \$7.24 billion.

Agriculture's share of economic output has continued to shrink from about 25 percent in 2000 to less than 20 percent in 2013, while industry's share increased from 36 percent to more than 42 percent in the same period. State-owned enterprises account for about 40 percent of GDP. Poverty has declined significantly, and Vietnam is working to create jobs to meet the challenge of a labor force that is growing by more than one million people every year. The global recession hurt Vietnam's export-oriented economy, with GDP in 2013 growing at the slowest rate of growth since 1999. In 2013, however, exports increased by more than 12 percent year-on-year; several administrative actions brought the trade deficit back into balance. Between 2008 and 2011, Vietnam's managed currency, the dong, was devalued in excess of 20 percent, but its value remained relatively stable in 2013.

Vietnam's relationship with China – its largest trading partner - soured after May 2014, when China moved a \$1 billion oil rig unannounced into a part of the South China Sea that Hanoi claimed as its exclusive economic zone. Thirty percent of Vietnam's imports in 2013 were from China and were worth \$37 billion, equivalent to just one percent of Beijing's total exports, according to Reuters.

A Grant Thornton survey of 18 hotels in Vietnam showed 15,000 nights were canceled from early

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 80 of 290 pages

May to early July, marking a loss of \$1.8 million. Thirteen of 19 daily flights from China to the beach city of Danang in Vietnam were canceled, according to the local government, which said Chinese tourist arrivals there declined 85 percent in May and June 2014 year-on-year. Also, anti-China protests in May halted production at foreign-owned factories and forced Chinese workers to flee.

Despite the troubles with China, Bloomberg reported in June 2014 that Vietnam's economic growth accelerated in the second quarter as the outlook for exports improved after the dong was devalued for the first time in a year. Specifically, GDP climbed 5.25 percent in the second quarter from a year earlier, according to data released by the General Statistics Office in Hanoi in June. Meanwhile, also in June 2014, Standard & Poor's described Vietnam's growth potential as "robust, given an export manufacturing sector that is well-diversified and increasingly oriented toward higher value-added goods," while affirming its BB- rating on the nation with a stable outlook.

New rules allowing foreigners to take bigger stakes in Vietnamese equities took effect in late August 2015, but there was concern that confusion over which sectors were eligible could deter investors. The move was considered one of the most liberal measures yet adopted by Vietnam in its attempt to pursue broad economic reform.

Meanwhile, Vietnam was also bidding to have its VN Index included in MSCI's emerging market index, increasing its appeal to a wider range of investors.

The VN Index was the only Southeast Asia gauge to have gained by August 2015 and "stands out in Asia," investment advisor Marc Faber told CNBC.

An analysis by Capital Economics – as cited in a Financial Times article – found that Vietnam's economy expanded by 40 percent between 2008 and 2014. And, it's expected to continue to rise.

Dominic Scriven, chief executive of Dragon Capital, the biggest investor in the Vietnamese stock market outside of the government, told FT that the Vietnamese economy was the only one that he knew of that was accelerating. It appears to be taking advantage from rising wages in China. As a big exporter of mobile phones, textiles and footwear, Vietnam's export growth has oustripped that of its regional rivals in recent years. The country also has seen a surge in FDI.

#### Economic Performance

After robust growth from 2005 to 2007, real GDP slowed in 2008 and 2009 as a result of the global economic crisis. It recovered strongly from 2010 to 2014.

According to CountryWatch estimated calculations for 2014:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 81 of 290 pages

Real GDP growth rate was: 5.4 percent

The fiscal deficit/surplus as percent of GDP (%) was: -4.6 percent

Inflation was measured at: 11.3 percent

#### Updated in 2015

Supplementary Sources: Roubini Global Economics, International Monetary Fund, Financial Times, Bloomberg and Reuters

#### **Nominal GDP and Components**

#### Nominal GDP and Components 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Nominal GDP (LCU 2,779,881.00 4,293,969 3,245,419.00 3,584,262.00 3,937,856.00 billions) Nominal GDP Growth 28.828 16.747 10.441 9.865 9.043 Rate (%) Consumption (LCU 1,903,413.00 2,055,200.00 2,330,145.54 2,505,547.80 2,997,888 billions) Government Expenditure 164,323.00 192,362.00 220,642.00 246,711.00 295,189. (LCU billions)

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 82 of 290 pages

<sup>\*</sup>Please note that the figures in our Economic Performance section are estimates or forecasts based on IMF-based data that are formulated using CountryWatch models of analysis.

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Gross Capital Formation (LCU billions)	827,032.00	884,160.00	956,124.46	1,056,632.20	1,027,546
Exports of Goods & Services (LCU billions)	2,206,971.00	2,597,264.00	2,997,380.00	3,402,495.00	4,024,397
Imports of Goods & Services (LCU billions)	2,321,858.00	2,483,567.00	2,920,030.00	3,273,530.00	4,051,053

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 83 of 290 pages

# Population and GDP Per Capita

# Population and GDP Per Capita

	2011	2012	2013	2014	20
Population, total (million)	87.840 88.762		89.691	90.630	91.
Population growth (%)	1.043	1.043 1.050		1.047	1.0
Nominal GDP per Capita (LCU 1000s)	31,647,096.99	36,563,157.66	39,962,337.36	43,449,806.91	46,888

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 84 of 290 pages

## **Real GDP and Inflation**

## Real GDP and Inflation

	1	1			
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Real Gross Domestic Product (LCU billions 2005 base)	2,292,477.38	2,412,771.54	2,543,581.19	2,695,795.28	2,871,029
Real GDP Growth Rate (%)	6.240	5.247	5.422	5.984	6.500
GDP Deflator (2005=100.0)	121.261	134.510	140.914	146.074	149.56
Inflation, GDP Deflator (%)	21.261	10.926	4.761	3.662	2.388

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 85 of 290 pages

## **Government Spending and Taxation**

# Government Spending and Taxation

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Government Fiscal Budget (billions)	748,897.00	954,233.80	1,093,086.00	1,104,518.00	1,193,91
Fiscal Budget Growth Rate (percentage)	15.622	27.419	14.551	1.046	8.094
National Tax Rate Net of Transfers (%)	25.879	22.599	23.082	21.903	20.90
Government Revenues Net of Transfers (LCU billions)	719,403.00	733,446.00	827,311.00	862,502.00	897,725
Government Surplus(-) Deficit(+) (LCU billions)	-29494.0000	-220787.7980	-265775.0000	-242016.0000	-296191.
Government					

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 86 of 290 pages

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Surplus(+) Deficit(-) (%GDP)	-1.0610	-6.8031	-7.4151	-6.1459	-6.897

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 87 of 290 pages

# **Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment**

# Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment

	2011	2012	2013	2014	201
Money and Quasi-Money (M2) (LCU billions)	2,774,281.10	3,455,221.40	4,194,620.47	5,022,639.33	5,476,8
Money Supply Growth Rate (%)	11.942	24.545	21.399	19.740	9.04
Lending Interest Rate (%)	16.954	13.472	10.374	8.665	13.2
Unemployment Rate (%)	4.513	2.740	2.750	2.450	2.45

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 88 of 290 pages

## Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate

Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015				
Official Exchange Rate (LCU/\$US)	20,653.21	20,862.14	21,014.05	21,183.00	21,598.90				
Trade Balance NIPA (\$US billions)	-5.5627	5.450	3.681	6.088	-1.2341				
Trade Balance % of GDP	-4.1328	3.503	2.158	3.275	-0.6208				
Total Foreign Exchange Reserves (\$US billions)	13.539	25.573	25.893	34.189	41.051				

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 89 of 290 pages

## **Data in US Dollars**

Data in US Dollars					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Nominal GDP (\$US billions)	134.598	155.565	170.565	185.897	198.805
Exports (\$US billions)	106.858	124.497	142.637	160.624	186.324
Imports (\$US billions)	112.421	119.047	138.956	154.536	187.558

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 90 of 290 pages

## **Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units**

Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015				
Petroleum Consumption (TBPD)	427.513	453.323	471.691	406.495	424.313				
Petroleum Production (TBPD)	323.858	361.771	353.210	309.449	313.400				
Petroleum Net Exports (TBPD)	-103.6552	-91.5523	-118.4816	-97.0465	-110.9126				
Natural Gas Consumption (bcf)	272.279	296.646	310.772	304.032	340.365				
Natural Gas Production (bcf)	272.544	297.612	311.410	355.466	388.868				
Natural Gas Net Exports (bcf)	0.2656	0.9661	0.6385	51.434	48.503				
Coal Consumption (1000s st)	30,579.22	26,819.24	28,187.95	33,376.91	34,782.73				
Coal Production (1000s st)	48,108.59	43,715.19	43,040.45	45,458.59	43,628.64				
Coal Net Exports (1000s st)	17,529.37	16,895.96	14,852.50	12,081.68	8,845.92				
Nuclear Production (bil kwh)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000				

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 91 of 290 pages

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Hydroelectric Production (bil kwh)	40.515	52.850	53.521	54.201	56.911
Renewables Production (bil kwh)	0.1050	0.1440	0.2021	0.2021	0.2223

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 92 of 290 pages

## **Energy Consumption and Production QUADS**

Energy Consumption and Production QUADS									
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015				
Petroleum Consumption (Quads)	0.9128	0.9680	1.007	0.8680	0.9060				
Petroleum Production (Quads)	0.6914	0.7777	0.7566	0.6759	0.5349				
Petroleum Net Exports (Quads)	-0.2214	-0.1902	-0.2506	-0.1921	-0.3711				
Natural Gas Consumption (Quads)	0.2777	0.3026	0.3170	0.3101	0.3472				
Natural Gas Production (Quads)	0.2777	0.3026	0.3170	0.3678	0.3395				
Natural Gas Net Exports (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0577	-0.0077				
Coal Consumption (Quads)	0.6116	0.5364	0.5638	0.6675	0.6957				
Coal Production (Quads)	0.9809	0.9281	0.9049	0.9092	0.7868				
Coal Net Exports (Quads)	0.3693	0.3917	0.3412	0.2416	0.0911				
Nuclear Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000				
Hydroelectric Production (Quads)	0.4052	0.5285	0.5352	0.5420	0.5691				
Renewables Production (Quads)	0.0010	0.0014	0.0020	0.0020	0.0022				

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 93 of 290 pages

## **World Energy Price Summary**

World Energy Price Summary					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Petroleum-WTI (\$/bbl)	95.054	94.159	97.943	93.112	48.709
Natural Gas-Henry Hub (\$/mmbtu)	3.999	2.752	3.729	4.369	2.614
Coal Thermal-Australian (\$/mt)	121.448	96.364	84.562	70.130	57.511

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 94 of 290 pages

#### **CO2** Emissions

CO2 Emissions					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Petroleum Based (mm mt C)	34.023	34.890	35.587	39.316	38.602
Natural Gas Based (mm mt C)	4.417	4.813	5.042	4.933	5.522
Coal Based (mm mt C)	17.525	15.370	16.155	19.128	19.934
Total CO2 Emissions (mm mt C)	55.966	55.073	56.783	63.377	64.058

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 95 of 290 pages

## **Agriculture Consumption and Production**

# Agriculture Consumption and Production

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Corn Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	5,712.80	6,581.13	7,813.90	8,260.06	8,273.0
Corn Production (1000 metric tons)	4,826.75	4,958.36	5,169.75	5,282.10	4,922.9
Corn Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	-886.0482	-1622.7703	-2644.1511	-2977.9647	-3350.10
Soybeans Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	1,155.58	1,451.62	1,460.43	1,450.83	1,410.6
Soybeans Production (1000 metric tons)	266.887	173.357	167.275	154.016	137.109
Soybeans Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	-888.6925	-1278.2639	-1293.1556	-1296.8178	-1273.48

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 96 of 290 pages

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Rice Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	42,469.45	43,737.60	44,076.17	45,021.45	43,968.3
Rice Production (1000 metric tons)	42,426.94	43,736.89	44,021.15	44,956.53	43,587.4
Rice Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	-42.5099	-0.7128	-55.0186	-64.9243	-380.861
Coffee Total Consumption (metric tons)	23,589.00	95,489.50	167,390.00	284,613.76	291,239.
Coffee Production (metric tons)	1,235,143.02	1,513,329.44	1,430,709.91	1,587,357.35	1,521,675
Coffee Net Exports (metric tons)	1,211,554.02	1,417,839.94	1,263,319.91	1,302,743.59	1,230,436
Cocoa Beans Total Consumption (metric tons)	255.134	321.449	405.000	510.268	537.04.
Cocoa Beans Production (metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Cocoa Beans Net Exports	-255.1340	-321.4487	-405.0000	-510.2680	-537.043

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 97 of 290 pages

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
(metric tons)					
Wheat Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	2,421.22	2,423.12	1,914.89	1,822.80	1,663.7
Wheat Production (1000 metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Wheat Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	-2421.2170	-2423.1160	-1914.8930	-1822.7958	-1663.70

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 98 of 290 pages

## **World Agriculture Pricing Summary**

World Agriculture Pricing Summary						
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Corn Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	291.684	298.417	259.389	192.881	169.750	
Soybeans Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	540.667	591.417	538.417	491.771	390.417	
Rice Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	458.558	525.071	473.989	425.148	386.033	
Coffee Pricing Summary (\$/kilogram)	5.976	4.111	3.076	4.424	3.526	
Cocoa Beans Pricing Summary (\$/kilogram)	2.980	2.392	2.439	3.062	3.135	
Wheat Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	316.264	313.242	312.248	284.895	203.177	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 99 of 290 pages

# **Metals Consumption and Production**

Metals Consumption and Production							
	2011	2012	2013	2014	20		
Copper Consumption (1000 mt)	115,773.46	8,000.00	101,486.57	126,703.73	120,3		
Copper Production (1000 mt)	7,938.36	7,924.57	7,955.98	8,523.26	7,50		
Copper Net Exports (1000 mt)	-107835.0925	-75.4320	-93530.5938	-118180.4716	-11281		
Zinc Consumption (1000 mt)	81,851.19	18,000.00	100,860.18	134,468.16	132,3		
Zinc Production (1000 mt)	15,876.73	17,830.28	17,900.95	18,556.48	18,58		
Zinc Exports (1000 mt)	-65974.4591	-169.7220	-82959.2278	-115911.6762	-11377		
Lead Consumption (1000 mt)	106,295.59	105,164.90	105,164.90	104,034.20	95,70		
Lead Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00		

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 100 of 290 pages

	2011	2012	2013	2014	20
Lead Exports (1000 mt)	-106295.5930	-105164.8973	-105164.8973	-104034.2016	-95707
Tin Consumption (1000 mt)	1,254.41	4,000.00	2,281.52	2,998.54	3,02
Tin Production (1000 mt)	3,869.95	3,962.28	3,977.99	4,580.07	4,55
Tin Exports (1000 mt)	2,615.54	-37.7160	1,696.47	1,581.53	1,53
Nickel Consumption (1000 mt)	401.157	417.996	434.834	533.112	375.
Nickel Production (1000 mt)	13,296.76	8,023.63	6,702.52	5,548.26	5,05
Nickel Exports (1000 mt)	12,895.60	7,605.63	6,267.69	5,015.15	4,68
Gold Consumption (kg)	57,802.00	3,500.00	4,210.00	3,264.00	3,14
Gold Production (kg)	4,402.77	4,425.64	4,470.88	4,546.76	4,44
Gold Exports (kg)	-53399.2270	925.637	260.882	1,282.76	1,29

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 101 of 290 pages

	2011	2012	2013	2014	20
Silver Consumption (mt)	30,940.00	42,072.00	7,965.00	12,699.00	11,44
Silver Production (mt)	1,541.72	1,617.68	1,643.83	1,701.15	1,56
Silver Exports (mt)	-29398.2781	-40454.3234	-6321.1704	-10997.8467	-9877

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 102 of 290 pages

## **World Metals Pricing Summary**

#### World Metals Pricing Summary 2012 2013 2014 2011 2015 Copper (\$/mt) 8,828.19 7,962.35 6,863.40 7,332.10 5,510.46 Zinc (\$/mt) 2,193.90 1,950.41 1,910.26 1,931.68 2,160.97 Tin (\$/mt) 26,053.68 21,898.87 16,066.63 21,125.99 22,282.80 2,064.64 2,095.46 Lead (\$/mt) 2,400.81 2,139.79 1,787.82 Nickel (\$/mt) 22,910.36 17,547.55 15,031.80 16,893.38 11,862.64 Gold (\$/oz) 1,569.21 1,669.52 1,411.46 1,265.58 1,160.66 Silver (\$/oz) 35.224 31.137 23.850 19.071 15.721

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 103 of 290 pages

#### **Economic Performance Index**

#### **Economic Performance Index**

The Economic Performance rankings are calculated by CountryWatch's editorial team, and are based on criteria including sustained economic growth, monetary stability, current account deficits, budget surplus, unemployment and structural imbalances. Scores are assessed from 0 to 100 using this aforementioned criteria as well as CountryWatch's proprietary economic research data and models.

	Bank stability risk	Monetary/ Currency stability	Government Finances	Empl./ Unempl.	Econ.GNP growth or decline/ forecast
	0 - 100	0 - 100	0 - 100	0 - 100	%
North Americas					
Canada	92	69	35	38	3.14%
United States	94	76	4	29	3.01%
Western Europe					
Austria	90	27	30	63	1.33%
Belgium	88	27	19	23	1.15%
Cyprus	81	91	16	80	-0.69%
Denmark	97	70	45	78	1.20%
Finland	89	27	41	33	1.25%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 104 of 290 pages

France	87	27	18	27	1.52%
Germany	86	27	22	21	1.25%
Greece	79	27	5	24	-2.00%
Iceland	90	17	2	34	-3.04%
Italy	85	27	37	24	0.84%
Ireland	92	27	11	10	-1.55%
Luxembourg	99	27	28	66	2.08%
Malta	77	27	41	51	0.54%
Netherlands	91	27	26	74	1.30%
Norway	98	44	10	76	1.08%
Portugal	77	27	13	20	0.29%
Spain	83	27	9	3	-0.41%
Sweden	94	72	54	32	1.23%
Switzerland	97	86	55	77	1.53%
United Kingdom	85	12	9	37	1.34%
Central and Eastern Europe					
Albania	44	60	33	6	2.30%
Armenia	45	59	49	30	1.80%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 105 of 290 pages

Azerbaijan	56	4	84	99	2.68%
Belarus	59	21	83	98	2.41%
Bosnia and Herzegovina	34	68	69	N/A	0.50%
Bulgaria	58	75	88	49	0.20%
Croatia	69	68	94	9	0.18%
Czech Republic	80	89	29	70	1.67%
Estonia	72	90	66	92	0.80%
Georgia	36	60	53	56	2.00%
Hungary	70	66	26	54	-0.16%
Latvia	67	100	65	44	-3.97%
Lithuania	65	91	87	79	-1.65%
Macedonia (FYR)	53	69	56	2	2.03%
Moldova	23	36	81	67	2.50%
Poland	74	74	38	12	2.72%
Romania	62	56	70	62	0.75%
Russia	73	18	90	8	4.00%
Serbia	48	49	52	5	1.97%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 106 of 290 pages

Montenegro	39	27	73	1	-1.70%
Slovak Republic	80	62	30	14	4.06%
Slovenia	81	27	36	65	1.12%
Ukraine	41	11	57	N/A	3.68%
Africa					
Algeria	57	18	96	7	4.55%
Angola	49	1	97	N/A	7.05%
Benin	19	91	20	N/A	3.22%
Botswana	68	58	76	N/A	6.33%
Burkina Faso	16	91	13	N/A	4.41%
Burundi	2	91	6	N/A	3.85%
Cameroon	26	91	91	N/A	2.58%
Cape Verde	52	87	4	N/A	4.96%
Central African Republic	9	91	32	N/A	3.18%
Chad	22	91	89	N/A	4.42%
Congo	52	87	87	N/A	12.13%
Côte d'Ivoire	25	91	82	28	2.98%
Dem. Republic					

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 107 of 290 pages

Congo	4	91	47	N/A	5.44%
Djibouti	31	76	50	N/A	4.47%
Egypt	37	20	24	69	5.01%
Equatorial Guinea	82	91	85	N/A	0.94%
Eritrea	1	3	1	18	1.81%
Ethiopia	6	45	8	N/A	6.96%
Gabon	64	91	96	N/A	5.36%
Gambia	8	48	86	N/A	4.82%
Ghana	9	11	69	N/A	4.50%
Guinea	10	7	91	N/A	3.03%
Guinea-Bissau	5	91	46	N/A	3.47%
Kenya	20	41	59	N/A	4.11%
Lesotho	13	40	12	N/A	2.98%
Liberia	12	73	74	N/A	5.92%
Libya	73	2	94	N/A	5.22%
Madagascar	4	22	24	N/A	-1.02%
Malawi	7	25	55	N/A	5.96%
Mali	20	91	82	N/A	5.12%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 108 of 290 pages

Mauritania	15	13	93	N/A	4.58%
Mauritius	65	52	56	55	4.10%
Morocco	37	72	48	26	3.23%
Mozambique	12	23	71	N/A	6.45%
Namibia	40	39	62	N/A	1.70%
Niger	10	91	21	N/A	4.41%
Nigeria	30	6	61	N/A	6.98%
Rwanda	21	40	68	N/A	5.39%
Sao Tome & Principe	1	61	100	N/A	3.40%
Senegal	24	91	63	N/A	3.44%
Seychelles	60	67	97	N/A	4.01%
Sierra Leone	5	10	39	N/A	4.77%
Somalia	2	38	59	N/A	3.19%
South Africa	61	37	70	N/A	2.59%
Sudan	16	5	73	N/A	5.52%
Swaziland	32	44	79	N/A	1.09%
Tanzania	15	45	32	N/A	6.17%
Togo	8	91	92	N/A	2.56%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 109 of 290 pages

Tunisia	50	61	44	39	4.00%
Uganda	11	17	54	N/A	5.59%
Zambia	29	20	49	N/A	5.84%
Zimbabwe	0	8	16	N/A	2.24%
South and Central America					
Argentina	66	3	80	36	3.50%
Belize	47	76	80	N/A	1.00%
Bolivia	32	51	61	81	3.99%
Brazil	71	47	78	11	5.50%
Chile	78	25	92	73	4.72%
Columbia	47	52	34	47	2.25%
Costa Rica	60	42	39	57	3.45%
Ecuador	43	76	75	64	2.51%
El Salvador	35	76	67	N/A	1.04%
Guatemala	46	59	58	N/A	2.52%
Honduras	27	47	58	N/A	2.00%
Mexico	69	42	52	61	4.07%
Nicaragua	23	49	42	N/A	1.75%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 110 of 290 pages

Panama	66	76	72	45	5.00%
Paraguay	35	46	66	16	5.27%
Peru	59	66	75	22	6.33%
Suriname	58	26	81	59	4.02%
Uruguay	70	26	27	N/A	5.71%
Venezuela	55	1	28	13	-2.63%
Caribbean					
Antigua & Barbuda	72	76	15	N/A	-2.01%
Bahamas	74	76	45	87	-0.50%
Barbados	67	76	33	15	-0.50%
Bermuda	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cuba	45	76	18	95	0.25%
Dominica	53	76	65	N/A	1.40%
Dominican Republic	54	39	43	4	3.50%
Grenada	63	76	48	N/A	0.80%
Guyana	28	56	17	N/A	4.36%
Haiti	11	27	89	N/A	-8.50%
Jamaica	42	9	85	19	-0.28%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 111 of 290 pages

St Lucia	55	76	67	N/A	1.14%
St Vincent & Grenadines	49	76	95	N/A	0.50%
Trinidad & Tobago	82	37	77	72	2.13%
Middle East					
Bahrain	84	76	62	91	3.48%
Iran	51	19	40	58	3.01%
Iraq	48	9	8	N/A	7.27%
Israel	87	62	12	48	3.20%
Jordan	41	51	3	N/A	4.10%
Kuwait	96	4	99	N/A	3.10%
Lebanon	63	54	2	N/A	6.00%
Oman	76	16	88	N/A	4.71%
Qatar	99	16	83	N/A	18.54%
Saudi Arabia	76	8	98	N/A	3.70%
Syria	61	24	40	N/A	5.00%
Turkey	75	23	27	60	5.20%
United Arab Emirates	96	24	98	94	1.29%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 112 of 290 pages

Yemen	28	2	78	N/A	7.78%
Asia					
Afghanistan	17	70	74	N/A	8.64%
Bangladesh	13	43	25	N/A	5.38%
Bhutan	24	55	5	N/A	6.85%
Brunei	78	19	99	75	0.48%
Cambodia	18	67	42	N/A	4.77%
China	54	90	19	68	11.03%
Hong Kong	89	76	14	82	5.02%
India	31	38	34	35	8.78%
Indonesia	42	46	37	31	6.00%
Japan	88	89	6	71	1.90%
Kazakhstan	62	13	76	42	2.40%
Korea North	18	65	23	N/A	1.50%
Korea South	83	63	22	85	4.44%
Kyrgyz Republic	24	15	84	88	4.61%
Laos	17	54	7	N/A	7.22%
Macao	91	76	14	82	3.00%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 113 of 290 pages

Malaysia	68	65	44	90	4.72%
Maldives	44	55	17	N/A	3.45%
Mongolia	33	5	77	93	7.22%
Myanmar	3	41	72	N/A	5.26%
Nepal	3	14	25	N/A	2.97%
Pakistan	19	15	31	41	3.00%
Papua New Guinea	75	50	11	N/A	7.96%
Philippines	30	48	53	43	3.63%
Singapore	93	75	63	40	5.68%
Sri Lanka	38	22	10	N/A	5.50%
Taiwan	84	88	35	89	6.50%
Tajikistan	6	6	60	97	4.00%
Thailand	56	64	90	96	5.46%
Turkmenistan	51	53	68	N/A	12.00%
Uzbekistan	40	10	60	100	8.00%
Vietnam	25	12	20	N/A	6.04%
Pacific					
Australia	96	63	31	46	2.96%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 114 of 290 pages

Fiji	46	53	3	N/A	2.06%
Marshall Islands	27	76	46	N/A	1.08%
Micronesia (Fed. States)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Caledonia	96	73	51	52	2.00%
New Zealand	98	73	51	52	2.00%
Samoa	34	88	64	N/A	-2.77%
Solomon Islands	14	71	1	N/A	3.36%
Tonga	26	57	38	N/A	0.60%
Vanuatu	33	58	47	N/A	3.80%

## Source:

CountryWatch Inc. www.countrywatch.com

# <u>Updated</u>:

This material was produced in 2010; it is subject to updating in 2012.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 115 of 290 pages

div style='margin-top:40%;padding-top:40%'>

# **Chapter 4**

# **Investment Overview**

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 116 of 290 pages

## **Foreign Investment Climate**

## **Background**

Vietnam is a densely-populated developing country that in the last 30 years has had to recover from the ravages of war, the loss of financial support from the old Soviet Bloc, and the rigidities of a centrally-planned economy. Since 2001, Vietnamese authorities have reaffirmed their commitment to economic liberalization and international integration. They have moved to implement the structural reforms needed to modernize the economy and to produce more competitive export-driven industries. Vietnam's membership in the ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA) and entry into force of the US-Vietnam Bilateral Trade Agreement in December 2001 have led to even more rapid changes in Vietnam's trade and economic regime. Vietnam joined the WTO in January 2007 following over a decade long negotiation process. WTO membership has provided Vietnam an anchor to the global market and reinforced the domestic economic reform process. Deep poverty has declined significantly and is now smaller than that of China, India, and the Philippines. Vietnam is working to create jobs to meet the challenge of a labor force that is growing by more than one-and-a-half million people every year. The global financial crisis, however, has constrained Vietnam's ability to create jobs, further reduce poverty, and attract foreign investment.

## **Foreign Investment Assessment**

Vietnam generally encourages foreign investment. In an effort to improve Vietnam's attractiveness to foreign investors, the National Assembly approved a number of changes to the Foreign Investment Law. The government of Vietnam has also noted that its long-term development strategy to the year 2020 involves attracting and utilizing oversees capital, both foreign direct investment official development assistance. This official stance notwithstanding, Vietnam is not regarded as a particularly hospitable investment environment. The legacy of the country's command economy, even as it transitions into a "state-supervised" market economy remains problematic. While the government attempts to implement reforms in this regard, foreign investors trying to operate in this environment must cope with a wide range of problems and costs. These include poorly developed infrastructure, an under-developed legal system, a cumbersome financial systems, a massive bureaucracy, a regulatory system lacking transparency, high start-up costs, arcane land acquisition and transfer regulations, and a shortage of skilled workers.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 117 of 290 pages

#### **Labor Force**

Labor force: 45.74 million

Labor force - by occupation: agriculture 63%, industry and services 37%

## **Agriculture and Industry**

Agriculture - products: paddy rice, corn, potatoes, rubber, soybeans, coffee, tea, bananas, sugar; poultry, pigs, fish

Industries: food processing, garments, shoes, machine-building, mining, cement, chemical fertilizer, glass, tires, oil, coal, steel, paper

## **Import Commodities and Import Partners**

Imports - commodities: machinery and equipment, petroleum products, fertilizer, steel products, raw cotton, grain, cement, motorcycles

Imports - partners: China 13.7%, Taiwan 11.4%, Japan 11.3%, South Korea 11%, Singapore 10.4%, US 5.7%, Thailand 5.4%, Hong Kong 4.2%

## **Export Commodities and Export Partners**

Exports - commodities: crude oil, marine products, rice, coffee, rubber, tea, garments, shoes Exports - partners: US 21.9%, Japan 13.8%, Australia 6.8%, China 6.5%, Germany 5.8%, Singapore 4.6%, UK 4.4%

### **Telephone System**

Telephones - main lines in use: 4.402 million Telephones - mobile cellular: 2.742 million

general assessment: Vietnam is putting considerable effort into modernization and expansion of its telecommunication system, but its performance continues to lag behind that of its more modern neighbors

domestic: all provincial exchanges are digitalized and connected to Hanoi, Da Nang, and Ho Chi Minh City by fiber-optic cable or microwave radio relay networks; main lines have been substantially increased, and the use of mobile telephones is growing rapidly

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 118 of 290 pages

*international:* country code - 84 satellite earth stations - 2 Intersputnik (Indian Ocean region)

#### **Internet Users**

Internet hosts: 340

Internet users: 3.5 million

## Roads, Airports, Ports and Harbors

Railways: total: 2,600 km Highways: total: 93,300 km

Ports and harbors: Cam Ranh, Da Nang, Haiphong, Ho Chi Minh City, Ha Long, Quy Nhon,

Nha Trang, Vinh, Vung Tau

Airports: 19 total; with paved runways, 16

## **Legal System and Considerations**

The legal system is based on communist legal theory and French civil law system. Foreign investors should note, however, that dispute and claims settlement mechanisms remain underdeveloped. Economic Courts, in addition to hearing bankruptcy cases, have jurisdiction over cases involving business disputes. Outside the court system, dispute resolution also can be pursued through the Economic Arbitration Centers.

## **Corruption Perception Ranking**

See Corruption Perception Index, as reported by Transparency International in this Country Review, for most recent ranking for this country.

#### **Cultural Considerations**

A handshake is an acceptable form of greeting between persons of the same age, but it is customary to bow to those who are older or of high social rank. Indeed, there is a great respect given to elders that should imbue all personal and business relationships.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 119 of 290 pages

## **Foreign Investment Index**

## Foreign Investment Index

The Foreign Investment Index is a proprietary index measuring attractiveness to international investment flows. The Foreign Investment Index is calculated using an established methodology by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on a given country's economic stability (sustained economic growth, monetary stability, current account deficits, budget surplus), economic risk (risk of non-servicing of payments for goods or services, loans and trade-related finance, risk of sovereign default), business and investment climate (property rights, labor force and laws, regulatory transparency, openness to foreign investment, market conditions, and stability of government). Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the lowest level of foreign investment viability, while a score of 10 marks the highest level of foreign investment viability, according to this proprietary index.

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2
Albania	4.5
Algeria	6
Andorra	9
Angola	4.5-5
Antigua	8.5
Argentina	5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 120 of 290 pages

Armenia	5
Australia	9.5
Austria	9-9.5
Azerbaijan	5
Bahamas	9
Bahrain	7.5
Bangladesh	4.5
Barbados	9
Belarus	4
Belgium	9
Belize	7.5
Benin	5.5
Bhutan	4.5
Bolivia	4.5
Bosnia-Herzegovina	5
Botswana	7.5-8
Brazil	8
Brunei	7

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 121 of 290 pages

Bulgaria	5.5
Burkina Faso	4
Burma (Myanmar)	4.5
Burundi	4
Cambodia	4.5
Cameroon	5
Canada	9.5
Cape Verde	6
Central African Republic	3
Chad	4
Chile	9
China	7.5
China: Hong Kong	8.5
China: Taiwan	8.5
Colombia	7
Comoros	4
Congo DRC	4
Congo RC	5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 122 of 290 pages

Costa Rica	8
Cote d'Ivoire	4.5
Croatia	7
Cuba	4.5
Cyprus	7
Czech Republic	8.5
Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	4.5
Dominica	6
Dominican Republic	6.5
East Timor	4.5
Ecuador	5.5
Egypt	4.5-5
El Salvador	6
Equatorial Guinea	4.5
Eritrea	3.5
Estonia	8
Ethiopia	4.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 123 of 290 pages

Fiji	5
Finland	9
Former Yugoslav Rep. of Macedonia	5
France	9-9.5
Gabon	5.5
Gambia	5
Georgia	5
Germany	9-9.5
Ghana	5.5
Greece	5
Grenada	7.5
Guatemala	5.5
Guinea	3.5
Guinea-Bissau	3.5
Guyana	4.5
Haiti	4
Holy See (Vatican)	n/a
Hong Kong (China)	8.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 124 of 290 pages

Honduras	5.5
Hungary	8
Iceland	8-8.5
India	8
Indonesia	5.5
Iran	4
Iraq	3
Ireland	8
Israel	8.5
Italy	8
Jamaica	5.5
Japan	9.5
Jordan	6
Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	5.5
Korea, North	1
Korea, South	9

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 125 of 290 pages

Kosovo	4.5
Kuwait	8.5
Kyrgyzstan	4.5
Laos	4
Latvia	7
Lebanon	5
Lesotho	5.5
Liberia	3.5
Libya	3
Liechtenstein	9
Lithuania	7.5
Luxembourg	9-9.5
Madagascar	4.5
Malawi	4.5
Malaysia	8.5
Maldives	6.5
Mali	5
Malta	9

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 126 of 290 pages

Marshall Islands	5
Mauritania	4.5
Mauritius	7.5-8
Mexico	6.5-7
Micronesia	5
Moldova	4.5-5
Monaco	9
Mongolia	5
Montenegro	5.5
Morocco	7.5
Mozambique	5
Namibia	7.5
Nauru	4.5
Nepal	4
Netherlands	9-9.5
New Zealand	9.5
Nicaragua	5
Niger	4.5
	<u> </u>

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 127 of 290 pages

Nigeria	4.5
Norway	9-9.5
Oman	8
Pakistan	4
Palau	4.5-5
Panama	7
Papua New Guinea	5
Paraguay	6
Peru	6
Philippines	6
Poland	8
Portugal	7.5-8
Qatar	9
Romania	6-6.5
Russia	6
Rwanda	4
Saint Kitts and Nevis	8
Saint Lucia	8

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 128 of 290 pages

Saint Vincent and Grenadines	7
Samoa	7
San Marino	8.5
Sao Tome and Principe	4.5-5
Saudi Arabia	7
Senegal	6
Serbia	6
Seychelles	5
Sierra Leone	4
Singapore	9.5
Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8.5
Slovenia	8.5-9
Solomon Islands	5
Somalia	2
South Africa	8
Spain	7.5-8
Sri Lanka	5.5
Sudan	4

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 129 of 290 pages

Suriname	5
Swaziland	4.5
Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2.5
Tajikistan	4
Taiwan (China)	8.5
Tanzania	5
Thailand	7.5-8
Togo	4.5-5
Tonga	5.5-6
Trinidad and Tobago	8-8.5
Tunisia	6
Turkey	6.5-7
Turkmenistan	4
Tuvalu	7
Uganda	5
Ukraine	4.5-5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 130 of 290 pages

United Arab Emirates	8.5
United Kingdom	9
United States	9
Uruguay	6.5-7
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	6
Venezuela	5
Vietnam	5.5
Yemen	3
Zambia	4.5-5
Zimbabwe	3.5

#### Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the global economic crisis (emerging in 2008) had affected many countries across the world, resulting in changes to their rankings. Among those countries affected were top tier economies, such as the <u>United Kingdom</u>, <u>Iceland</u>, <u>Switzerland</u> and <u>Austria</u>. However, in all these cases, their rankings have moved back upward in the last couple of years as anxieties have eased. Other top tier countries, such as <u>Spain</u>, <u>Portugal</u>, <u>Ireland</u>, and <u>Italy</u>, suffered some effects due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. Greece, another euro zone nation, was also downgraded due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, Greece's position on the precipice of default incurred a sharper downgrade than the other four euro zone countries mentioned above. Cyprus' exposure to Greek bank yielded a downgrade in its case. Slovenia and <u>Latvia</u> have been slightly downgraded due to a mix of economic and political concerns but could easily be upgraded in a future assessment, should these concerns abate. Meanwhile, the crisis in eastern <u>Ukraine</u> fueled downgrades in that country and neighboring <u>Russia</u>.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 131 of 290 pages

Despite the "trifecta of tragedy" in <u>Japan</u> in 2011 -- the earthquake, the ensuing tsunami, and the resulting nuclear crisis -- and the appreciable destabilization of the economic and political terrain therein, this country has only slightly been downgraded. Japan's challenges have been assessed to be transient, the government remains accountable, and there is little risk of default. Both <u>India</u> and China retain their rankings; <u>India</u> holds a slightly higher ranking than <u>China</u> due to its record of democratic representation and accountability.

There were shifts in opposite directions for Mali and Nigeria versus the Central African Republic, Burkina Faso, and Burundi. Mali was slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. Likewise, a new government in Nigeria generated a slight upgrade as the country attempts to confront corruption, crime, and terrorism. But the Central African Republic was downgraded due to the takeover of the government by Seleka rebels and the continued decline into lawlessness in that country. Likewise, the attempts by the leaders of Burundi and Burkina Faso to hold onto power by by-passing the constitution raised eybrows and resulted in downgrades.

Political unrest in <u>Libya</u> and <u>Algeria</u> have contributed to a decision to marginally downgrade these countries as well. <u>Syria</u> incurred a sharper downgrade due to the devolution into de facto civil war and the dire security threat posed by Islamist terrorists. <u>Iraq</u> saw a similar downgrade as a result of the takeover of wide swaths of territory and the threat of genocide at the hands of Islamist terrorists. <u>Yemen</u>, likewise, has been downgraded due to political instability at the hands of secessionists, terrorists, Houthi rebels, and the intervention of external parties. Conversely, <u>Egypt</u> and <u>Tunisia</u> saw slight upgrades as their political environments stabilize.

At the low end of the spectrum, devolving security conditions and/or economic crisis have resulted in countries like <u>Pakistan</u>, <u>Afghanistan</u>, <u>Somalia</u>, and <u>Zimbabwe</u> maintaining their low ratings.

The <u>United States</u> continues to retain its previous slight downgrade due to the enduring threat of default surrounding the debt ceiling in that country, matched by a conflict-ridden political climate. In the case of <u>Mexico</u>, there is limited concern about default, but increasing alarm over the security situation in that country and the government's ability to contain it. In <u>Argentina</u>, a default to bond holders resulted in a downgrade to that country. Finally, a small but significant upgrade was attributed to <u>Cuba</u> due to its recent pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the Unitd States.

Source:

CountryWatch Inc. www.countrywatch.com

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 132 of 290 pages

## **Updated**:

2015

## **Corruption Perceptions Index**

**Corruption Perceptions Index** 

**Transparency International: Corruption Perceptions Index** 

### **Editor's Note:**

Transparency International's <u>Corruption Perceptions Index</u> is a composite index which ranks countries in terms of the degree to which corruption is perceived to exist among public officials. This index indicates the views of national and international business people and analysts about the levels of corruption in each country. The highest (and best) level of transparency is indicated by the number, 10. The lower (and worse) levels of transparency are indicated by lower numbers.

Rank	Country/Territory	CPI 2009 Score	Surveys Used	Confidence Range
1	New Zealand	9.4	6	9.1 - 9.5
2	Denmark	9.3	6	9.1 - 9.5
3	Singapore	9.2	9	9.0 - 9.4
3	Sweden	9.2	6	9.0 - 9.3
5	Switzerland	9.0	6	8.9 - 9.1
6	Finland	8.9	6	8.4 - 9.4
6	Netherlands	8.9	6	8.7 - 9.0
8	Australia	8.7	8	8.3 - 9.0

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 133 of 290 pages

8	Canada	8.7	6	8.5 - 9.0
8	Iceland	8.7	4	7.5 - 9.4
11	Norway	8.6	6	8.2 - 9.1
12	Hong Kong	8.2	8	7.9 - 8.5
12	Luxembourg	8.2	6	7.6 - 8.8
14	Germany	8.0	6	7.7 - 8.3
14	Ireland	8.0	6	7.8 - 8.4
16	Austria	7.9	6	7.4 - 8.3
17	Japan	7.7	8	7.4 - 8.0
17	United Kingdom	7.7	6	7.3 - 8.2
19	United States	7.5	8	6.9 - 8.0
20	Barbados	7.4	4	6.6 - 8.2
21	Belgium	7.1	6	6.9 - 7.3
22	Qatar	7.0	6	5.8 - 8.1
22	Saint Lucia	7.0	3	6.7 - 7.5
24	France	6.9	6	6.5 - 7.3
25	Chile	6.7	7	6.5 - 6.9
25	Uruguay	6.7	5	6.4 - 7.1

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 134 of 290 pages

27	Cyprus	6.6	4	6.1 - 7.1
27	Estonia	6.6	8	6.1 - 6.9
27	Slovenia	6.6	8	6.3 - 6.9
30	United Arab Emirates	6.5	5	5.5 - 7.5
31	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	6.4	3	4.9 - 7.5
32	Israel	6.1	6	5.4 - 6.7
32	Spain	6.1	6	5.5 - 6.6
34	Dominica	5.9	3	4.9 - 6.7
35	Portugal	5.8	6	5.5 - 6.2
35	Puerto Rico	5.8	4	5.2 - 6.3
37	Botswana	5.6	6	5.1 - 6.3
37	Taiwan	5.6	9	5.4 - 5.9
39	Brunei Darussalam	5.5	4	4.7 - 6.4
39	Oman	5.5	5	4.4 - 6.5
39	Korea (South)	5.5	9	5.3 - 5.7
42	Mauritius	5.4	6	5.0 - 5.9
43	Costa Rica	5.3	5	4.7 - 5.9

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 135 of 290 pages

43	Macau	5.3	3	3.3 - 6.9
45	Malta	5.2	4	4.0 - 6.2
46	Bahrain	5.1	5	4.2 - 5.8
46	Cape Verde	5.1	3	3.3 - 7.0
46	Hungary	5.1	8	4.6 - 5.7
49	Bhutan	5.0	4	4.3 - 5.6
49	Jordan	5.0	7	3.9 - 6.1
49	Poland	5.0	8	4.5 - 5.5
52	Czech Republic	4.9	8	4.3 - 5.6
52	Lithuania	4.9	8	4.4 - 5.4
54	Seychelles	4.8	3	3.0 - 6.7
55	South Africa	4.7	8	4.3 - 4.9
56	Latvia	4.5	6	4.1 - 4.9
56	Malaysia	4.5	9	4.0 - 5.1
56	Namibia	4.5	6	3.9 - 5.1
56	Samoa	4.5	3	3.3 - 5.3
56	Slovakia	4.5	8	4.1 - 4.9
61	Cuba	4.4	3	3.5 - 5.1

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 136 of 290 pages

61	Turkey	4.4	7	3.9 - 4.9
63	Italy	4.3	6	3.8 - 4.9
63	Saudi Arabia	4.3	5	3.1 - 5.3
65	Tunisia	4.2	6	3.0 - 5.5
66	Croatia	4.1	8	3.7 - 4.5
66	Georgia	4.1	7	3.4 - 4.7
66	Kuwait	4.1	5	3.2 - 5.1
69	Ghana	3.9	7	3.2 - 4.6
69	Montenegro	3.9	5	3.5 - 4.4
71	Bulgaria	3.8	8	3.2 - 4.5
71	FYR Macedonia	3.8	6	3.4 - 4.2
71	Greece	3.8	6	3.2 - 4.3
71	Romania	3.8	8	3.2 - 4.3
75	Brazil	3.7	7	3.3 - 4.3
75	Colombia	3.7	7	3.1 - 4.3
75	Peru	3.7	7	3.4 - 4.1
75	Suriname	3.7	3	3.0 - 4.7
79	Burkina Faso	3.6	7	2.8 - 4.4

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 137 of 290 pages

79	China	3.6	9	3.0 - 4.2
79	Swaziland	3.6	3	3.0 - 4.7
79	Trinidad and Tobago	3.6	4	3.0 - 4.3
83	Serbia	3.5	6	3.3 - 3.9
84	El Salvador	3.4	5	3.0 - 3.8
84	Guatemala	3.4	5	3.0 - 3.9
84	India	3.4	10	3.2 - 3.6
84	Panama	3.4	5	3.1 - 3.7
84	Thailand	3.4	9	3.0 - 3.8
89	Lesotho	3.3	6	2.8 - 3.8
89	Malawi	3.3	7	2.7 - 3.9
89	Mexico	3.3	7	3.2 - 3.5
89	Moldova	3.3	6	2.7 - 4.0
89	Morocco	3.3	6	2.8 - 3.9
89	Rwanda	3.3	4	2.9 - 3.7
95	Albania	3.2	6	3.0 - 3.3
95	Vanuatu	3.2	3	2.3 - 4.7
97	Liberia	3.1	3	1.9 - 3.8

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 138 of 290 pages

97	Sri Lanka	3.1	7	2.8 - 3.4
99	Bosnia and Herzegovina	3.0	7	2.6 - 3.4
99	Dominican Republic	3.0	5	2.9 - 3.2
99	Jamaica	3.0	5	2.8 - 3.3
99	Madagascar	3.0	7	2.8 - 3.2
99	Senegal	3.0	7	2.5 - 3.6
99	Tonga	3.0	3	2.6 - 3.3
99	Zambia	3.0	7	2.8 - 3.2
106	Argentina	2.9	7	2.6 - 3.1
106	Benin	2.9	6	2.3 - 3.4
106	Gabon	2.9	3	2.6 - 3.1
106	Gambia	2.9	5	1.6 - 4.0
106	Niger	2.9	5	2.7 - 3.0
111	Algeria	2.8	6	2.5 - 3.1
111	Djibouti	2.8	4	2.3 - 3.2
111	Egypt	2.8	6	2.6 - 3.1
111	Indonesia	2.8	9	2.4 - 3.2
111	Kiribati	2.8	3	2.3 - 3.3

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 139 of 290 pages

111       Sao Tome and Principe       2.8       3       2.4 - 3.3         111       Solomon Islands       2.8       3       2.3 - 3.3         111       Togo       2.8       5       1.9 - 3.9         120       Armenia       2.7       7       2.6 - 2.8         120       Bolivia       2.7       6       2.4 - 3.1         120       Ethiopia       2.7       7       2.4 - 2.9         120       Kazakhstan       2.7       7       2.4 - 3.0         120       Mongolia       2.7       7       2.4 - 3.0         120       Vietnam       2.7       9       2.4 - 3.1         126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Maldives       2.5       4       1.8 - 3.2	111	Mali	2.8	6	2.4 - 3.2
111       Togo       2.8       5       1.9 - 3.9         120       Armenia       2.7       7       2.6 - 2.8         120       Bolivia       2.7       6       2.4 - 3.1         120       Ethiopia       2.7       7       2.4 - 2.9         120       Kazakhstan       2.7       7       2.1 - 3.3         120       Mongolia       2.7       7       2.4 - 3.0         120       Vietnam       2.7       9       2.4 - 3.1         126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	111	Sao Tome and Principe	2.8	3	2.4 - 3.3
120       Armenia       2.7       7       2.6 - 2.8         120       Bolivia       2.7       6       2.4 - 3.1         120       Ethiopia       2.7       7       2.4 - 2.9         120       Kazakhstan       2.7       7       2.1 - 3.3         120       Mongolia       2.7       7       2.4 - 3.0         120       Vietnam       2.7       9       2.4 - 3.1         126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	111	Solomon Islands	2.8	3	2.3 - 3.3
120       Bolivia       2.7       6       2.4 - 3.1         120       Ethiopia       2.7       7       2.4 - 2.9         120       Kazakhstan       2.7       7       2.1 - 3.3         120       Mongolia       2.7       7       2.4 - 3.0         120       Vietnam       2.7       9       2.4 - 3.1         126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	111	Togo	2.8	5	1.9 - 3.9
120       Ethiopia       2.7       7       2.4 - 2.9         120       Kazakhstan       2.7       7       2.1 - 3.3         120       Mongolia       2.7       7       2.4 - 3.0         120       Vietnam       2.7       9       2.4 - 3.1         126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	120	Armenia	2.7	7	2.6 - 2.8
120       Kazakhstan       2.7       7       2.1 - 3.3         120       Mongolia       2.7       7       2.4 - 3.0         120       Vietnam       2.7       9       2.4 - 3.1         126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	120	Bolivia	2.7	6	2.4 - 3.1
120       Mongolia       2.7       7       2.4 - 3.0         120       Vietnam       2.7       9       2.4 - 3.1         126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	120	Ethiopia	2.7	7	2.4 - 2.9
120       Vietnam       2.7       9       2.4 - 3.1         126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	120	Kazakhstan	2.7	7	2.1 - 3.3
126       Eritrea       2.6       4       1.6 - 3.8         126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	120	Mongolia	2.7	7	2.4 - 3.0
126       Guyana       2.6       4       2.5 - 2.7         126       Syria       2.6       5       2.2 - 2.9         126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	120	Vietnam	2.7	9	2.4 - 3.1
126     Syria     2.6     5     2.2 - 2.9       126     Tanzania     2.6     7     2.4 - 2.9       130     Honduras     2.5     6     2.2 - 2.8       130     Lebanon     2.5     3     1.9 - 3.1       130     Libya     2.5     6     2.2 - 2.8	126	Eritrea	2.6	4	1.6 - 3.8
126       Tanzania       2.6       7       2.4 - 2.9         130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	126	Guyana	2.6	4	2.5 - 2.7
130       Honduras       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8         130       Lebanon       2.5       3       1.9 - 3.1         130       Libya       2.5       6       2.2 - 2.8	126	Syria	2.6	5	2.2 - 2.9
130     Lebanon     2.5     3     1.9 - 3.1       130     Libya     2.5     6     2.2 - 2.8	126	Tanzania	2.6	7	2.4 - 2.9
130 Libya 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	130	Honduras	2.5	6	2.2 - 2.8
	130	Lebanon	2.5	3	1.9 - 3.1
130 Maldives 2.5 4 1.8 - 3.2	130	Libya	2.5	6	2.2 - 2.8
	130	Maldives	2.5	4	1.8 - 3.2

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 140 of 290 pages

130         Mozambique         2.5         7         2.3 - 2.8           130         Nicaragua         2.5         6         2.3 - 2.7           130         Nigeria         2.5         7         2.2 - 2.7           130         Uganda         2.5         7         2.1 - 2.8           139         Bangladesh         2.4         7         2.0 - 2.8           139         Pakistan         2.4         4         2.0 - 2.8           139         Philippines         2.4         9         2.1 - 2.7           139         Philippines         2.4         9         2.1 - 2.7           143         Azerbaijan         2.3         7         2.0 - 2.6           143         Comoros         2.3         3         1.6 - 3.3           143         Nepal         2.3         6         2.0 - 2.6           146         Cameroon         2.2         7         1.9 - 2.6           146         Ecuador         2.2         5         2.0 - 2.5           146         Russia         2.2         8         1.9 - 2.4	130	Mauritania	2.5	7	2.0 - 3.3
130       Nigeria       2.5       7       2.2 - 2.7         130       Uganda       2.5       7       2.1 - 2.8         139       Bangladesh       2.4       7       2.0 - 2.8         139       Belarus       2.4       4       2.0 - 2.8         139       Pakistan       2.4       7       2.1 - 2.7         139       Philippines       2.4       9       2.1 - 2.7         143       Azerbaijan       2.3       7       2.0 - 2.6         143       Comoros       2.3       3       1.6 - 3.3         143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	130	Mozambique	2.5	7	2.3 - 2.8
130       Uganda       2.5       7       2.1 - 2.8         139       Bangladesh       2.4       7       2.0 - 2.8         139       Belarus       2.4       4       2.0 - 2.8         139       Pakistan       2.4       7       2.1 - 2.7         139       Philippines       2.4       9       2.1 - 2.7         143       Azerbaijan       2.3       7       2.0 - 2.6         143       Comoros       2.3       3       1.6 - 3.3         143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	130	Nicaragua	2.5	6	2.3 - 2.7
139       Bangladesh       2.4       7       2.0 - 2.8         139       Belarus       2.4       4       2.0 - 2.8         139       Pakistan       2.4       7       2.1 - 2.7         139       Philippines       2.4       9       2.1 - 2.7         143       Azerbaijan       2.3       7       2.0 - 2.6         143       Comoros       2.3       3       1.6 - 3.3         143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	130	Nigeria	2.5	7	2.2 - 2.7
139       Belarus       2.4       4       2.0 - 2.8         139       Pakistan       2.4       7       2.1 - 2.7         139       Philippines       2.4       9       2.1 - 2.7         143       Azerbaijan       2.3       7       2.0 - 2.6         143       Comoros       2.3       3       1.6 - 3.3         143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	130	Uganda	2.5	7	2.1 - 2.8
139       Pakistan       2.4       7       2.1 - 2.7         139       Philippines       2.4       9       2.1 - 2.7         143       Azerbaijan       2.3       7       2.0 - 2.6         143       Comoros       2.3       3       1.6 - 3.3         143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	139	Bangladesh	2.4	7	2.0 - 2.8
139       Philippines       2.4       9       2.1 - 2.7         143       Azerbaijan       2.3       7       2.0 - 2.6         143       Comoros       2.3       3       1.6 - 3.3         143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	139	Belarus	2.4	4	2.0 - 2.8
143       Azerbaijan       2.3       7       2.0 - 2.6         143       Comoros       2.3       3       1.6 - 3.3         143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	139	Pakistan	2.4	7	2.1 - 2.7
143       Comoros       2.3       3       1.6 - 3.3         143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	139	Philippines	2.4	9	2.1 - 2.7
143       Nepal       2.3       6       2.0 - 2.6         146       Cameroon       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.6         146       Ecuador       2.2       5       2.0 - 2.5         146       Kenya       2.2       7       1.9 - 2.5	143	Azerbaijan	2.3	7	2.0 - 2.6
146     Cameroon     2.2     7     1.9 - 2.6       146     Ecuador     2.2     5     2.0 - 2.5       146     Kenya     2.2     7     1.9 - 2.5	143	Comoros	2.3	3	1.6 - 3.3
146     Ecuador     2.2     5     2.0 - 2.5       146     Kenya     2.2     7     1.9 - 2.5	143	Nepal	2.3	6	2.0 - 2.6
146 Kenya 2.2 7 1.9 - 2.5	146	Cameroon	2.2	7	1.9 - 2.6
	146	Ecuador	2.2	5	2.0 - 2.5
146 Russia 2.2 8 1.9 - 2.4	146	Kenya	2.2	7	1.9 - 2.5
	146	Russia	2.2	8	1.9 - 2.4
146 Sierra Leone 2.2 5 1.9 - 2.4	146	Sierra Leone	2.2	5	1.9 - 2.4
146 Timor-Leste 2.2 5 1.8 - 2.6	146	Timor-Leste	2.2	5	1.8 - 2.6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 141 of 290 pages

146	Ukraine	2.2	8	2.0 - 2.6
146	Zimbabwe	2.2	7	1.7 - 2.8
154	Côte d'Ivoire	2.1	7	1.8 - 2.4
154	Papua New Guinea	2.1	5	1.7 - 2.5
154	Paraguay	2.1	5	1.7 - 2.5
154	Yemen	2.1	4	1.6 - 2.5
158	Cambodia	2.0	8	1.8 - 2.2
158	Central African Republic	2.0	4	1.9 - 2.2
158	Laos	2.0	4	1.6 - 2.6
158	Tajikistan	2.0	8	1.6 - 2.5
162	Angola	1.9	5	1.8 - 1.9
162	Congo Brazzaville	1.9	5	1.6 - 2.1
162	Democratic Republic of Congo	1.9	5	1.7 - 2.1
162	Guinea-Bissau	1.9	3	1.8 - 2.0
162	Kyrgyzstan	1.9	7	1.8 - 2.1
162	Venezuela	1.9	7	1.8 - 2.0
168	Burundi	1.8	6	1.6 - 2.0
168	Equatorial Guinea	1.8	3	1.6 - 1.9

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 142 of 290 pages

168	Guinea	1.8	5	1.7 - 1.8
168	Haiti	1.8	3	1.4 - 2.3
168	Iran	1.8	3	1.7 - 1.9
168	Turkmenistan	1.8	4	1.7 - 1.9
174	Uzbekistan	1.7	6	1.5 - 1.8
175	Chad	1.6	6	1.5 - 1.7
176	Iraq	1.5	3	1.2 - 1.8
176	Sudan	1.5	5	1.4 - 1.7
178	Myanmar	1.4	3	0.9 - 1.8
179	Afghanistan	1.3	4	1.0 - 1.5
180	Somalia	1.1	3	0.9 - 1.4

## Methodology:

As noted above, the highest (and best) level of transparency with the least perceived corruption is indicated by the number, 10. The lower (and worse) levels of transparency are indicated by lower numbers.

According to Transparency International, the <u>Corruption Perceptions Index</u> (CPI) table shows a country's ranking and score, the number of surveys used to determine the score, and the confidence range of the scoring.

The rank shows how one country compares to others included in the index. The CPI score indicates the perceived level of public-sector corruption in a country/territory.

The CPI is based on 13 independent surveys. However, not all surveys include all countries. The

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 143 of 290 pages

surveys used column indicates how many surveys were relied upon to determine the score for that country.

The confidence range indicates the reliability of the CPI scores and tells us that allowing for a margin of error, we can be 90% confident that the true score for this country lies within this range.

#### Note:

Kosovo, which separated from the Yugoslav successor state of <u>Serbia</u>, is not listed above. No calculation is available for <u>Kosovo</u> at this time, however, a future corruption index by Transparency International may include the world's newest country in its tally. Taiwan has been listed above despite its contested status; while Taiwan claims sovereign status, <u>China</u> claims ultimate jurisdiction over Taiwan. Hong Kong, which is also under the rubric of Chinese sovereignty, is listed above. Note as well that Puerto Rico, which is a <u>United States</u> domain, is also included in the list above. These inclusions likely have to do with the size and fairly autonomous status of their economies.

#### Source:

Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index; available at URL: <a href="http://www.transparency.org">http://www.transparency.org</a>

## <u>Updated</u>:

Uploaded in 2011 using most recent ranking available; reviewed in 2015.

# **Competitiveness Ranking**

# Competitiveness Ranking

#### **Editor's Note:**

The Global Competitiveness Report's competitiveness ranking is based on the Global Competitiveness Index (GCI), which was developed for the World Economic Forum. The GCI is based on a number of competitiveness considerations, and provides a comprehensive picture of the competitiveness landscape in countries around the world. The competitiveness considerations are: institutions, infrastructure, macroeconomic environment, health and primary education, higher

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 144 of 290 pages

education and training, goods market efficiency, labour market efficiency, financial market development, technological readiness, market size, business sophistication, and innovation. The rankings are calculated from both publicly available data and the Executive Opinion Survey.

Country/Economy	GCI 2010 Rank	GCI 2010 Score	GCI 2009 Rank	Change 2009-2010
Switzerland	1	5.63	1	0
Sweden	2	5.56	4	2
Singapore	3	5.48	3	0
United States	4	5.43	2	-2
Germany	5	5.39	7	2
Japan	6	5.37	8	2
Finland	7	5.37	6	-1
Netherlands	8	5.33	10	2
Denmark	9	5.32	5	-4
Canada	10	5.30	9	-1
Hong Kong SAR	11	5.30	11	0
United Kingdom	12	5.25	13	1
Taiwan, China	13	5.21	12	-1
Norway	14	5.14	14	0

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 145 of 290 pages

France	15	5.13	16	1
Australia	16	5.11	15	-1
Qatar	17	5.10	22	5
Austria	18	5.09	17	-1
Belgium	19	5.07	18	-1
Luxembourg	20	5.05	21	1
Saudi Arabia	21	4.95	28	7
Korea, Rep.	22	4.93	19	-3
New Zealand	23	4.92	20	-3
Israel	24	4.91	27	3
United Arab Emirates	25	4.89	23	-2
Malaysia	26	4.88	24	-2
China	27	4.84	29	2
Brunei Darussalam	28	4.75	32	4
Ireland	29	4.74	25	-4
Chile	30	4.69	30	0
Iceland	31	4.68	26	-5
Tunisia	32	4.65	40	8

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 146 of 290 pages

Estonia	33	4.61	35	2
Oman	34	4.61	41	7
Kuwait	35	4.59	39	4
Czech Republic	36	4.57	31	-5
Bahrain	37	4.54	38	1
Thailand	38	4.51	36	-2
Poland	39	4.51	46	7
Cyprus	40	4.50	34	-6
Puerto Rico	41	4.49	42	1
Spain	42	4.49	33	-9
Barbados	43	4.45	44	1
Indonesia	44	4.43	54	10
Slovenia	45	4.42	37	-8
Portugal	46	4.38	43	-3
Lithuania	47	4.38	53	6
Italy	48	4.37	48	0
Montenegro	49	4.36	62	13
Malta	50	4.34	52	2

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 147 of 290 pages

India	51	4.33	49	-2
Hungary	52	4.33	58	6
Panama	53	4.33	59	6
South Africa	54	4.32	45	-9
Mauritius	55	4.32	57	2
Costa Rica	56	4.31	55	-1
Azerbaijan	57	4.29	51	-6
Brazil	58	4.28	56	-2
Vietnam	59	4.27	75	16
Slovak Republic	60	4.25	47	-13
Turkey	61	4.25	61	0
Sri Lanka	62	4.25	79	17
Russian Federation	63	4.24	63	0
Uruguay	64	4.23	65	1
Jordan	65	4.21	50	-15
Mexico	66	4.19	60	-6
Romania	67	4.16	64	-3
Colombia	68	4.14	69	1

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 148 of 290 pages

Iran	69	4.14	n/a	n/a
Latvia	70	4.14	68	-2
Bulgaria	71	4.13	76	5
Kazakhstan	72	4.12	67	-5
Peru	73	4.11	78	5
Namibia	74	4.09	74	0
Morocco	75	4.08	73	-2
Botswana	76	4.05	66	-10
Croatia	77	4.04	72	-5
Guatemala	78	4.04	80	2
Macedonia, FYR	79	4.02	84	5
Rwanda	80	4.00	n/a	n/a
Egypt	81	4.00	70	-11
El Salvador	82	3.99	77	-5
Greece	83	3.99	71	-12
Trinidad and Tobago	84	3.97	86	2
Philippines	85	3.96	87	2
Algeria	86	3.96	83	-3

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 149 of 290 pages

Argentina	87	3.95	85	-2
Albania	88	3.94	96	8
Ukraine	89	3.90	82	-7
Gambia, The	90	3.90	81	-9
Honduras	91	3.89	89	-2
Lebanon	92	3.89	n/a	n/a
Georgia	93	3.86	90	-3
Moldova	94	3.86	n/a	n/a
Jamaica	95	3.85	91	-4
Serbia	96	3.84	93	-3
Syria	97	3.79	94	-3
Armenia	98	3.76	97	-1
Mongolia	99	3.75	117	18
Libya	100	3.74	88	-12
Dominican Republic	101	3.72	95	-6
Bosnia and Herzegovina	102	3.70	109	7
Benin	103	3.69	103	0
Senegal	104	3.67	92	-12

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 150 of 290 pages

Ecuador	105	3.65	105	0
Kenya	106	3.65	98	-8
Bangladesh	107	3.64	106	-1
Bolivia	108	3.64	120	12
Cambodia	109	3.63	110	1
Guyana	110	3.62	104	-6
Cameroon	111	3.58	111	0
Nicaragua	112	3.57	115	3
Tanzania	113	3.56	100	-13
Ghana	114	3.56	114	0
Zambia	115	3.55	112	-3
Tajikistan	116	3.53	122	6
Cape Verde	117	3.51	n/a	n/a
Uganda	118	3.51	108	-10
Ethiopia	119	3.51	118	-1
Paraguay	120	3.49	124	4
Kyrgyz Republic	121	3.49	123	2
Venezuela	122	3.48	113	-9

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 151 of 290 pages

Pakistan	123	3.48	101	-22
Madagascar	124	3.46	121	-3
Malawi	125	3.45	119	-6
Swaziland	126	3.40	n/a	n/a
Nigeria	127	3.38	99	-28
Lesotho	128	3.36	107	-21
Côte d'Ivoire	129	3.35	116	-13
Nepal	130	3.34	125	-5
Mozambique	131	3.32	129	-2
Mali	132	3.28	130	-2
Timor-Leste	133	3.23	126	-7
Burkina Faso	134	3.20	128	-6
Mauritania	135	3.14	127	-8
Zimbabwe	136	3.03	132	-4
Burundi	137	2.96	133	-4
Angola	138	2.93	n/a	n/a
Chad	139	2.73	131	-8

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 152 of 290 pages

## Methodology:

The competitiveness rankings are calculated from both publicly available data and the Executive Opinion Survey, a comprehensive annual survey conducted by the World Economic Forum together with its network of Partner Institutes (leading research institutes and business organizations) in the countries covered by the Report.

Highlights according to WEF --

- The <u>United States</u> falls two places to fourth position, overtaken by <u>Sweden</u> and <u>Singapore</u> in the rankings of the World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Report 2010-2011
- The People's Republic of <u>China</u> continues to move up the rankings, with marked improvements in several other Asian countries
- Germany moves up two places to fifth place, leading the Eurozone countries
- <u>Switzerland</u> tops the rankings

#### Source:

World Economic Forum; available at URL: <a href="http://www.weforum.org">http://www.weforum.org</a>

## **Updated**:

2011 using most recent ranking available; reviewed in 2015.

# **Taxation**

# Corporate tax

The main corporate tax rate is 28 percent. Tax reform includes provisions for reductions in companies' income tax rates in 2008.

#### Individual tax

Individual tax rates are progressive and go as high as 40 percent.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 153 of 290 pages

## Capital gains

Capital gains are treated as income for enterprises.

#### Indirect tax

There is a value-added tax (VAT), which applies to most transactions at the standard rate of 10 percent, although lower rates of five percent apply to some substances. Tere is a zero rate that applies in export zones and to export goods. Tax reform related to the VAT is set to go into effect in 2008.

# **Stock Market**

The government introduced a new countrywide accounting standard in 1997. Most of the "Big Five" accounting firms have opened offices locally. Foreign accounting firms, unlike law firms, can dispense advice about accounting and business problems directly to foreign clients, without having to use local accounting firms as intermediaries. Nonetheless, the absence of clear accounting standards and of transparency in evaluating Vietnamese firms' financial health impedes fulfillment of the government's goal to set up a stock and securities market that would provide an efficient means of raising capital internally. Vietnam is trying to take positive steps toward the formation of a stock and financial-assets market. It has, for instance, formed an official commission headed by a Vice Governor of the State Bank of Vietnam. Still, the prevailing expectation is that a stock market will not be formed in the immediate future.

## **Partner Links**

Partner Links

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 154 of 290 pages

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 155 of 290 pages

# Chapter 5 Social Overview

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 156 of 290 pages

# **People**

## **Cultural Demography**

Ethnic Vietnamese constitute 87 percent of the country's population totalling about 88 million. The Chinese make up the largest minority group; the Chinese comprise about three percent of the population and are concentrated in the south. About 50 other ethnic groups comprise the remaining 10 percent of the population; the most of whom are Tay, Thai, Muong, Hoa, Khmer and Nung scattered over mountain areas, each group numbering about one million.

## Language

During the years of Chinese rule, Chinese was the official language in Vietnam. During the French domination, French was used in administrative, educational and diplomatic areas. Since independence from France in 1945, the Vietnamese language has gradually obtained its dominating position and is now the only official language in the country. Chinese, French, Khmer and English are still spoken in some circles.

## Religion

In terms of religion, Buddhism, Taoism, Christianity, Islam among other belief systems are practiced in Vietnam.

#### **Cultural Influences**

Although Vietnamese culture was strongly influenced by traditional Chinese civilization, the struggle for political independence from China instilled a strong sense of national identity in the Vietnamese people. In addition, nearly 100 years of French rule from 1858 to 1954 introduced some European elements into Vietnamese culture. However, the people continue to observe rites honoring their ancestors and attach great importance to family, indicating the persistence of tradition

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 157 of 290 pages

## **Human Development**

The Vietnamese government administers virtually all educational facilities, and most Vietnamese have at least a primary school education. Literacy is relatively high among the general population, with 90.3 of the total population (86.9 percent of the female population and 93.9 percent of the male population) aged 15 and over being able to read and write.

In terms of health and welfare, life expectancy in Vietnam is 71.33 years of age (68.52 years for males and 74.33 years for females), according to recent estimates. The infant mortality rate of Vietnam is 23.61 deaths per 1,000 live births.

About 5.3 percent of GDP is spent on educational expenditures in this country; about 7.2 percent of GDP is spent on health expenditures. Access to water and sanitation is generally good, especially in urban areas; however, access is more problematic in rural areas.

A notable measure of human development is the Human Development Index (HDI), which is formulated by the United Nations Development Program. The HDI is a composite of several indicators, which measure a country's achievements in three main arenas of human development: longevity, knowledge and education, as well as economic standard of living. In a ranking of 169 countries, the HDI places Vietnam in the medium human development category, at 113th place.

Note: Although the concept of human development is complicated and cannot be properly captured by values and indices, the HDI, which is calculated and updated annually, offers a wide-ranging assessment of human development in certain countries, not based solely upon traditional economic and financial indicators.

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, <a href="www.countrywatch.com">www.countrywatch.com</a> . See Bibliography for list of research sources.

#### **Human Development Index**

Human Development Index

**Human Development Index (Ranked Numerically)** 

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 158 of 290 pages

The Human Development Index (HDI) is used to measure quality of life in countries across the world. The HDI has been compiled since 1990 by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) on a regular basis. The HDI is a composite of several indicators, which measure a country's achievements in three main arenas of human development: longevity, education, and economic standard of living. Although the concept of human development is complicated and cannot be properly captured by values and indices, the HDI offers a wide-ranging assessment of human development in certain countries, not based solely upon traditional economic and financial indicators. For more information about the methodology used to calculate the HDI, please see the "Source Materials" in the appendices of this review.

Very High Human Development	High Human Development	Medium Human Development	Low Human Development
1. Norway	43. Bahamas	86. Fiji	128. Kenya
2. Australia	44. Lithuania	87. Turkmenistan	129. Bangladesh
3. New Zealand	45. Chile	88. Dominican Republic	130. Ghana
4. United States	46. Argentina	89. China	131. Cameroon
5. Ireland	47. Kuwait	90. El Salvador	132. Myanmar (Burma)
6. Liechtenstein	48. Latvia	91. Sri Lanka	133. Yemen
7. Netherlands	49. Montenegro	92. Thailand	134. Benin
8. Canada	50. Romania	93. Gabon	135. Madagascar
9. Sweden	51. Croatia	94. Surname	136. Mauritania
10. Germany	52. Uruguay	95. Bolivia	137. Papua New Guinea

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 159 of 290 pages

11. Japan	53. Libya	96. Paraguay	138. Nepal
12. South Korea	54. Panama	97. Philippines	139. Togo
13. Switzerland	55. Saudi Arabia	98. Botswana	140. Comoros
14. France	56. Mexico	99. Moldova	141. Lesotho
15. Israel	57. Malaysia	100. Mongolia	142. Nigeria
16. Finland	58. Bulgaria	101. Egypt	143. Uganda
17. Iceland	59. Trinidad and Tobago	102. Uzbekistan	144. Senegal
18. Belgium	60. Serbia	103. Micronesia	145. Haiti
19. Denmark	61. Belarus	104. Guyana	146. Angola
20. Spain	62. Costa Rica	105. Namibia	147. Djibouti
21. Hong King	63. Peru	106. Honduras	148. Tanzania
22. Greece	64. Albania	107. Maldives	149. Cote d'Ivoire
23. Italy	65. Russian Federation	108. Indonesia	150. Zambia
24. Luxembourg	66. Kazakhstan	109. Kyrgyzstan	151. Gambia
25. Austria	67. Azerbaijan	110. South Africa	152. Rwanda
26. United Kingdom	68. Bosnia and Herzegovina	111. Syria	153. Malawi
27. Singapore	69. Ukraine	112. Tajikistan	154. Sudan

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 160 of 290 pages

28. Czech Republic	70. Iran	113. Vietnam	155. Afghanistan
29. Slovenia	71. The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	114. Morocco	156. Guinea
30. Andorra	72. Mauritius	115. Nicaragua	157. Ethiopia
31. Slovakia	73. Brazil	116. Guatemala	158. Sierra Leone
32. United Arab Emirates	74. Georgia	117. Equatorial Guinea	159. Central African Republic
33. Malta	75. Venezuela	118. Cape Verde	160. Mali
34. Estonia	76. Armenia	119. India	161. Burkina Faso
35. Cyprus	77. Ecuador	120. East Timor	162. Liberia
36. Hungary	78. Belize	121. Swaziland	163. Chad
37. Brunei	79. Colombia	122. Laos	164. Guinea- Bissau
38. Qatar	80. Jamaica	123. Solomon Islands	165. Mozambique
39. Bahrain	81. Tunisia	124. Cambodia	166. Burundi
40. Portugal	82. Jordan	125. Pakistan	167. Niger
41. Poland	83. Turkey	126. Congo RC	168. Congo DRC
		127. Sao Tome	

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 161 of 290 pages

42. Barbados	84. Algeria	and Principe	169. Zimbabwe
	85. Tonga		

## Methodology:

For more information about the methodology used to calculate the HDI, please see the "Source Materials" in the appendices of this Country Review.

## Reference:

As published in United Nations Development Programme's Human Development Report 2010.

#### Source:

United Nations Development Programme's <u>Human Development Index</u> available at URL: <a href="http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/">http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/</a>

## **Updated**:

Uploaded in 2011 using ranking available; reviewed in 2015

#### **Life Satisfaction Index**

Life Satisfaction Index

#### **Life Satisfaction Index**

Created by Adrian G. White, an Analytic Social Psychologist at the University of Leicester, the "Satisfaction with Life Index" measures subjective life satisfaction across various countries. The data was taken from a metastudy (see below for source) and associates the notion of subjective happiness or life satisfaction with qualitative parameters such as health, wealth, and access to basic education. This assessment serves as an alternative to other measures of happiness that tend to rely on traditional and quantitative measures of policy on quality of life, such as GNP and GDP. The methodology involved the responses of 80,000 people across the globe.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 162 of 290 pages

Rank	Country	Score
1	Denmark	273.4
2	Switzerland	273.33
3	Austria	260
4	Iceland	260
5	The Bahamas	256.67
6	Finland	256.67
7	Sweden	256.67
8	Iran	253.33
9	Brunei	253.33
10	Canada	253.33
11	Ireland	253.33
12	Luxembourg	253.33
13	Costa Rica	250
14	Malta	250
15	Netherlands	250
16	Antiguaand Barbuda	246.67

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 163 of 290 pages

18       New Zealand       246.67         19       Norway       246.67         20       Seychelles       246.67         21       Saint Kitts and Nevis       246.67         22       United Arab Emirates       246.67         23       United States       246.67         24       Vanuatu       246.67         25       Venezuela       246.67         26       Australia       243.33         27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240         34       Colombia       240	17	Malaysia	246.67
20       Seychelles       246.67         21       Saint Kitts and Nevis       246.67         22       United Arab Emirates       246.67         23       United States       246.67         24       Vanuatu       246.67         25       Venezuela       246.67         26       Australia       243.33         27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	18	New Zealand	246.67
21       Saint Kitts and Nevis       246.67         22       United Arab Emirates       246.67         23       United States       246.67         24       Vanuatu       246.67         25       Venezuela       243.33         27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	19	Norway	246.67
22       United Arab Emirates       246.67         23       United States       246.67         24       Vanuatu       246.67         25       Venezuela       246.67         26       Australia       243.33         27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	20	Seychelles	246.67
23       United States       246.67         24       Vanuatu       246.67         25       Venezuela       246.67         26       Australia       243.33         27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	21	Saint Kitts and Nevis	246.67
24       Vanuatu       246.67         25       Venezuela       246.67         26       Australia       243.33         27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	22	United Arab Emirates	246.67
25       Venezuela       246.67         26       Australia       243.33         27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	23	United States	246.67
26       Australia       243.33         27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	24	Vanuatu	246.67
27       Barbados       243.33         28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	25	Venezuela	246.67
28       Belgium       243.33         29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	26	Australia	243.33
29       Dominica       243.33         30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	27	Barbados	243.33
30       Oman       243.33         31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	28	Belgium	243.33
31       Saudi Arabia       243.33         32       Suriname       243.33         33       Bahrain       240	29	Dominica	243.33
32 Suriname 243.33 33 Bahrain 240	30	Oman	243.33
33 Bahrain 240	31	Saudi Arabia	243.33
	32	Suriname	243.33
Colombia 240	33	Bahrain	240
	34	Colombia	240

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 164 of 290 pages

35	Germany	240
36	Guyana	240
37	Honduras	240
38	Kuwait	240
39	Panama	240
40	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	240
41	United Kingdom	236.67
42	Dominican Republic	233.33
43	Guatemala	233.33
44	Jamaica	233.33
45	Qatar	233.33
46	Spain	233.33
47	Saint Lucia	233.33
48	Belize	230
49	Cyprus	230
50	Italy	230
51	Mexico	230
52	Samoa	230

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 165 of 290 pages

53	Singapore	230
54	Solomon Islands	230
55	Trinidad and Tobago	230
56	Argentina	226.67
57	Fiji	223.33
58	Israel	223.33
59	Mongolia	223.33
60	São Tomé and Príncipe	223.33
61	El Salvador	220
62	France	220
63	Hong Kong	220
64	Indonesia	220
65	Kyrgyzstan	220
66	Maldives	220
67	Slovenia	220
68	Taiwan	220
69	East Timor	220
70	Tonga	220

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 166 of 290 pages

71	Chile	216.67
72	Grenada	216.67
73	Mauritius	216.67
74	Namibia	216.67
75	Paraguay	216.67
76	Thailand	216.67
77	Czech Republic	213.33
78	Philippines	213.33
79	Tunisia	213.33
80	Uzbekistan	213.33
81	Brazil	210
82	China	210
83	Cuba	210
84	Greece	210
85	Nicaragua	210
86	Papua New Guinea	210
87	Uruguay	210
88	Gabon	206.67

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 167 of 290 pages

89	Ghana	206.67
90	Japan	206.67
91	Yemen	206.67
92	Portugal	203.33
93	Sri Lanka	203.33
94	Tajikistan	203.33
95	Vietnam	203.33
96	Bhutan	200
97	Comoros	196.67
98	Croatia	196.67
99	Poland	196.67
100	Cape Verde	193.33
101	Kazakhstan	193.33
102	South Korea	193.33
103	Madagascar	193.33
104	Bangladesh	190
105	Republic of the Congo	190
106	The Gambia	190

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 168 of 290 pages

107	Hungary	190
108	Libya	190
109	South Africa	190
110	Cambodia	186.67
111	Ecuador	186.67
112	Kenya	186.67
113	Lebanon	186.67
114	Morocco	186.67
115	Peru	186.67
116	Senegal	186.67
117	Bolivia	183.33
118	Haiti	183.33
119	Nepal	183.33
120	Nigeria	183.33
121	Tanzania	183.33
122	Benin	180
123	Botswana	180
124	Guinea-Bissau	180

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 169 of 290 pages

126       Laos       180         127       Mozambique       180         128       Palestinian Authority       180         129       Slovakia       180         130       Myanmar       176.67         131       Mali       176.67         132       Mauritania       176.67         133       Turkey       176.67         134       Algeria       173.33         135       Equatorial Guinea       173.33         136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170         142       Syria       170	125	India	180
128	126	Laos	180
129       Slovakia       180         130       Myanmar       176.67         131       Mali       176.67         132       Mauritania       176.67         133       Turkey       176.67         134       Algeria       173.33         135       Equatorial Guinea       173.33         136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	127	Mozambique	180
130       Myanmar       176.67         131       Mali       176.67         132       Mauritania       176.67         133       Turkey       176.67         134       Algeria       173.33         135       Equatorial Guinea       173.33         136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	128	Palestinian Authority	180
131       Mali       176.67         132       Mauritania       176.67         133       Turkey       176.67         134       Algeria       173.33         135       Equatorial Guinea       173.33         136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	129	Slovakia	180
132       Mauritania       176.67         133       Turkey       176.67         134       Algeria       173.33         135       Equatorial Guinea       173.33         136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	130	Myanmar	176.67
133       Turkey       176.67         134       Algeria       173.33         135       Equatorial Guinea       173.33         136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	131	Mali	176.67
134       Algeria       173.33         135       Equatorial Guinea       173.33         136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	132	Mauritania	176.67
135       Equatorial Guinea       173.33         136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	133	Turkey	176.67
136       Romania       173.33         137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	134	Algeria	173.33
137       Bosnia and Herzegovina       170         138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	135	Equatorial Guinea	173.33
138       Cameroon       170         139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	136	Romania	173.33
139       Estonia       170         140       Guinea       170         141       Jordan       170	137	Bosnia and Herzegovina	170
140 Guinea 170  141 Jordan 170	138	Cameroon	170
141 Jordan 170	139	Estonia	170
	140	Guinea	170
142 Syria 170	141	Jordan	170
	142	Syria	170

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 170 of 290 pages

143	Sierra Leone	166.67
144	Azerbaijan	163.33
145	Central African Republic	163.33
146	Republic of Macedonia	163.33
147	Togo	163.33
148	Zambia	163.33
149	Angola	160
150	Djibouti	160
151	Egypt	160
152	Burkina Faso	156.67
153	Ethiopia	156.67
154	Latvia	156.67
155	Lithuania	156.67
156	Uganda	156.67
157	Albania	153.33
158	Malawi	153.33
159	Chad	150
160	Côte d'Ivoire	150

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 171 of 290 pages

161	Niger	150
162	Eritrea	146.67
163	Rwanda	146.67
164	Bulgaria	143.33
165	Lesotho	143.33
166	Pakistan	143.33
167	Russia	143.33
168	Swaziland	140
169	Georgia	136.67
170	Belarus	133.33
171	Turkmenistan	133.33
172	Armenia	123.33
173	Sudan	120
174	Ukraine	120
175	Moldova	116.67
176	Democratic Republic of the Congo	110
177	Zimbabwe	110
178	Burundi	100

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 172 of 290 pages

## **Commentary**:

European countries, such as Denmark, Iceland, Finland, Sweden, Switzerland, Austria resided at the top of the ranking with highest levels of self-reported life satisfaction. Conversely, European countries such as Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, Belarus and Ukraine ranked low on the index. African countries such as Democratic Republic of Congo, Zimbabwe and Burundi found themselves at the very bottom of the ranking, and indeed, very few African countries could be found in the top 100. Japan was at the mid-way point in the ranking, however, other Asian countries such as Brunei and Malaysia were in the top tier, while Pakistan was close to the bottom with a low level of self-identified life satisfaction. As a region, the Middle East presented a mixed bad with Saudi Arabians reporing healthy levels of life satisfaction and Egyptians near the bottom of the ranking. As a region, Caribbean countries were ranked highly, consistently demonstrating high levels of life satisfaction. The findings showed that health was the most crucial determining factor in life satisfaction, followed by prosperity and education.

#### Source:

White, A. (2007). A Global Projection of Subjective Well-being: A Challenge To Positive Psychology? Psychtalk 56, 17-20. The data was extracted from a meta-analysis by Marks, Abdallah, Simms & Thompson (2006).

## <u>Uploaded:</u>

Based on study noted above in "Source"; reviewed in 2015

## **Happy Planet Index**

# Happy Planet Index

The Happy Planet Index (HPI) is used to measure human well-being in conjunction with environmental impact. The HPI has been compiled since 2006 by the New Economics Foundation. The index is a composite of several indicators including subjective life satisfaction, life expectancy at birth, and ecological footprint per capita.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 173 of 290 pages

As noted by NEFA, the HPI "reveals the ecological efficiency with which human well-being is delivered." Indeed, the index combines environmental impact with human well-being to measure the environmental efficiency with which, country by country, people live long and happy lives. The countries ranked highest by the HPI are not necessarily the ones with the happiest people overall, but the ones that allow their citizens to live long and fulfilling lives, without negatively impacting this opportunity for either future generations or citizens of other countries. Accordingly, a country like the <u>United States</u> will rank low on this list due to its large per capital ecological footprint, which uses more than its fair share of resources, and will likely cause planetary damage.

It should be noted that the HPI was designed to be a counterpoint to other well-established indices of countries' development, such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which measures overall national wealth and economic development, but often obfuscates the realities of countries with stark variances between the rich and the poor. Moreover, the objective of most of the world's people is not to be wealthy but to be happy. The HPI also differs from the <a href="Human Development Index">Human Development Index</a> (HDI), which measures quality of life but not ecology, since it [HPI] also includes sustainability as a key indicator.

Rank	Country	HPI
1	Costa Rica	76.1
2	Dominican Republic	71.8
3	Jamaica	70.1
4	Guatemala	68.4
5	Vietnam	66.5
6	Colombia	66.1
7	Cuba	65.7
8	El Salvador	61.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 174 of 290 pages

9	Brazil	61.0
10	Honduras	61.0
11	Nicaragua	60.5
12	Egypt	60.3
13	Saudi Arabia	59.7
14	Philippines	59.0
15	Argentina	59.0
16	Indonesia	58.9
17	Bhutan	58.5
18	Panama	57.4
19	Laos	57.3
20	China	57.1
21	Morocco	56.8
22	Sri Lanka	56.5
23	Mexico	55.6
24	Pakistan	55.6
25	Ecuador	55.5
26	Jordan	54.6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 175 of 290 pages

27	Belize	54.5
28	Peru	54.4
29	Tunisia	54.3
30	Trinidad and Tobago	54.2
31	Bangladesh	54.1
32	Moldova	54.1
33	Malaysia	54.0
34	Tajikistan	53.5
35	India	53.0
36	Venezuela	52.5
37	Nepal	51.9
38	Syria	51.3
39	Burma	51.2
40	Algeria	51.2
41	Thailand	50.9
42	Haiti	50.8
43	Netherlands	50.6
44	Malta	50.4

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 176 of 290 pages

45	Uzbekistan	50.1
46	Chile	49.7
47	Bolivia	49.3
48	Armenia	48.3
49	Singapore	48.2
50	Yemen	48.1
51	Germany	48.1
52	Switzerland	48.1
53	Sweden	48.0
54	Albania	47.9
55	Paraguay	47.8
56	Palestinian Authority	47.7
57	Austria	47.7
58	Serbia	47.6
59	Finland	47.2
60	Croatia	47.2
61	Kyrgyzstan	47.1
62	Cyprus	46.2

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 177 of 290 pages

63	Guyana	45.6
64	Belgium	45.4
65	Bosnia and Herzegovina	45.0
66	Slovenia	44.5
67	Israel	44.5
68	South Korea	44.4
69	Italy	44.0
70	Romania	43.9
71	France	43.9
72	Georgia	43.6
73	Slovakia	43.5
74	United Kingdom	43.3
75	Japan	43.3
76	Spain	43.2
77	Poland	42.8
78	Ireland	42.6
79	Iraq	42.6
80	Cambodia	42.3

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 178 of 290 pages

81	Iran	42.1
82	Bulgaria	42.0
83	Turkey	41.7
84	Hong Kong	41.6
85	Azerbaijan	41.2
86	Lithuania	40.9
87	Djibouti	40.4
88	Norway	40.4
89	Canada	39.4
90	Hungary	38.9
91	Kazakhstan	38.5
92	Czech Republic	38.3
93	Mauritania	38.2
94	Iceland	38.1
95	Ukraine	38.1
96	Senegal	38.0
97	Greece	37.6
98	Portugal	37.5

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 179 of 290 pages

99	Uruguay	37.2
100	Ghana	37.1
101	Latvia	36.7
102	Australia	36.6
103	New Zealand	36.2
104	Belarus	35.7
105	Denmark	35.5
106	Mongolia	35.0
107	Malawi	34.5
108	Russia	34.5
109	Chad	34.3
110	Lebanon	33.6
111	Macedonia	32.7
112	Republic of the Congo	32.4
113	Madagascar	31.5
114	United States	30.7
115	Nigeria	30.3
116	Guinea	30.3

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 180 of 290 pages

117	Uganda	30.2
118	South Africa	29.7
119	Rwanda	29.6
120	Democratic Republic of the Congo	29.0
121	Sudan	28.5
122	Luxembourg	28.5
123	United Arab Emirates	28.2
124	Ethiopia	28.1
125	Kenya	27.8
126	Cameroon	27.2
127	Zambia	27.2
128	Kuwait	27.0
129	Niger	26.9
130	Angola	26.8
131	Estonia	26.4
132	Mali	25.8
133	Mozambique	24.6
134	Benin	24.6

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 181 of 290 pages

135	Togo	23.3
136	Sierra Leone	23.1
137	Central African Republic	22.9
138	Burkina Faso	22.4
139	Burundi	21.8
140	Namibia	21.1
141	Botswana	20.9
142	Tanzania	17.8
143	Zimbabwe	16.6

**Source:** This material is derived from the Happy Planet Index issued by the New Economics Foundation (NEF).

**Methodology:** The methodology for the calculations can be found at URL: <a href="http://www.happyplanetindex.org/">http://www.happyplanetindex.org/</a>

# **Status of Women**

## Gender Related Development Index (GDI) Rank:

83rd out of 140

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 182 of 290 pages

Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) Rank:
Not Ranked
Female Population:
41.9 million
Female Life Expectancy at birth:
74.33 years
Total Fertility Rate:
2.1
Maternal Mortality Ratio (2000):
130
Total Number of Women Living with HIV/AIDS:
43,000-150,000
Ever Married Women, Ages 15-19 (%):
8%
Mean Age at Time of Marriage:
22
Contraceptive Use Among Married Women, Any Method (%):
75%
Female Adult Literacy Rate:
86.9%
Combined Female Gross enrollment ratio for Primary, Secondary and Tertiary schools:
61%

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 183 of 290 pages

#### Female-Headed Households (%):

27%

**Economically Active Females (%):** 

73.3%

**Female Contributing Family Workers (%):** 

N/A

**Female Estimated Earned Income:** 

\$2,026

Seats in Parliament held by women (%):

Lower or Single House: 27.3%

Upper House or Senate: N/A

**Year Women Received the Right to Vote:** 

1946

Year Women Received the Right to Stand for Election:

1946

\*The Gender Development Index (GDI) is a composite index which measures the average achievement in a country. While very similar to the Human Development Index in its use of the same variables, the GDI adjusts the average achievement of each country in terms of life expectancy, enrollment in schools, income, and literacy in accordance to the disparities between males and females.

\*The Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) is a composite index measuring gender inequality in three of the basic dimensions of empowerment; economic participation and decision-making, political participation and decision-making, and power over economic resources.

\*Total Fertility Rate (TFR) is defined as the average number of babies born to women during their

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 184 of 290 pages

reproductive years. A TFR of 2.1 is considered the replacement rate; once a TFR of a population reaches 2.1 the population will remain stable assuming no immigration or emigration takes place. When the TFR is greater than 2.1 a population will increase and when it is less than 2.1 a population will eventually decrease, although due to the age structure of a population it will take years before a low TFR is translated into lower population.

- \*Maternal Mortality Rate is the number of deaths to women per 100,000 live births that resulted from conditions related to pregnancy and or delivery related complications.
- \*Economically Active Females are the share of the female population, ages 15 and above, whom supply, or are able to supply, labor for the production of goods and services.
- \*Female Contributing Family Workers are those females who work without pay in an economic enterprise operated by a relative living in the same household.
- \*Estimated Earned Income is measured according to Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) in US dollars.

## **Global Gender Gap Index**

# Global Gender Gap Index

#### **Editor's Note:**

The Global Gender Gap Index by the World Economic Forum ranks most of the world's countries in terms of the division of resources and opportunities among males and females. Specifically, the ranking assesses the gender inequality gap in these four arenas:

- 1. Economic participation and opportunity (salaries and high skilled employment participation levels)
- 2. Educational attainment (access to basic and higher level education)
- 3. Political empowerment (representation in decision-making structures)
- 4. Health and survival (life expectancy and sex ratio)

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 185 of 290 pages

	2010 rank	2010 score	2010 rank among 2009 countries	2009 rank	2009 score	2008 rank	2008 score	2007 rank
Country								
Iceland	1	0.8496	1	1	0.8276	4	0.7999	4
Norway	2	0.8404	2	3	0.8227	1	0.8239	2
Finland	3	0.8260	3	2	0.8252	2	0.8195	3
Sweden	4	0.8024	4	4	0.8139	3	0.8139	1
New Zealand	5	0.7808	5	5	0.7880	5	0.7859	5
Ireland	6	0.7773	6	8	0.7597	8	0.7518	9
Denmark	7	0.7719	7	7	0.7628	7	0.7538	8
Lesotho	8	0.7678	8	10	0.7495	16	0.7320	26
Philippines	9	0.7654	9	9	0.7579	6	0.7568	6
Switzerland	10	0.7562	10	13	0.7426	14	0.7360	40
Spain	11	0.7554	11	17	0.7345	17	0.7281	10
South Africa	12	0.7535	12	6	0.7709	22	0.7232	20
Germany	13	0.7530	13	12	0.7449	11	0.7394	7
Belgium	14	0.7509	14	33	0.7165	28	0.7163	19

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 186 of 290 pages

United Kingdom	15	0.7460	15	15	0.7402	13	0.7366	11
Sri Lanka	16	0.7458	16	16	0.7402	12	0.7371	15
Netherlands	17	0.7444	17	11	0.7490	9	0.7399	12
Latvia	18	0.7429	18	14	0.7416	10	0.7397	13
United States	19	0.7411	19	31	0.7173	27	0.7179	31
Canada	20	0.7372	20	25	0.7196	31	0.7136	18
Trinidad and Tobago	21	0.7353	21	19	0.7298	19	0.7245	46
Mozambique	22	0.7329	22	26	0.7195	18	0.7266	43
Australia	23	0.7271	23	20	0.7282	21	0.7241	17
Cuba	24	0.7253	24	29	0.7176	25	0.7195	22
Namibia	25	0.7238	25	32	0.7167	30	0.7141	29
Luxembourg	26	0.7231	26	63	0.6889	66	0.6802	58
Mongolia	27	0.7194	27	22	0.7221	40	0.7049	62
Costa Rica	28	0.7194	28	27	0.7180	32	0.7111	28
Argentina	29	0.7187	29	24	0.7211	24	0.7209	33
Nicaragua	30	0.7176	30	49	0.7002	71	0.6747	90
Barbados	31	0.7176	31	21	0.7236	26	0.7188	n/a

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 187 of 290 pages

Portugal	32	0.7171	32	46	0.7013	39	0.7051	37
Uganda	33	0.7169	33	40	0.7067	43	0.6981	50
Moldova	34	0.7160	34	36	0.7104	20	0.7244	21
Lithuania	35	0.7132	35	30	0.7175	23	0.7222	14
Bahamas	36	0.7128	36	28	0.7179	n/a	n/a	n/a
Austria	37	0.7091	37	42	0.7031	29	0.7153	27
Guyana	38	0.7090	38	35	0.7108	n/a	n/a	n/a
Panama	39	0.7072	39	43	0.7024	34	0.7095	38
Ecuador	40	0.7072	40	23	0.7220	35	0.7091	44
Kazakhstan	41	0.7055	41	47	0.7013	45	0.6976	32
Slovenia	42	0.7047	42	52	0.6982	51	0.6937	49
Poland	43	0.7037	43	50	0.6998	49	0.6951	60
Jamaica	44	0.7037	44	48	0.7013	44	0.6980	39
Russian Federation	45	0.7036	45	51	0.6987	42	0.6994	45
France	46	0.7025	46	18	0.7331	15	0.7341	51
Estonia	47	0.7018	47	37	0.7094	37	0.7076	30
Chile	48	0.7013	48	64	0.6884	65	0.6818	86

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 188 of 290 pages

Macedonia, FYR	49	0.6996	49	53	0.6950	53	0.6914	35
Bulgaria	50	0.6983	50	38	0.7072	36	0.7077	25
Kyrgyz Republic	51	0.6973	51	41	0.7058	41	0.7045	70
Israel	52	0.6957	52	45	0.7019	56	0.6900	36
Croatia	53	0.6939	53	54	0.6944	46	0.6967	16
Honduras	54	0.6927	54	62	0.6893	47	0.6960	68
Colombia	55	0.6927	55	56	0.6939	50	0.6944	24
Singapore	56	0.6914	56	84	0.6664	84	0.6625	77
Thailand	57	0.6910	57	59	0.6907	52	0.6917	52
Greece	58	0.6908	58	85	0.6662	75	0.6727	72
Uruguay	59	0.6897	59	57	0.6936	54	0.6907	78
Peru	60	0.6895	60	44	0.7024	48	0.6959	75
China	61	0.6881	61	60	0.6907	57	0.6878	73
Botswana	62	0.6876	62	39	0.7071	63	0.6839	53
Ukraine	63	0.6869	63	61	0.6896	62	0.6856	57
Venezuela	64	0.6863	64	69	0.6839	59	0.6875	55
Czech Republic	65	0.6850	65	74	0.6789	69	0.6770	64

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 189 of 290 pages

Tanzania	66	0.6829	66	73	0.6797	38	0.7068	34
Romania	67	0.6826	67	70	0.6805	70	0.6763	47
Malawi	68	0.6824	68	76	0.6738	81	0.6664	87
Paraguay	69	0.6804	69	66	0.6868	100	0.6379	69
Ghana	70	0.6782	70	80	0.6704	77	0.6679	63
Slovak Republic	71	0.6778	71	68	0.6845	64	0.6824	54
Vietnam	72	0.6776	72	71	0.6802	68	0.6778	42
Dominican Republic	73	0.6774	73	67	0.6859	72	0.6744	65
Italy	74	0.6765	74	72	0.6798	67	0.6788	84
Gambia, The	75	0.6762	75	75	0.6752	85	0.6622	95
Bolivia	76	0.6751	76	82	0.6693	80	0.6667	80
Brueni Darussalem	77	0.6748	77	94	0.6524	99	0.6392	n/a
Albania	78	0.6726	78	91	0.6601	87	0.6591	66
Hungary	79	0.6720	79	65	0.6879	60	0.6867	61
Madagascar	80	0.6713	80	77	0.6732	74	0.6736	89
Angola	81	0.6712	81	106	0.6353	114	0.6032	110
Bangladesh	82	0.6702	82	93	0.6526	90	0.6531	100

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 190 of 290 pages

Malta	83	0.6695	83	88	0.6635	83	0.6634	76
Armenia	84	0.6669	84	90	0.6619	78	0.6677	71
Brazil	85	0.6655	85	81	0.6695	73	0.6737	74
Cyprus	86	0.6642	86	79	0.6706	76	0.6694	82
Indonesia	87	0.6615	87	92	0.6580	93	0.6473	81
Georgia	88	0.6598	88	83	0.6680	82	0.6654	67
Tajikistan	89	0.6598	89	86	0.6661	89	0.6541	79
El Salvador	90	0.6596	90	55	0.6939	58	0.6875	48
Mexico	91	0.6577	91	98	0.6503	97	0.6441	93
Zimbabwe	92	0.6574	92	95	0.6518	92	0.6485	88
Belize	93	0.6536	93	87	0.6636	86	0.6610	94
Japan	94	0.6524	94	101	0.6447	98	0.6434	91
Mauritius	95	0.6520	95	96	0.6513	95	0.6466	85
Kenya	96	0.6499	96	97	0.6512	88	0.6547	83
Cambodia	97	0.6482	97	104	0.6410	94	0.6469	98
Malaysia	98	0.6479	98	100	0.6467	96	0.6442	92
Maldives	99	0.6452	99	99	0.6482	91	0.6501	99
Azerbaijan	100	0.6446	100	89	0.6626	61	0.6856	59

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 191 of 290 pages

Senegal	101	0.6414	101	102	0.6427	n/a	n/a	n/a
Suriname	102	0.6407	102	78	0.6726	79	0.6674	56
United Arab Emirates	103	0.6397	103	112	0.6198	105	0.6220	105
Korea, Rep.	104	0.6342	104	115	0.6146	108	0.6154	97
Kuwait	105	0.6318	105	105	0.6356	101	0.6358	96
Zambia	106	0.6293	106	107	0.6310	106	0.6205	101
Tunisia	107	0.6266	107	109	0.6233	103	0.6295	102
Fiji	108	0.6256	108	103	0.6414	n/a	n/a	n/a
Guatemala	109	0.6238	109	111	0.6209	112	0.6072	106
Bahrain	110	0.6217	110	116	0.6136	121	0.5927	115
Burkina Faso	111	0.6162	111	120	0.6081	115	0.6029	117
India	112	0.6155	112	114	0.6151	113	0.6060	114
Mauritania	113	0.6152	113	119	0.6103	110	0.6117	111
Cameroon	114	0.6110	114	118	0.6108	117	0.6017	116
Nepal	115	0.6084	115	110	0.6213	120	0.5942	125
Lebanon*	116	0.6084	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Qatar	117	0.6059	116	125	0.5907	119	0.5948	109

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 192 of 290 pages

Nigeria	118	0.6055	117	108	0.6280	102	0.6339	107
Algeria	119	0.6052	118	117	0.6119	111	0.6111	108
Jordan	120	0.6048	119	113	0.6182	104	0.6275	104
Ethiopia	121	0.6019	120	122	0.5948	122	0.5867	113
Oman	122	0.5950	121	123	0.5938	118	0.5960	119
Iran	123	0.5933	122	128	0.5839	116	0.6021	118
Syria	124	0.5926	123	121	0.6072	107	0.6181	103
Egypt	125	0.5899	124	126	0.5862	124	0.5832	120
Turkey	126	0.5876	125	129	0.5828	123	0.5853	121
Morocco	127	0.5767	126	124	0.5926	125	0.5757	122
Benin	128	0.5719	127	131	0.5643	126	0.5582	123
Saudi Arabia	129	0.5713	128	130	0.5651	128	0.5537	124
Côte d'Ivoire*	130	0.5691	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mali	131	0.5680	129	127	0.5860	109	0.6117	112
Pakistan	132	0.5465	130	132	0.5458	127	0.5549	126
Chad	133	0.5330	131	133	0.5417	129	0.5290	127
Yemen	134	0.4603	132	134	0.4609	130	0.4664	128
Belarus	n/a	n/a	n/a	34	0.7141	33	0.7099	23

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 193 of 290 pages

Uzbekistan	n/a	n/a	n/a	58	0.6913	55	0.6906	41

### **Commentary**:

According to the report's index, Nordic countries, such as <u>Iceland</u>, <u>Norway</u>, <u>Finland</u>, and <u>Sweden</u> have continued to dominate at the top of the ranking for gender equality. Meanwhile, <u>France</u> has seen a notable decline in the ranking, largely as a result of decreased number of women holding ministerial portfolios in that country. In the Americas, the <u>United States</u> has risen in the ranking to top the region, predominantly as a result of a decreasing wage gap, as well as higher number of women holding key positions in the current Obama administration. Canada has continued to remain as one of the top ranking countries of the Americas, followed by the small Caribbean island nation of Trinidad and Tobago, which has the distinction of being among the top three countries of the Americans in the realm of gender equality. Lesotho and South African ranked highly in the index, leading not only among African countries but also in global context. Despite Lesotho still lagging in the area of life expectancy, its high ranking was attributed to high levels of female participation in the labor force and female literacy. The **Philippines** and **Sri Lanka** were the top ranking countries for gender equality for Asia, ranking highly also in global context. Philippines has continued to show strong performance in all strong performance on all four dimensions (detailed above) of the index. Finally, in the Arab world, the United Arab Emirates held the highest-rank within that region of the world; however, its placement near the bottom of the global list highlights the fact that Arab countries are generally poor performers when it comes to the matter of gender equality in global scope.

#### Source:

This data is derived from the latest edition of The Global Gender Gap Report by the World Economic Forum.

#### Available at URL:

http://www.weforum.org/en/Communities/Women%20Leaders%20and%20Gender%20Parity/Gende

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 194 of 290 pages

<sup>\*</sup>new country 2010

## **Updated**:

Based on latest available data as set forth in chart; reviewed in 2014

## **Culture and Arts**

Content coming soon.

# **Etiquette**

#### **Cultural Dos and Taboos**

- 1. Do not cross your index finger with the middle finger as a gesture of good luck.
- 2. When dinning in a Vietnamese home bring a gift to show the family respect and appreciation. Do not present the host or friends with a gift wrapped in white paper. The gift itself should not be white. White is associated with death and is considered bad luck.
- 3. Remove shoes before entering a private home or place of worship.
- 4. A handshake is an acceptable form of greeting between persons of the same age, but it is customary to bow to those who are older or of high social rank.
- 5. When dining out with Vietnamese friends or business associates expect the person who initiated the invitation to pay.
- 6. There is a great respect given to elders. They are always greeted first. Never use direct eye contact when speaking to an elder. Never insult an elder, it will be a poor reflection on you and will damage personal and business relationships.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 195 of 290 pages

- 7. When initiating contact with a Vietnamese entity, it is often best to be introduced through a third party as people outside a person's known circle may be regarded with suspicion. An introduction from a mutual friend, acquaintance or known business associate before initial contact can help alleviate some of the problems that arise in initial correspondence or meetings.
- 8. 'Face' is extremely important to many Vietnamese. It is very important to try not to put your Vietnamese counterparts in an embarrassing situation or one that calls for public back tracking. You should be careful not to cause your Vietnamese contact embarrassment in front of superiors, peers, or subordinates. Tact, sensitivity and discretion are considered the most effective approach in dealing with disagreements or uncomfortable situations.
- 9. Vietnamese names begin with the family name, followed by the middle name and finally the given name. To distinguish individuals, Vietnamese address each other by their given names. Therefore, Mr. Nguyen Anh Quang would be addressed Mr. Quang. Pronouns are always used when addressing or speaking about someone. You should always address your contacts as Mr., Mrs., Ms. or Miss followed by the given name. Vietnamese often reciprocate this custom when addressing foreigners. Ms. Jane Doe would typically be addressed as Ms. Jane. If you are unsure how to address someone, just ask for advice.
- 10. Normal business attire consists of a suit and tie for men and suit or dress for women. During the hotter months, formal dress for men is a shirt and tie. Open collar shirts and slacks may be worn to more informal meetings depending on the situation. The trend in the South is to be more casual; suit jackets are worn only on very formal occasions and first meetings.

## **Travel Information**

## **Please Note**

This is a generalized travel guide and it is intended to coalesce several resources, which a traveler might find useful, regardless of a particular destination. As such, it does not include travel warnings for specific "hot spot" destinations.

For travel alerts and warnings, please see the United States Department of State's listings available at URL:

http://travel.state.gov/content/passports/english/alertswarnings.html

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 196 of 290 pages

Please note that travel to the following countries, based on these warnings, is ill-advised, or should be undertaken with the utmost precaution:

Afghanistan, Algeria, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Colombia, Democratic Republic of Congo, Djibouti, El Salvador, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Guinea, Honduras, Iraq, Iran, Lebanon, Liberia, Libya, Mali, Mauritania, Mexico, Nepal, Niger, Nigeria, North Korea, Pakistan, Palestinian Territories of West Bank and Gaza, Philippines areas of Sulu Archipelago, Mindanao, and southern Sulu Sea, Saudi Arabia, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Syria, Ukraine, Venezuela, and Yemen.

#### **International Travel Guide**

### **Checklist for Travelers**

- 1. Take out travel insurance to cover hospital treatment or medical evacuation. Overseas medical costs are expensive to most international travelers, where one's domestic, nationalized or even private health insurance plans will not provide coverage outside one's home country. Learn about "reciprocal insurance plans" that some international health care companies might offer.
- **2.** Make sure that one's travel insurance is appropriate. If one intends to indulge in adventurous activities, such as parasailing, one should be sure that one is fully insured in such cases. Many traditional insurance policies do not provide coverage in cases of extreme circumstances.
- **3.** Take time to learn about one's destination country and culture. Read and learn about the place one is traveling. Also check political, economic and socio-cultural developments at the destination by reading country-specific travel reports and fact sheets noted below.
- **4.** Get the necessary visas for the country (or countries) one intends to visit but be aware that a visa does not guarantee entry. A number of useful sites regarding visa and other entry requirements are noted below.
- **5.** Keep in regular contact with friends and relatives back at home by phone or email, and be sure to leave a travel itinerary.
- **6.** Protect one's personal information by making copies of one's passport details, insurance policy, travelers checks and credit card numbers. Taking copies of such documents with you, while leaving another collection copies with someone at home is also good practice for travelers. Taking copies of one's passport photograph is also recommended.
- 7. Stay healthy by taking all possible precautions against illness. Also, be sure to take extra supplies of prescription drugs along for the trip, while also taking time to pack general pharmaceutical supplies, such as aspirin and other such painkillers, bandages, stomach ailment medication, anti-inflammatory medication and anti-bacterial medication.
- **8.** Do not carry illicit drugs. Understand that the punishment for possession or use of illegal drugs

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 197 of 290 pages

in some countries may be capital punishment. Make sure your prescription drugs are legal in the countries you plan to visit.

- **9.** Know the laws of one's destination country and culture; be sure to understand the repercussions of breaking those laws and regulations. Often the transparency and freedoms of the juridical system at home is not consistent with that of one's destination country. Become aware of these complexities and subtleties before you travel.
- 10. For longer stays in a country, or where the security situation is volatile, one should register one's self and traveling companions at the local embassy or consulate of one's country of citizenship.
- 11. Women should take care to be prepared both culturally and practically for traveling in a different country and culture. One should be sure to take sufficient supplies of personal feminine products and prescription drugs. One should also learn about local cultural standards for women, including norms of dressing. Be aware that it is simply inappropriate and unsafe for women to travel alone in some countries, and take the necessary precautions to avoid risk-filled situations.
- 12. If one is traveling with small children, one should pack extra supplies, make arrangements with the travel carrier for proper seating that would adequately accommodate children, infants or toddlers. Note also that whether one is male of female, traveling with children means that one's hands are thus not free to carry luggage and bags. Be especially aware that this makes one vulnerable to pickpockets, thieves and other sorts of crime.
- 13. Make proper arrangements for accommodations, well in advance of one's arrival at a destination. Some countries have limited accommodation, while others may have culturally distinctive facilities. Learning about these practicalities before one travels will greatly aid the enjoyment of one's trip.
- **14.** Travel with different forms of currency and money (cash, traveler's checks and credit cards) in anticipation that venues may not accept one or another form of money. Also, ensuring that one's financial resources are not contained in one location, or by one person (if one is traveling with others) can be a useful measure, in the event that one loses a wallet or purse.
- **15.** Find out about transportation in the destination country. In some places, it might be advisable to hire a local driver or taxi guide for safety reasons, while in other countries, enjoying one's travel experience may well be enhanced by renting a vehicle and seeing the local sights and culture independently. Costs may also be prohibitive for either of these choices, so again, prior planning is suggested.

### **Tips for Travelers**

- Get adequate travel insurance including medical insurance.
- Obtain a visa before arrival.
- Check with your embassy, consulate, or appropriate government institution related to travel

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 198 of 290 pages

before traveling.

- Bring enough money for your stay.
- Keep belongings, including your passport, in a safe place.
- Enter next of kin details into the back of your passport.
- Beware of pickpockets.
- Seek medical advice from your doctor. Vaccinate against Japanese Encephalitis and take prophylactics against malaria.
- Don't carry or use drugs; penalties are severe.
- Don't overstay your visa.

Note: This information is directly quoted from the United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office.

Sources: United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office

#### **Business Culture: Information for Business Travelers**

Vietnam is a markedly Confucian society and its business practices are often more similar to those of China, Japan and Korea than to those of its Southeast Asian neighbors. The social dynamics and world-view of Vietnam's society are reflected in the business climate including such matters as: 'face', consensus building, and the zero-sum game assumption.

'Face' is extremely important to many Vietnamese. It is very important to try not to put your Vietnamese counterparts in an embarrassing situation or one that calls for public back tracking. You should be careful not to cause your Vietnamese contact embarrassment in front of superiors, peers, or subordinates. Fear of losing face often makes Vietnamese wary of spontaneous give-and-take, unscripted public comment, or off-the-cuff negotiation. Tact, sensitivity and discretion are considered the most effective approach in dealing with disagreements or uncomfortable situations. Westerners often view the idea of face as quaint, but to many Vietnamese it matters a great deal, and the loss of face by your contact could very well mean the loss of your contact.

Consensual decision-making is very deeply ingrained in Vietnamese social and political behavior. "Consensus" means different things in different societies. In Vietnam, it often means that all parties

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 199 of 290 pages

with a voice can wield a veto and must be brought on board. In building a consensus, it may prove impossible to "steamroller" the minority opinion, which must be wooed instead. To take the Central Government as an example, the lead ministry on a given issue may be unable to advance its positions if other ministries with seemingly minor involvement in the decision oppose it. Unless the latter can be won over, the result is a stalemate.

Western businesspeople sometimes become frustrated with the apparent inability of the person across the table from them to make a decision (even if the counterpart is quite senior), or the fact that decisions once made are inexplicably reversed. This is indicative, not of the person's ability or willingness to work with foreign businesspeople, but of complexities behind the scenes and the fact that the apparent decision-maker does not always have the only say in negotiations.

Some Western businesspeople typically assume that "win-win" deals are common and relatively easy to achieve. Few Vietnamese probably share that optimism. To most, business is a zero-sum game. There is a winner and a loser. This is important to keep in mind when dealing with a Vietnamese organization. It can define your relationship with your Vietnamese counterpart and your Vietnamese counterpart's relationship with the local market. Once a deal is struck in principle, the Western counterpart may want to get on with it, while Vietnamese may want to take more time to improve their terms (even if that means delaying the entire undertaking).

When initiating contact with a Vietnamese entity, it is often best to be introduced through a third party as people outside a person's known circle may be regarded with suspicion. An introduction from a mutual friend, acquaintance or known business associate before initial contact can help alleviate some of the problems that arise in initial correspondence or meetings.

If it is not possible to have a third party introduce you, self-introductions should start with an explanation of what led you to contact this particular organization. This will help the Vietnamese side understand how to relate to you.

Vietnamese names begin with the family name, followed by the middle name and finally the given name. To distinguish individuals, Vietnamese address each other by their given names. Therefore, Mr. Nguyen Anh Quang would be addressed Mr. Quang. Pronouns are always used when addressing or speaking about someone. You should always address your contacts as Mr., Mrs., Ms. or Miss followed by the given name. Vietnamese often reciprocate this custom when addressing foreigners. Ms. Jane Doe would typically be addressed as Ms. Jane. If you are unsure how to address someone, just ask for advice.

Your first contact with a potential Vietnamese partner should be long on form and fairly short on substance. Effort should be spent on introducing yourself, your company and objectives in the Vietnamese market place. Relatively little emphasis should be placed on the specifics of your objectives. Your correspondence should end with pleasantries and an invitation to continue the

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 200 of 290 pages

## dialogue.

If your business relationship continues through correspondence, you should continue to include introductory and closing pleasantries in your letters. Vietnamese are typically used to the formality of corresponding in Vietnamese and the abruptness of some Western business correspondence can make them uncomfortable.

Establishing operations or making sales in Vietnam entails numerous business meetings, as face-to-face discussions are favored over telephone calls or letters. A first meeting tends to be formal and viewed as an introductory session. If you are unsure of exactly who in the organization you should be meeting with, you should address the request for a meeting to the top official/manager in the organization. It is helpful to submit a meeting agenda, issues to be discussed and marketing material and/or technical information prior to the actual meeting. This will allow the Vietnamese side to share and review information within the organization in order to ensure the correct people participate in the meeting.

A meeting usually begins with the guest being led into a room where there may be a number of Vietnamese waiting. The Vietnamese principal is rarely in the room when the guests arrive and you will be left to make small talk with the other meeting participants until the principal makes his or her entrance. It is common for a third person (from either side) to introduce the two principals of the meeting. Once this is done and all participants have been introduced to each other and have exchanged name cards, participants can take a seat.

Seating for a meeting is generally 'us versus them' across a conference table with the principal interlocutors in the center and directly across from each other. Other participants are generally arranged in a hierarchy on the right and left. Generally, the farther one is from the center of the table, the less important one is. Sometimes the meeting will take place in a formal meeting room where there are chairs arranged in a 'U' pattern. The principals will take their seats in the two chairs at the base of the 'U' with other participants arranging themselves in rank order along the sides of the 'U'.

Meetings generally begin with the principal guest making introductory remarks. These remarks should include formal thanks for the hosts accepting the meeting, general objectives for the meeting, an introduction of participants and pleasantries. This will be followed by formal remarks by the Vietnamese host. Once the formalities and pleasantries are dispensed with, substantive discussion can ensue. Even if the principal host is not heavily involved in the details of the conversation, guests should remember to address include the principal in the conversation allowing him or her to delegate authority to answer.

A general business call lasts no more than one hour. Usually, the visitor is expected to initiate or signal the closure of the meeting.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 201 of 290 pages

Hiring a reliable interpreter is essential, as most business and official meetings are conducted in Vietnamese. Even with the increasing use of English, non-native English speakers will need interpretation to understand the subtleties of the conversation. When working with an interpreter, one should speak slowly and clearly in simple sentences and pause often for interpretation (generally at the end of a paragraph). One should brief the interpreter on each meeting in advance.

Normal business attire consists of a suit and tie for men and suit or dress for women. During the hotter months, formal dress for men is a shirt and tie. Open collar shirts and slacks may be worn to more informal meetings depending on the situation. The trend in the South is to be more casual; suit jackets are worn only on very formal occasions and first meetings.

Sources: United States Department of State Commercial Guides

## Online Resources Regarding Entry Requirements and Visas

Foreign Entry Requirements for Americans from the United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis">http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis</a> pa tw/cis/cis 1765.html

Visa Services for Non-Americans from the United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/visa/visa\_1750.html">http://travel.state.gov/visa/visa\_1750.html</a>

Visa Bulletins from the United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/visa/frvi/bulletin/bulletin">http://travel.state.gov/visa/frvi/bulletin/bulletin</a> 1360.html

Visa Waivers from the United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/visa/temp/without/without\_1990.html">http://travel.state.gov/visa/temp/without/without\_1990.html</a> - new

Passport and Visa Information from the Government of the United Kingdom <a href="http://www.bia.homeoffice.gov.uk/">http://www.bia.homeoffice.gov.uk/</a>

Visa Information from the Government of Australia <a href="http://www.dfat.gov.au/visas/index.html">http://www.dfat.gov.au/visas/index.html</a>

Passport Information from the Government of Australia <a href="https://www.passports.gov.au/Web/index.aspx">https://www.passports.gov.au/Web/index.aspx</a>

Passport Information from the Government of Canada <a href="http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation">http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation</a> information/passport passeport-eng.asp

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 202 of 290 pages

Visa Information from the Government of Canada <a href="http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation\_information/visas-eng.asp">http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation\_information/visas-eng.asp</a>

Online Visa Processing by Immigration Experts by VisaPro <a href="http://www.visapro.com">http://www.visapro.com</a>

Sources: United States Department of State, United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Government of Australia: Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Government of Canada Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade

Useful Online Resources for Travelers

Country-Specific Travel Information from United States <a href="http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis\_pa\_tw/cis/cis\_1765.html">http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis\_pa\_tw/cis/cis\_1765.html</a>

Travel Advice by Country from Government of United Kingdom <a href="http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/">http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/</a>

General Travel Advice from Government of Australia <a href="http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/Advice/General">http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/Advice/General</a>

Travel Bulletins from the Government of Australia <a href="http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/TravelBulletins/">http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/TravelBulletins/</a>

Travel Tips from Government of Australia <a href="http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/tips/index.html">http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/tips/index.html</a>

Travel Checklist by Government of Canada <a href="http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation">http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation</a> information/checklist sommaire-eng.asp

Travel Checklist from Government of United Kingdom <a href="http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/staying-safe/checklist">http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/staying-safe/checklist</a>

Your trip abroad from United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/brochures/brochures">http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/brochures/brochures</a> 1225.html

A safe trip abroad from United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/safety/safety\_1747.html">http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/safety/safety\_1747.html</a>

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 203 of 290 pages

Tips for expatriates abroad from United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/residing/residing/1235.html">http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/residing/residing/1235.html</a>

Tips for students from United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/studying\_1238.html">http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/studying\_1238.html</a> <a href="http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/brocl">http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/brocl</a>

Medical information for travelers from United States Department of State <a href="http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/health/health/1185.html">http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/health/healt

US Customs Travel information <a href="http://www.customs.gov/xp/cgov/travel/">http://www.customs.gov/xp/cgov/travel/</a>

Sources: United States Department of State; United States Customs Department, United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Government of Australia; Government of Canada: Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade

Other Practical Online Resources for Travelers

Foreign Language Phrases for Travelers

http://www.travlang.com/languages/

http://www.omniglot.com/language/phrases/index.htm

World Weather Forecasts

http://www.intellicast.com/

http://www.wunderground.com/

http://www.worldweather.org/

Worldwide Time Zones, Map, World Clock

http://www.timeanddate.com/

http://www.worldtimezone.com/

**International Airport Codes** 

http://www.world-airport-codes.com/

**International Dialing Codes** 

http://www.kropla.com/dialcode.htm

http://www.countrycallingcodes.com/

International Phone Guide

http://www.kropla.com/phones.htm

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 204 of 290 pages

International Mobile Phone Guide <a href="http://www.kropla.com/mobilephones.htm">http://www.kropla.com/mobilephones.htm</a>

International Internet Café Search Engine <a href="http://cybercaptive.com/">http://cybercaptive.com/</a>

Global Internet Roaming <a href="http://www.kropla.com/roaming.htm">http://www.kropla.com/roaming.htm</a>

World Electric Power Guide <a href="http://www.kropla.com/electric.htm">http://www.kropla.com/electric2.htm</a>

World Television Standards and Codes <a href="http://www.kropla.com/tv.htm">http://www.kropla.com/tv.htm</a>
International Currency Exchange Rates <a href="http://www.xe.com/ucc/">http://www.xe.com/ucc/</a>

Banking and Financial Institutions Across the World <a href="http://www.123world.com/banks/index.html">http://www.123world.com/banks/index.html</a>

International Credit Card or Automated Teller Machine (ATM) Locator <a href="http://visa.via.infonow.net/locator/global/">http://visa.via.infonow.net/locator/global/</a>
<a href="http://www.mastercard.com/us/personal/en/cardholderservices/atmlocations/index.html">http://www.mastercard.com/us/personal/en/cardholderservices/atmlocations/index.html</a>

International Chambers of Commerce <a href="http://www.123world.com/chambers/index.html">http://www.123world.com/chambers/index.html</a>

World Tourism Websites <a href="http://123world.com/tourism/">http://123world.com/tourism/</a>

Diplomatic and Consular Information

United States Diplomatic Posts Around the World <a href="http://www.usembassy.gov/">http://www.usembassy.gov/</a>

United Kingdom Diplomatic Posts Around the World http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/about-the-fco/embassies-and-posts/find-an-embassy-overseas/

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 205 of 290 pages

Australia's Diplomatic Posts Around the World

http://www.dfat.gov.au/missions/

http://www.dfat.gov.au/embassies.html

Canada's Embassies and High Commissions

http://www.international.gc.ca/ciw-cdm/embassies-ambassades.aspx

Resources for Finding Embassies and other Diplomatic Posts Across the World <a href="http://www.escapeartist.com/embassy1/embassy1.htm">http://www.escapeartist.com/embassy1/embassy1.htm</a>

Safety and Security

Travel Warnings by Country from Government of Australia <a href="http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/Advice/">http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/Advice/</a>

Travel Warnings and Alerts from United States Department of State

http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis\_pa\_tw/tw/tw\_1764.html

http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis\_pa\_tw/pa/pa\_1766.html

Travel Reports and Warnings by Government of Canada

http://www.voyage.gc.ca/countries\_pays/menu-eng.asp

http://www.voyage.gc.ca/countries\_pays/updates\_mise-a-jour-eng.asp

Travel Warnings from Government of United Kingdom

http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/

 $\underline{http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?}$ 

action=noTravelAll#noTravelAll

Sources: United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office, the United States Department of State, the Government of Canada: Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, Government of Australia: Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Other Safety and Security Online Resources for Travelers

United States Department of State Information on Terrorism <a href="http://www.state.gov/s/ct/">http://www.state.gov/s/ct/</a>

Government of the United Kingdom Resource on the Risk of Terrorism

http://www.fco.gov.uk/servlet/Front?

pagename=OpenMarket/Xcelerate/ShowPage&c=Page&cid=1044011304926

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 206 of 290 pages

Government of Canada Terrorism Guide <a href="http://www.international.gc.ca/crime/terrorism-terrorisme.aspx?lang=eng">http://www.international.gc.ca/crime/terrorism-terrorisme.aspx?lang=eng</a>

Information on Terrorism by Government of Australia <a href="http://www.dfat.gov.au/icat/index.html">http://www.dfat.gov.au/icat/index.html</a>

FAA Resource on Aviation Safety <a href="http://www.faasafety.gov/">http://www.faasafety.gov/</a>

In-Flight Safety Information for Air Travel (by British Airways crew trainer, Anna Warman) <a href="http://www.warman.demon.co.uk/anna/inflight.html">http://www.warman.demon.co.uk/anna/inflight.html</a>

Hot Spots: Travel Safety and Risk Information <a href="http://www.airsecurity.com/hotspots/HotSpots.asp">http://www.airsecurity.com/hotspots/HotSpots.asp</a>

Information on Human Rights <a href="http://www.state.gov/g/drl/hr/">http://www.state.gov/g/drl/hr/</a>

Sources: The United States Department of State, the United States Customs Department, the Government of Canada, the Government of United Kingdom, the Government of Australia, the Federal Aviation Authority, Anna Warman's In-flight Website, Hot Spots Travel and Risk Information

## **Diseases/Health Data**

Please Note: Most of the entry below constitutes a generalized health advisory, which a traveler might find useful, regardless of a particular destination.

As a supplement, however, the reader will also find below a list of countries flagged with

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 207 of 290 pages

current health notices and alerts issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Please note that travel to the following countries, based on these 3 levels of warnings, is ill-advised, or should be undertaken with the utmost precaution:

Level 3 (highest level of concern; avoid non-essential travel) --

Guinea - Ebola

Liberia - Ebola

Nepal - Eathquake zone

Sierra Leone - Ebola

Level 2 (intermediate level of concern; use utmost caution during travel) --

Cameroon - Polio

Somalia - Polio

Vanuatu - Tropical Cyclone zone

Throughout Middle East and Arabia Peninsula - MERS ((Middle East Respiratory Syndrome)

Level 1 (standard level of concern; use practical caution during travel) -

Australia - Ross River disease

**Bosnia-Herzegovina - Measles** 

**Brazil - Dengue Fever** 

Brazil - Malaria

Brazil - Zika

China - H7N9 Avian flu

Cuba - Cholera

Egypt - H5N1 Bird flu

**Ethiopia - Measles** 

**Germany - Measles** 

Japan - Hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD)

**Kyrgyzstan - Measles** 

Malaysia -Dengue Fever

Mexico - Chikungunya

**Mexico - Hepatitis A** 

Nigeria - Meningitis

**Philippines - Measles** 

**Scotland - Mumps** 

Singapore - Hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD)

South Korea - MERS ((Middle East Respiratory Syndrome)

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 208 of 290 pages

Throughout Caribbean - Chikungunya Throughout Central America - Chikungunya Throughout South America - Chikungunya Throughout Pacific Islands - Chikungunya

For specific information related to these health notices and alerts please see the CDC's listing available at URL:

http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/notices

\*\*\*

#### Health Information for Travelers to Vietnam

Food and waterborne diseases are the number one cause of illness in travelers. Travelers' diarrhea can be caused by viruses, bacteria, or parasites, which are found throughout the region and can contaminate food or water. Infections may cause diarrhea and vomiting (*E. coli*, *Salmonella*, cholera, and parasites), fever (typhoid fever and toxoplasmosis), or liver damage (hepatitis). Make sure your food and drinking water are safe. (See below.)

Malaria is a preventable infection that can be fatal if left untreated. Prevent infection by taking prescription antimalarial drugs and protecting yourself against mosquito bites (see below). Malaria risk in this region exists all year in some cities and all rural areas of these countries, except for Brunei Darussalam and Singapore. For specific locations, see Malaria Information for Travelers to Southeast Asia (<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/travel/regionalmalaria/seasia.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/travel/regionalmalaria/seasia.htm</a>). Most travelers to Southeast Asia at risk for malaria should take mefloquine to prevent malaria.

A certificate of yellow fever vaccination may be required for entry into certain of these countries if you are coming from a country in tropical South America or sub-Saharan Africa. (There is no risk for yellow fever in Southeast Asia.) For detailed information, see Comprehensive Yellow Fever Vaccination Requirements (<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/travel/yelfever.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/travel/yelfever.htm</a>).

Dengue, filariasis, Japanese encephalitis, and plague are diseases carried by insects that also occur in this region. Protecting yourself against insect bites (see below) will help to prevent these diseases.

Do not swim in fresh water (except in well-chlorinated swimming pools) in certain areas of Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Philippines, and Thailand to avoid infection with schistosomiasis. (For more information, please see the Swimming Precautions on the Making Travel Safe page.)

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 209 of 290 pages

Because motor vehicle crashes are a leading cause of injury among travelers, walk and drive defensively. Avoid travel at night if possible and always use seat belts.

## CDC Recommends the Following Vaccines (as Appropriate for Age):

See your doctor at least 4-6 weeks before your trip to allow time for shots to take effect.

- Hepatitis A or immune globulin (IG).
- Hepatitis B if you might be exposed to blood (for example, health-care workers), have sexual contact with the local population, stay longer than 6 months in the region, or be exposed through medical treatment.
- Japanese encephalitis, only if you plan to visit rural areas for 4 weeks or more, except under special circumstances, such as a known outbreak of Japanese encephalitis.
- Rabies, if you might be exposed to wild or domestic animals through your work or recreation.
- Typhoid vaccination is particularly important because of the presence of *S. typhi* strains resistant to multiple antibiotics in this region.
- As needed, booster doses for tetanus-diphtheria and measles, and a one-time dose of polio for adults. Hepatitis B vaccine is now recommended for all infants and for children ages 11-12 years who did not complete the series as infants.

## To Stay Healthy, Do:

- Wash hands often with soap and water.
- Drink only bottled or boiled water, or carbonated (bubbly) drinks in cans or bottles. Avoid tap water, fountain drinks, and ice cubes. If this is not possible, make water safer by BOTH filtering through an "absolute 1-micron or less" filter AND adding iodine tablets to the filtered water. "Absolute 1-micron filters" are found in camping/outdoor supply stores.
- Eat only thoroughly cooked food or fruits and vegetables you have peeled yourself. Remember: boil it, cook it, peel it, or forget it.
- If you visit an area where there is risk for malaria, take your malaria prevention medication before, during, and after travel, as directed. (See your doctor for a prescription.)
- Protect yourself from insects by remaining in well-screened areas, using repellents (applied sparingly at 4-hour intervals), and wearing long-sleeved shirts and long pants from dusk through dawn.
- To prevent fungal and parasitic infections, keep feet clean and dry, and do not go barefoot.
- Always use latex condoms to reduce the risk of HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases.

#### **To Avoid Getting Sick:**

- Don't eat food purchased from street vendors.
- Don't drink beverages with ice.
- Don't eat dairy products unless you know they have been pasteurized.
- Don't share needles with anyone.
- Don't handle animals (especially monkeys, dogs, and cats), to avoid bites and serious diseases (including rabies and plague). (For more information, please see the Animal-Associated Hazards on

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 210 of 290 pages

the Making Travel Safe page at URL <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/travel/safety.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/travel/safety.htm</a>.)

• Don't swim in fresh water. Salt water is usually safer. (For more information, please see the Swimming Precautions on the Making Travel Safe page.)

## What You Need To Bring with You:

- Long-sleeved shirt and long pants to wear while outside whenever possible, to prevent illnesses carried by insects (e.g., malaria, dengue, filariasis, and Japanese encephalitis).
- Insect repellent containing DEET (diethylmethyltoluamide), in 30%-35% strength for adults and 6%-10% for children.
- Over-the-counter antidiarrheal medicine to take if you have diarrhea.
- Iodine tablets and water filters to purify water if bottled water is not available. See Do's above for more detailed information about water filters.
- Sunblock, sunglasses, hat.
- Prescription medications: make sure you have enough to last during your trip, as well as a copy of the prescription(s).

#### **After You Return Home:**

If you have visited an area where there is risk for malaria, continue taking your malaria medication weekly for 4 weeks after you leave the area. If you become ill after travel-even as long as a year after your trip-tell your doctor the areas you have visited.

#### **For More Information:**

Ask your doctor or check the CDC web sites for more information about how to protect yourself against diseases that occur in Southeast Asia, such as:

#### For information about diseases-

Carried by Insects

Dengue, Japanese encephalitis, Malaria, Plague

Carried in Food or Water

Cholera, Escherichia coli, diarrhea, Hepatitis A, Schistosomiasis, Typhoid Fever

Person-to-Person Contact Hepatitis B, HIV/AIDS

For more information about these and other diseases, please check the Diseases (<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/travel/diseases.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/travel/diseases.htm</a>) section and the Health Topics A-Z (<a href="http://www.cdc.gov/health/diseases.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/health/diseases.htm</a>).

Note the Outbreaks section for important updates on this region

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 211 of 290 pages

(http://xxxxxx	ede gov/travel	/outbreaks.htm).
(IIIID.//WWW.	.cuc.gov/uavei	Joutoreaks.IIIIII).

Note:

Vietnam is located in the Southeast Asia health region.

Sources:

The Center for Disease Control Destinations Website: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/travel/destinat.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/travel/destinat.htm</a>

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 212 of 290 pages

# Chapter 6 Environmental Overview

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 213 of 290 pages

## **Environmental Issues**

#### **General Overview:**

In Vietnam, logging and slash-and-burn agricultural practices contribute to deforestation and soil degradation; water pollution and over fishing threaten marine life populations; groundwater contamination limits potable water supply; and finally, growing urban industrialization and population migration are rapidly degrading the environment in urban centers such as Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City.

#### **Current Issues:**

- -Deforestation
- -Soil degradation
- -Water pollution
- -Threats to marine life as a result of over fishing
- -Limited supplies of potable water

### **Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Mtc):**

35.6

#### **Country Rank (GHG output):**

40th

#### **Natural Hazards:**

- -typhoons
- -flooding

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 214 of 290 pages

# **Environmental Policy**

#### **Regulation and Jurisdiction:**

The regulation and protection of the environment in Vietnam is under the jurisdiction of the following:

- Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment
- Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
- Ministry of Marine Products

#### **Major Non-Governmental Organizations:**

• Although there are no major non-governmental environmental organizations based in Vietnam, the University of Hanoi sponsors the Centre for Natural Resources Management and Environmental Studies, and the Department of Vertebrate Zoology. Both entities are involved in maintaining endangered species such as the kouprey (wild ox) and local elephant populations.

#### **International Environmental Accords:**

#### Party to:

- Biodiversity
- Climate Change
- Climate Change-Kyoto Protocol
- Desertification
- Endangered Species
- Environmental Modification
- Hazardous Wastes
- Law of the Sea
- Ozone Layer Protection
- Ship Pollution
- Wetlands

#### Signed but not ratified:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 215 of 290 pages

• Nuclear Test Ban

Kyoto Protocol Status (year ratified):

2002

# **Greenhouse Gas Ranking**

Greenhouse Gas Ranking

## **GHG Emissions Rankings**

Country Rank	Country
1	United States
2	China
4	Russia
5	Japan
6	India

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 216 of 290 pages

7	Germany
8	United Kingdom
9	Canada
10	Korea, South
11	Italy
12	Mexico
13	France
14	South Africa
15	Iran
16	Indonesia
17	Australia
18	Spain
19	Brazil
20	Saudi Arabia
21	Ukraine
22	Poland
23	Taiwan
24	Turkey

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 217 of 290 pages

25	Thailand
26	Netherlands
27	Kazakhstan
28	Malaysia
29	Egypt
30	Venezuela
31	Argentina
32	Uzbekistan
33	Czech Republic
34	Belgium
35	Pakistan
36	Romania
37	Greece
38	United Arab Emirates
39	Algeria
40	Nigeria
41	Austria
42	Iraq

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 218 of 290 pages

43	Finland
44	Philippines
45	Vietnam
46	Korea, North
47	Israel
48	Portugal
49	Colombia
50	Belarus
51	Kuwait
52	Hungary
53	Chile
54	Denmark
55	Serbia & Montenegro
56	Sweden
57	Syria
58	Libya
59	Bulgaria
60	Singapore

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 219 of 290 pages

61	Switzerland
62	Ireland
63	Turkmenistan
64	Slovakia
65	Bangladesh
66	Morocco
67	New Zealand
68	Oman
69	Qatar
70	Azerbaijan
71	Norway
72	Peru
73	Cuba
74	Ecuador
75	Trinidad & Tobago
76	Croatia
77	Tunisia
78	Dominican Republic

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 220 of 290 pages

79	Lebanon
80	Estonia
81	Yemen
82	Jordan
83	Slovenia
84	Bahrain
85	Angola
86	Bosnia & Herzegovina
87	Lithuania
88	Sri Lanka
89	Zimbabwe
90	Bolivia
91	Jamaica
92	Guatemala
93	Luxembourg
94	Myanmar
95	Sudan
96	Kenya

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 221 of 290 pages

97	Macedonia
98	Mongolia
99	Ghana
100	Cyprus
101	Moldova
102	Latvia
103	El Salvador
104	Brunei
105	Honduras
106	Cameroon
107	Panama
108	Costa Rica
109	Cote d'Ivoire
110	Kyrgyzstan
111	Tajikistan
112	Ethiopia
113	Senegal
114	Uruguay

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 222 of 290 pages

115	Gabon
116	Albania
117	Nicaragua
118	Botswana
119	Paraguay
120	Tanzania
121	Georgia
122	Armenia
123	Congo, RC
124	Mauritius
125	Nepal
126	Mauritius
127	Nepal
128	Mauritania
129	Malta
130	Papua New Guinea
131	Zambia
132	Suriname

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 223 of 290 pages

133	Iceland
134	Togo
135	Benin
136	Uganda
137	Bahamas
138	Haiti
139	Congo, DRC
140	Guyana
141	Mozambique
142	Guinea
143	Equatorial Guinea
144	Laos
145	Barbados
146	Niger
147	Fiji
148	Burkina Faso
149	Malawi
150	Swaziland

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 224 of 290 pages

151	Belize
152	Afghanistan
153	Sierra Leone
154	Eritrea
155	Rwanda
156	Mali
157	Seychelles
158	Cambodia
159	Liberia
160	Bhutan
161	Maldives
162	Antigua & Barbuda
163	Djibouti
164	Saint Lucia
165	Gambia
166	Guinea-Bissau
167	Central African Republic
168	Palau

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 225 of 290 pages

169	Burundi
170	Grenada
171	Lesotho
172	Saint Vincent & the Grenadines
173	Solomon Islands
174	Samoa
175	Cape Verde
176	Nauru
177	Dominica
178	Saint Kitts & Nevis
179	Chad
180	Tonga
181	Sao Tome & Principe
182	Comoros
183	Vanuatu
185	Kiribati
Not Ranked	Andorra
Not Ranked	East Timor

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 226 of 290 pages

Not Ranked	Holy See
Not Ranked	Hong Kong
Not Ranked	Liechtenstein
Not Ranked	Marshall Islands
Not Ranked	Micronesia
Not Ranked	Monaco
Not Ranked	San Marino
Not Ranked	Somalia
Not Ranked	Tuvalu

<sup>\*</sup> European Union is ranked 3rd Cook Islands are ranked 184th Niue is ranked 186th

# **Global Environmental Snapshot**

#### **Introduction**

The countries of the world face many environmental challenges in common. Nevertheless, the nature and intensity of problem vary from region to region, as do various countries' respective capacities, in terms of affluence and infrastructure, to remediate threats to environmental quality.

Consciousness of perils affecting the global environment came to the fore in the last third or so of the 20<sup>th</sup> century has continued to intensify well into the new millennium. According to the United Nations Environment Programme, considerable environmental progress has been made at the level of institutional developments, international cooperation accords, and public participation.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 227 of 290 pages

Approximately two-dozen international environmental protection accords with global implications have been promulgated since the late 1970s under auspices of the United Nations and other international organizations, together with many additional regional agreements. Attempts to address and rectify environmental problems take the form of legal frameworks, economic instruments, environmentally sound technologies and cleaner production processes as well as conservation efforts. Environmental impact assessments have increasingly been applied across the globe.

Environmental degradation affects the quality, or aesthetics, of human life, but it also displays potential to undermine conditions necessary for the sustainability of human life. Attitudes toward the importance of environmental protection measures reflect ambivalence derived from this bifurcation. On one hand, steps such as cleaning up pollution, dedicating parkland, and suchlike, are seen as embellishments undertaken by wealthy societies already assured they can successfully perform those functions deemed, ostensibly, more essential-for instance, public health and education, employment and economic development. On the other hand, in poorer countries, activities causing environmental damage-for instance the land degradation effects of unregulated logging, slash-and-burn agriculture, overgrazing, and mining-can seem justified insofar as such activities provide incomes and livelihoods.

Rapid rates of resource depletion are associated with poverty and high population growth, themselves correlated, whereas consumption per capita is much higher in the most developed countries, despite these nations' recent progress in energy efficiency and conservation. It is impossible to sequester the global environmental challenge from related economic, social and political challenges.

First-tier industrialized countries have recently achieved measurable decreases in environmental pollution and the rate of resource depletion, a success not matched in middle income and developing countries. It is believed that the discrepancy is due to the fact that industrialized countries have more developed infrastructures to accommodate changes in environmental policy, to apply environmental technologies, and to invest in public education. The advanced industrialized countries incur relatively lower costs in alleviating environmental problems, in comparison to developing countries, since in the former even extensive environmental programs represent a rather minuscule percentage of total expenditures. Conversely, budget constraints, lagged provision of basic services to the population, and other factors such as debt service and militarization may preclude institution of minimal environmental protection measures in the poorest countries.

A synopsis for the current situation facing each region of the world follows:

#### Regional Synopsis: Africa

The African continent, the world's second-largest landmass, encompasses many of the world's

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 228 of 290 pages

least developed countries. By global standards, urbanization is comparatively low but rising at a rapid rate. More heavily industrialized areas at the northern and southern ends of the continent experience the major share of industrial pollution. In other regions the most serious environmental problems typically stem from inefficient subsistence farming methods and other forms of land degradation, which have affected an increasingly extensive area under pressure of a widely impoverished, fast-growing population. Africa's distribution of natural resources is very uneven. It is the continent at greatest risk of desertification, especially in the Sahel region at the edge of the Sahara but also in other dry-range areas. Yet at the same time, Africa also harbors some of the earth's richest and most diverse biological zones.

#### **Key Points:**

Up to half a billion hectares of African land are moderately to severely degraded, an occurrence reflecting short-fallow shifting cultivation and overgrazing as well as a climatic pattern of recurrent droughts.

Soil degradation is severe along the expanse directly south of the Sahara, from the west to the east coasts. Parts of southern Africa, central-eastern Africa, and the neighboring island of Madagascar suffer from serious soil degradation as well.

Africa contains about 17 percent of the world's forest cover, concentrated in the tropical belt of the continent. Many of the forests, however, are severely depleted, with an estimated 70 percent showing some degree of degradation.

Population growth has resulted in continuing loss of arable land, as inefficient subsistence farming techniques affect increasingly extensive areas. Efforts to implement settled, sustainable agriculture have met with some recent success, but much further progress in this direction is needed. Especially in previously uninhabited forestlands, concern over deforestation is intensifying.

By contrast, the African savanna remains the richest grassland in the world, supporting a substantial concentration of animal and plant life. Wildlife parks are sub-Saharan Africa's greatest tourist attraction, and with proper management-giving local people a stake in conservation and controlling the pace of development-could greatly enhance African economies.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of northern, southern and eastern Africa are currently threatened, while the biological diversity in Mauritania and Madagascar is even further compromised with over 20 percent of the mammal species in these two countries currently under threat.

With marine catch trends increasing from 500,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 3,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life,

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 229 of 290 pages

should this trend continue unabated.

Water resource vulnerability is a major concern in northeastern Africa, and a moderate concern across the rest of the continent. An exception is central Africa, which has plentiful water supplies.

Many Africans lack adequate access to resources, not just (if at all) because the resources are unevenly distributed geographically, but also through institutional failures such as faulty land tenure systems or political upheaval. The quality of Africa's natural resources, despite their spotty distribution, is in fact extraordinarily rich. The infrastructure needed to protect and benefit from this natural legacy, however, is largely lacking.

#### Regional Synopsis: Asia and the Pacific

Asia-earth's largest landmass-and the many large and nearly innumerable small islands lying off its Pacific shore display extraordinarily contrasting landscapes, levels of development, and degrees of environmental stress. In the classification used here, the world's smallest continent, Australia, is also included in the Asia-Pacific region.

The Asia-Pacific region is home to 9 of the world's 14 largest urban areas, and as energy use for utilities, industry and transport increases in developing economies, urban centers are subject to worsening air quality. Intense population density in places such as Bangladesh or Hong Kong is the quintessential image many people have of Asia, yet vast desert areas such as the Gobi and the world's highest mountain range, the Himalayas, span the continent as well. Forested areas in Southeast Asia and the islands of Indonesia and the Philippines were historically prized for their tropical hardwood, but in many places this resource is now severely depleted. Low-lying small island states are extremely vulnerable to the effects of global warming, both rising sea levels and an anticipated increase in cyclones.

#### **Key Points:**

Asian timber reserves are forecast to be depleted in the next 40 years. Loss of natural forest is irreversible in some areas, but plantation programs to restore tree cover may ameliorate a portion of the resulting land degradation.

Increased usage of fossil fuels in China and other parts of southern Asia is projected to result in a marked increase in emissions, especially in regard to carbon dioxide. The increased usage of energy has led to a marked upsurge in air pollution across the region.

Acidification is an emerging problem regionally, with sulfur dioxide emissions expected to triple by 2010 if the current growth rate is sustained. China, Thailand, India, and Korea seem to be

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 230 of 290 pages

suffering from particularly high rates of acid deposition. By contrast, Asia's most highly developed economy, Japan, has effected substantial improvements in its environmental indicators.

Water pollution in the Pacific is an urgent concern since up to 70 percent of the water discharged into the region's waters receives no treatment. Additionally, the disposal of solid wastes, in like manner, poses a major threat in a region with many areas of high population density.

The Asia-Pacific region is the largest expanse of the world's land that is adversely affected by soil degradation.

The region around Australia reportedly suffers the largest degree of ozone depletion.

The microstates of the Pacific suffer land loss due to global warming, and the consequent rise in the levels of ocean waters. A high-emissions scenario and anthropogenic climate impact at the upper end of the currently predicted range would probably force complete evacuation of the lowest-elevation islands sometime in this century.

The species-rich reefs surrounding Southeast Asia are highly vulnerable to the deleterious effects of coastal development, land-based pollution, over-fishing and exploitative fishing methods, as well as marine pollution from oil spills and other activities.

With marine catch trends increasing from 5,000,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 20,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life, should this trend continue unabated.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of China and south-east Asia are currently threatened, while the biological diversity in India, Japan, Australia, the Philippines, Indonesia and parts of Malaysia is even further compromised with over 20 percent of the mammal species in these countries currently under threat.

Water resource vulnerability is a serious concern in areas surrounding the Indian subcontinent.

#### Regional Synopsis: Central Asia

The Central Asian republics, formerly in the Soviet Union, experience a range of environmental problems as the result of poorly executed agricultural, industrial, and nuclear programs during the Soviet era. Relatively low population densities are the norm, especially since upon the breakup of the U.S.S.R. many ethnic Russians migrated back to European Russia. In this largely semi-arid region, drought, water shortages, and soil salinization pose major challenges.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 231 of 290 pages

#### **Key Points:**

The use of agricultural pesticides, such as DDT and other chemicals, has contributed to the contamination of soil and groundwater throughout the region.

Land and soil degradation, and in particular, increased salinization, is mostly attributable to faulty irrigation practices.

Significant desertification is also a problem in the region.

Air pollution is prevalent, mostly due to use of low octane automobile fuel.

Industrial pollution of the Caspian Sea and the Aral Sea, as a result of industrial effluents as well as mining and metal production, presents a challenge to the countries bordering these bodies of water.

One of the most severe environmental problems in the region is attributable to the several billion tons of hazardous materials stored in landfills across Central Asia.

Uzbekistan's particular problem involves the contraction of the Aral Sea, which has decreased in size by a third, as a consequence of river diversions and poor irrigation practices. The effect has been the near-total biological destruction of that body of water.

Kazakhstan, as a consequence of being the heartland of the former Soviet Union's nuclear program, has incurred a high of cancerous malignancies, biogenetic abnormalities and radioactive contamination.

While part of the Soviet Union, the republics in the region experienced very high levels of greenhouse gas emissions, as a consequence of rapid industrialization using cheap but dirty energy sources, especially coal.

By contrast, however, there have recently been substantial reductions in the level of greenhouse gas emissions, especially those attributable to coal burning, with further decreases anticipated over the next decade. These changes are partially due to the use of cleaner energy technologies, such as natural gas, augmented by governmental commitment to improving environmental standards.

#### **Regional Synopsis: Europe**

Western Europe underwent dramatic transformation of its landscape, virtually eliminating largescale natural areas, during an era of rapid industrialization, which intensified upon its recovery from World War II. In Eastern Europe and European Russia, intensive land development has been less

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 232 of 290 pages

prevalent, so that some native forests and other natural areas remain. Air and water pollution from use of dirty fuels and industrial effluents, however, are more serious environmental problems in Eastern than in Western Europe, though recent trends show improvement in many indicators. Acid rain has inflicted heavy environmental damage across much of Europe, particularly on forests. Europe and North America are the only regions in which water usage for industry exceeds that for agriculture, although in Mediterranean nations agriculture is the largest water consumer.

#### **Key Points:**

Europe contributes 36 percent of the world's chlorofluorocarbon emissions, 30 percent of carbon dioxide emissions, and 25 percent of sulfur dioxide emissions.

Sulfur and nitrogen oxide emissions are the cause of 30 to 50 percent of Central and Eastern Europe's deforestation.

Acid rain has been an environmental concern for decades and continues to be a challenge in parts of Western Europe.

Overexploitation of up to 60 percent of Europe's groundwater presents a problem in industrial and urban areas.

With marine catch trends increasing from 5,000,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 20,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life, should this trend continue unabated.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of western Europe, Eastern Europe and Russia are currently threatened, while the biological diversity on the Iberian Peninsula is even further compromised with over 40 percent of the mammal species in this region currently under threat. As a result, there has been a 10 percent increase in protected areas of Europe.

A major environmental issue for Europe involves the depletion of various already endangered or threatened species, and most significantly, the decline of fish stocks. Some estimates suggest that up to 50 percent of the continent's fish species may be considered endangered species. Coastal fisheries have been over-harvested, resulting in catch limits or moratoriums on many commercially important fish species.

Fortunately, in the last few years, these policies have started to yield measurable results with decreasing trends in marine fish catch.

Recently, most European countries have adopted cleaner production technologies, and alternative methods of waste disposal, including recycling.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 233 of 290 pages

The countries of Eastern Europe have made air quality a major environmental priority. This is exemplified by the Russian Federation's addition to the 1995 "Berlin Mandate" (transnational legislation based on resolutions of the Rio Earth Summit) compelling nations to promote "carbon sinks" to absorb greenhouse gases.

On a relative basis, when compared with the degree of industrial emissions emitted by many Eastern European countries until the late 1980s, there has been some marked increase in air quality in the region, as obsolete plants are closed and a transition to cleaner fuels and more efficient energy use takes place.

#### Regional Synopsis: The Middle and Near East

Quite possibly, the Middle East will exemplify the adage that, as the 20<sup>th</sup> century was a century fixated on oil, the 21<sup>st</sup> century will be devoted to critical decisions about water. Many (though far from all) nations in the Middle East rank among those countries with the largest oil and gas reserves, but water resources are relatively scarce throughout this predominantly dry region. Effects of global warming may cause moderately high elevation areas that now typically receive winter "snowpack" to experience mainly rain instead, which would further constrain dry-season water availability. The antiquities and religious shrines of the region render it a great magnet for tourism, which entails considerable economic growth potential but also intensifies stresses on the environment

#### **Key Points:**

Water resource vulnerability is a serious concern across the entire region. The increased usage of, and further demand for water, has exacerbated long-standing water scarcity in the region. For instance, river diversions and industrial salt works have caused the Dead Sea to shrink by one-third from its original surface area, with further declines expected.

The oil industry in the region contributes to water pollution in the Persian Gulf, as a result of oil spills, which have averaged 1.2 million barrels of oil spilt per year (some sources suggest that this figure is understated). The consequences are severe because even after oil spills have been cleaned up, environmental damage to the food webs and ecosystems of marine life will persist for a prolonged period.

The region's coastal zone is considered one of the most fragile and endangered ecosystems of the world. Land reclamation, shoreline construction, discharge of industrial effluents, and tourism (such as diving in the Red Sea) contribute to widespread coastal damage.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 234 of 290 pages

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of the Middle East are currently threatened.

Since the 1980s, 11 percent of the region's natural forest has been depleted.

#### Regional Synopsis: Latin America and the Caribbean

The Latin American and Caribbean region is characterized by exceedingly diverse landforms that have generally seen high rates of population growth and economic development in recent decades. The percentage of inhabitants residing in urban areas is quite high at 73.4 percent; the region includes the megacities of Mexico City, Sao Paulo, and Rio de Janeiro. The region also includes the world's second-highest mountain range, the Andes; significant expanses of desert and grassland; the coral reefs of the Caribbean Sea; and the world's largest contiguous tropical forest in the Amazon basin. Threats to the latter from subsistence and commercial farming, mineral exploitation and timbering are well publicized. Nevertheless, of eight countries worldwide that still retain at least 70 percent of their original forest cover, six are in Latin America. The region accounts for nearly half (48.3 percent) of the world's greenhouse gas emissions derived from land clearing, but as yet a comparatively minuscule share (4.3 percent) of such gases from industrial sources.

#### **Key Points:**

Although Latin America is one of the most biologically diverse regions of the world, this biodiversity is highly threatened, as exemplified by the projected extinction of up to 100,000 species in the next few decades. Much of this loss will be concentrated in the Amazon area, although the western coastline of South America will also suffer significant depletion of biological diversity. The inventory of rainforest species with potentially useful commercial or medical applications is incomplete, but presumed to include significant numbers of such species that may become extinct before they are discovered and identified.

Up to 50 percent of the region's grazing land has lost its soil fertility as a result of soil erosion, salinization, alkalinization and overgrazing.

The Caribbean Sea, the Atlantic Ocean, and the Pacific Ocean have all been contaminated by agricultural wastes, which are discharged into streams that flow into these major waters. Water pollution derived from phosphorous, nitrates and pesticides adversely affects fish stocks, contributes to oxygen depletion and fosters overgrowth of aquatic vegetation. Marine life will continue to be severely compromised as a result of these conditions.

Due to industrial development in the region, many beaches of eastern Latin America and the Caribbean suffer from tar deposits.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 235 of 290 pages

Most cities in the region lack adequate sewage treatment facilities, and rapid migration of the rural poor into the cities is widening the gap between current infrastructure capacity and the much greater level needed to provide satisfactory basic services.

The rainforest region of the Amazon Basin suffers from dangerously high levels of deforestation, which may be a significant contributory factor to global warming or "the greenhouse effect." In the late 1990s and into the new millennium, the rate of deforestation was around 20 million acres of rainforest being destroyed annually.

Deforestation on the steep rainforest slopes of Caribbean islands contributes to soil erosion and landslides, both of which then result in heavy sedimentation of nearby river systems. When these sedimented rivers drain into the sea and coral reefs, they poison the coral tissues, which are vital to the maintenance of the reef ecosystem. The result is marine degradation and nutrient depletion. Jamaica's coral reefs have never quite recovered from the effects of marine degradation.

The Southern Cone of Latin America (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, and Uruguay) suffers the effects of greatly increased ultraviolet-B radiation, as a consequence of more intense ozone depletion in the southern hemisphere.

Water resource vulnerability is an increasingly major concern in the northwestern portion of South America.

## **Regional Synopsis: North America**

North American nations, in particular the United States and Canada, rank among the world's most highly developed industrial economies-a fact which has generated significant pollution problems, but also financial resources and skills that have enabled many problems to be corrected. Although efforts to promote energy efficiency, recycling, and suchlike have helped ease strains on the environment in a part of the world where per capita consumption levels are high, sprawling land development patterns and recent preferences many households have demonstrated for larger vehicles have offset these advances.

Meanwhile, a large portion of North America's original forest cover has been lost, though in many cases replaced by productive second-growth woodland. In recent years, attitudes toward best use of the region's remaining natural or scenic areas seem to be shifting toward recreation and preservation and away from resource extraction. With increasing attention on the energy scarcity in the United States, however, there is speculation that this shift may be short-lived. Indeed, the energy shortage on the west coast of the United States and associated calls for energy exploration, indicate a possible retrenchment toward resource extraction. At the same time, however, it has also

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 236 of 290 pages

served to highlight the need for energy conservation as well as alternative energy sources.

Despite generally successful anti-pollution efforts, various parts of the region continue to suffer significant air, water and land degradation from industrial, vehicular, and agricultural emissions and runoff. Mexico, as a middle-income country, displays environmental problems characteristic of a developing economy, including forest depletion, pollution from inefficient industrial processes and dirty fuels, and lack of sufficient waste-treatment infrastructure.

#### **Key Points:**

Because of significantly greater motor vehicle usage in the United States (U.S.) than in the rest of the world, the U.S. contribution of urban air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, especially carbon dioxide, is disproportionately high in relation to its population.

Acid rain is an enduring issue of contention in the northeastern part of the United States, on the border with Canada.

Mexico's urban areas suffer extreme air pollution from carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, and other toxic air pollutants. Emissions controls on vehicles are in their infancy, compared to analogous regulations in the U.S.

The cities of Mexico, including those on the U.S. border, also discharge large quantities of untreated or poorly treated sewage, though officials are currently planning infrastructure upgrades.

Deforestation is noteworthy in various regions of the U.S., especially along the northwest coastline. Old growth forests have been largely removed, but in the northeastern and upper midwestern sections of the United States, evidence suggests that the current extent of tree cover probably surpasses the figure for the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Extreme weather conditions in the last few years have resulted in a high level of soil erosion along the north coast of California; in addition, the coastline itself has shifted substantially due to soil erosion and concomitant landslides.

Agricultural pollution-including nitrate contamination of well water, nutrient runoff to waterways, and pesticide exposure-is significant in various areas. Noteworthy among affected places are California's Central Valley, extensive stretches of the Midwest, and land in the Chesapeake Bay watershed.

Inland waterways, especially around the Great Lakes, have substantially improved their water quality, due to concentrated efforts at reducing water pollution by governmental, commercial and

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 237 of 290 pages

community representatives. Strict curbs on industrial effluents and near-universal implementation of sewage treatment are the chief factors responsible for this improvement.

A major environmental issue for Canada and the United States involves the depletion of various already endangered or threatened species, and most significantly, the decline of fish stocks. Coastal fisheries have been over-harvested, resulting in catch limits or moratoriums on many commercially important fish species. In the last few years, these policies have started to yield measurable results with decreasing trends in marine fish catch.

Due to the decay of neighboring ecosystems in Central America and the Caribbean, the sea surrounding Florida has become increasingly sedimented, contributing to marine degradation, nutrient depletion of the ecosystem, depletion of fish stocks, and diseases to coral species in particular.

#### **Polar Regions**

#### **Key Points:**

The significant rise in sea level, amounting 10 to 25 centimeters in the last 100 years, is due to the melting of the Arctic ice sheets, and is attributed to global warming.

The Antarctic suffers from a significant ozone hole, first detected in 1976. By 1985, a British scientific team reported a 40 percent decrease in usual regeneration rates of the ozone. Because a sustained increase in the amount of ultraviolet-B radiation would have adverse consequences upon all planetary life, recent environmental measures have been put into effect, aimed at reversing ozone depletion. These measures are projected to garner significant results by 2050.

Due to air and ocean currents, the Arctic is a sink for toxic releases originally discharged thousands of miles away. Arctic wildlife and Canada's Inuit population have higher bodily levels of contaminants such as PCB and dioxin than those found in people and animals in much of the rest of the world.

# **Global Environmental Concepts**

\_

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 238 of 290 pages

#### 1. Global Warming and Greenhouse Gases

#### The Greenhouse Effect:

In the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, the French physicist, Jean Fourier, contended that the earth's atmosphere functions in much the same way as the glass of a greenhouse, thus describing what is now understood as the "greenhouse effect." Put simply, the "greenhouse effect" confines some of the sun's energy to the earth, preserving some of the planet's warmth, rather than allowing it to flow back into space. In so doing, all kinds of life forms can flourish on earth. Thus, the "greenhouse effect" is necessary to sustain and preserve life forms and ecosystems on earth.

In the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, a Swedish chemist, Svante Arrhenius, noticed that human activities, such as the burning of coal and other fossil fuels for heat, and the removal of forested lands for urban development, led to higher concentrations of greenhouse gases, like carbon dioxide and methane, in the atmosphere. This increase in the levels of greenhouse gases was believed to advance the "greenhouse effect" exponentially, and might be related to the trend in global warming.

In the wake of the Industrial Revolution, after industrial development took place on a large scale and the total human population burgeoned simultaneously with industrialization, the resulting increase in greenhouse gas emissions could, many scientists believe, be significant enough to have some bearing on climate. Indeed, many studies in recent years support the idea that there is a linkage between human activities and global warming, although there is less consensus on the extent to which this linkage may be relevant to environmental concerns.

That said, some scientists have argued that temperature fluctuations have existed throughout the evolution of the planet. Indeed, Dr. S. Fred Singer, the president of the Science and Environment Policy Project has noted that 3,000-year-old geological records of ocean sediment reveal changes in the surface temperature of the ocean. Hence, it is possible that climate variability is merely a normal fact of the planet's evolution. Yet even skeptics as to anthropogenic factors concur that any substantial changes in global temperatures would likely have an effect upon the earth's ecosystems, as well as the life forms that inhabit them.

#### The Relationship Between Global Warming and Greenhouse Gases:

A large number of climatologists believe that the increase in atmospheric concentrations of "greenhouse gas emissions," mostly a consequence of human activities such as the burning of fossil fuels, are contributing to global warming. The cause notwithstanding, the planet has reportedly warmed 0.3°C to 0.6°C over the last century. Indeed, each year during the 1990s was one of the very warmest in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, with the mean surface temperature for 1999 being the fifth warmest on record since 1880.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 239 of 290 pages

In early 2000, a panel of atmospheric scientists for the National Research Council concluded in a report that global warming was, indeed, a reality. While the panel, headed by Chairman John Wallace, a professor of atmospheric sciences at the University of Washington, stated that it remained unclear whether human activities have contributed to the earth's increasing temperatures, it was apparent that global warming exists.

In 2001, following a request for further study by the incoming Bush administration in the <u>United States</u>, the National Academy of Sciences again confirmed that global warming had been in existence for the last 20 years. The study also projected an increase in temperature between 2.5 degrees and 10.4 degrees Fahrenheit by the year 2100. Furthermore, the study found the leading cause of global warming to be emissions of carbon dioxide from the burning of fossil fuels, and it noted that greenhouse gas accumulations in the earth's atmosphere was a result of human activities.

Within the scientific community, the controversy regarding has centered on the difference between surface air and upper air temperatures. Information collected since 1979 suggests that while the earth's surface temperature has increased by about a degree in the past century, the atmospheric temperature five miles above the earth's surface has indicated very little increase. Nevertheless, the panel stated that this discrepancy in temperature between surface and upper air does not invalidate the conclusion that global warming is taking place. Further, the panel noted that natural events, such as volcanic eruptions, can decrease the temperature in the upper atmosphere.

The major consequences of global warming potentially include the melting of the polar ice caps, which, in turn, contribute to the rise in sea levels. Many islands across the globe have already experienced a measurable loss of land as a result. Because global warming may increase the rate of evaporation, increased precipitation, in the form of stronger and more frequent storm systems, is another potential outcome. Other consequences of global warming may include the introduction and proliferation of new infectious diseases, loss of arable land (referred to as "desertification"), destructive changes to existing ecosystems, loss of biodiversity and the isolation of species, and concomitant adverse changes in the quality of human life.

## **International Policy Development in Regard to Global Warming:**

Regardless of what the precise nature of the relationship between greenhouse gas emissions and global warming may be, it seems that there is some degree of a connection between the phenomena. Any substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and global warming trends will likely involve systematic changes in industrial operations, the use of advanced energy sources and technologies, as well as global cooperation in implementing and regulating these transformations.

In this regard, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) stipulated the following objectives:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 240 of 290 pages

- 1. To stabilize "greenhouse gas" concentrations within the atmosphere, in such a manner that would preclude hazardous anthropogenic intervention into the existing biosphere and ecosystems of the world. This stabilization process would facilitate the natural adaptation of ecosystems to changes in climate.
- 2. To ensure and enable sustainable development and food production on a global scale.

\*\*\* See section on "International Environmental Agreements and Associations" for information related to international policies related to limiting greenhouse gases and controlling climate change emanating from historic summits at Kyoto, Copenhagen, Doha, and Paris. \*\*\*

#### 2. Air Pollution

Long before global warming reared its head as a significant issue, those concerned about the environment and public health noted the deleterious effects of human-initiated combustion upon the atmosphere. Killer smogs from coal burning triggered acute health emergencies in London and other places. At a lower level of intensity motor vehicle, power plant, and industrial emissions impaired long-range visibility and probably had some chronic adverse consequences on the respiratory systems of persons breathing such air.

In time, scientists began associating the sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides released from coal burning with significant acid deposition in the atmosphere, eventually falling as "acid rain." This phenomenon has severely degraded forestlands, especially in Europe and a few parts of the United States. It has also impaired some aquatic ecosystems and eaten away the surface of some human artifacts, such as marble monuments. Scrubber technology and conversion to cleaner fuels have enabled the level of industrial production to remain at least constant while significantly reducing acid deposition. Technologies aimed at cleaning the air and curtailing acid rain, soot, and smog may, nonetheless, boomerang as the perils of global warming become increasingly serious. In brief, these particulates act as sort of a sun shade -- comparable to the effect of volcanic eruptions on the upper atmosphere whereby periods of active volcanism correlate with temporarily cooler weather conditions. Thus, while the carbon dioxide releases that are an inevitable byproduct of combustion continue, by scrubbing the atmosphere of pollutants, an industrial society opens itself to greater insolation (penetration of the sun's rays and consequent heating), and consequently, it is likely to experience a correspondingly greater rise in ambient temperatures.

The health benefits of removing the sources of acid rain and smog are indisputable, and no one would recommend a return to previous conditions. Nevertheless, the problematic climatic effects of continually increasing emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases pose a major global

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 241 of 290 pages

environmental challenge, not as yet addressed adequately.

#### 3. Ozone Depletion

The stratospheric ozone layer functions to prevent ultraviolet radiation from reaching the earth. Normally, stratospheric ozone is systematically disintegrated and regenerated through natural photochemical processes. The stratospheric ozone layer, however, has been depleted unnaturally as a result of anthropogenic (man-made) chemicals, most especially chlorine and bromide compounds such as chloroflorocarbons (CFCs), halons, and various industrial chemicals in the form of solvents, refrigerants, foaming agents, aerosol propellants, fire retardants, and fumigants. Ozone depletion is of concern because it permits a greater degree of ultraviolet-B radiation to reach the earth, which then increases the incidences of cancerous malignancies, cataracts, and human immune deficiencies. In addition, even in small doses, ozone depletion affects the ecosystem by disturbing food chains, agriculture, fisheries and other forms of biological diversity.

Transnational policies enacted to respond to the dangers of ozone depletion include the 1985 Vienna Convention on the Protection of the Ozone Layer and the 1987 Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer. The Montreal Protocol was subsequently amended in London in 1990, Copenhagen in 1992 and Vienna in 1995. By 1996, 155 countries had ratified the Montreal Protocol, which sets out a time schedule for the reduction (and eventual elimination) of ozone depleting substances (OPS), and bans exports and imports of ODS from and to non-participant countries.

In general, the Protocol stipulates that developed countries must eliminate halon consumption by 1994 and CFC consumption by 1996, while developing countries must eliminate these substances by 2010. Consumption of methyl bromide, which is used as a fumigant, was to be frozen at the 1995 in developed countries, and fully eliminated in 2010, while developing countries are to freeze consumption by 2002, based on average 1995-1998 consumption levels. Methyl chloroform is to be phased out by 2005. Under the Montreal Protocol, most ODS will be completely eliminated from use by 2010.

#### 4. Land Degradation

In recent decades, land degradation in more arid regions of the world has become a serious concern. The problem, manifest as both "desertification" and "devegetation," is caused primarily by climate variability and human activities, such as "deforestation," excessive cultivation, overgrazing, and other forms of land resource exploitation. It is also exacerbated by inadequate irrigation practices. Although the effects of droughts on drylands have been temporary in the past, today, the productivity and sustainability of these lands have been severely compromised for the long term.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 242 of 290 pages

Indeed, in every region of the world, land degradation has become an acute issue.

#### **Desertification and Devegetation:**

"Desertification" is a process of land degradation causing the soil to deteriorate, thus losing its nutrients and fertility, and eventually resulting in the loss of vegetation, known as "devegetation." As aforementioned, "desertification" and "devegetation" are caused by human activities, yet human beings are also the greatest casualties. Because these forms of land degradation affect the ability of the soil to produce crops, they concomitantly contribute to poverty. As population increases and demographic concentrations shift, the extent of land subject to stresses by those seeking to wrest subsistence from it has inexorably risen.

In response, the United Nations has formed the Convention to Combat Desertification-aimed at implementing programs to address the underlying causes of desertification, as well as measures to prevent and minimize its effects. Of particular significance is the formulation of policies on transboundary resources, such as areas around lakes and rivers. At a broader level, the Convention has established a Conference of Parties (COP), which includes all ratifying governments, for directing and advancing international action.

To ensure more efficacious use of funding, the Convention intends to reconfigure international aid to utilize a consultative and coordinated approach in the disbursement and expenditure of donor funds. In this way, local communities that are affected by desertification will be active participants in the solution-generation process. In-depth community education projects are envisioned as part of this new international aid program, and private donor financing is encouraged. Meanwhile, as new technologies are developed to deal with the problem of desertification, they need to be distributed for application across the world. Hence, the Convention calls for international cooperation in scientific research in this regard.

Desertification is a problem of sustainable development. It is directly connected to human challenges such as poverty, social and economic well-being and environmental protection as well. Broader environmental issues, such as climate change, biological diversity, and freshwater supplies, are indirectly related, so any effort to resolve this environmental challenge must entail coordinated research efforts and joint action.

#### **Deforestation:**

Deforestation is not a recent phenomenon. For centuries, human beings have cut down trees to clear space for land cultivation, or in order to use the wood for fuel. Over the last 200 years, and most especially after World War II, deforestation increased because the logging industry became a

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 243 of 290 pages

globally profitable endeavor, and so the clearing of forested areas was accelerated for the purposes of industrial development. In the long term, this intensified level of deforestation is considered problematic because the forest is unable to regenerate itself quickly. The deforestation that has occurred in tropical rainforests is seen as an especially serious concern, due to the perceived adverse effects of this process upon the entire global ecosystem.

The most immediate consequence of deforestation is soil degradation. Soil, which is necessary for the growth of vegetation, can be a fragile and vital property. Organically, an extensive evolution process must take place before soil can produce vegetation, yet at the same time, the effects of natural elements, such as wind and rain, can easily and quickly degrade this resource. This phenomenon is known as soil erosion. In addition, natural elements like wind and rain reduce the amount of fertile soil on the ground, making soil scarcity a genuine problem. When fertile topsoil that already exists is removed from the landscape in the process of deforestation, soil scarcity is further exacerbated. Equally significant is the fact that once land has been cleared so that the topsoil can be cultivated for crop production, not only are the nutrient reserves in the soil depleted, thus producing crops of inferior quality, but the soil structure itself becomes stressed and deteriorates further.

Another direct result of deforestation is flooding. When forests are cleared, removing the cover of vegetation, and rainfall occurs, the flow of water increases across the surface of land. When extensive water runoff takes place, the frequency and intensity of flooding increases. Other adverse effects of deforestation include the loss of wildlife and biodiversity within the ecosystem that supports such life forms.

At a broader level, tropical rainforests play a vital role in maintaining the global environmental system. Specifically, destruction of tropical rainforests affects the carbon dioxide cycle. When forests are destroyed by burning (or rotting), carbon dioxide is released into the air, thus contributing to an intensified "greenhouse effect." The increase in greenhouse gas emissions like carbon dioxide is a major contributor to global warming, according to many environmental scientists. Indeed, trees themselves absorb carbon dioxide in the process of photosynthesis, so their loss also reduces the absorption of greenhouse gases.

Tropical rainforest destruction also adversely affects the nitrogen cycle. Nitrogen is a key nutrient for both plants and animals. Plants derive nitrogen from soil, while animals obtain it via nitrogen-enriched vegetation. This element is essential for the formation of amino acids, and thereby for proteins and biochemicals that all living things need for metabolism and growth. In the nitrogen cycle, vegetation acquires these essential proteins and biochemicals, and then cyclically returns them to the atmosphere and global ecosystem. Accordingly, when tropical rainforest ecosystems are compromised, not only is vegetation removed; the atmosphere is also affected and climates are altered. At a more immediate level, the biodiversity within tropical rainforests, including wildlife and insect species and a wealth of plant varieties, is depleted. Loss of rare plants is of particular

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 244 of 290 pages

concern because certain species as yet unknown and unused could likely yield many practical benefits, for instance as medicines.

As a result of the many challenges associated with deforestation, many environmental groups and agencies have argued for government policies on the sustainable development of forests by governments across the globe. While many countries have instituted national policies and programs aimed at reducing deforestation, and substantial research has been advanced in regard to sustainable and regenerative forestry development, there has been very little progress on an international level. Generally speaking, most tropical rainforests are located in developing and less developed countries, where economic growth is often dependent upon the exploitation of tropical rainforests. Timber resources as well as wildlife hunting tend to be particularly lucrative arenas.

In places such as the Amazon, where deforestation takes place for the construction of energy plants aimed at industrialization and economic development, there is an exacerbated effect on the environment. After forests are cleared in order to construct such projects, massive flooding usually ensues. The remaining trees then rot and decay in the wake of the flooding. As the trees deteriorate, their biochemical makeup becomes more acidic, producing poisonous substances such as hydrogen sulphide and methane gases. Acidified water subsequently corrodes the mechanical equipment and operations of the plants, which are already clogged by rotting wood after the floodwaters rise.

Deforestation generally arises from an economically plausible short-term motivation, but nonetheless poses a serious global concern because the effects go beyond national boundaries. The United Nations has established the World Commission on Forest and Sustainable Development. This body's task is to determine the optimal means of dealing with the issue of deforestation, without unduly affecting normal economic development, while emphasizing the global significance of protecting tropical forest ecosystems.

#### 5. Water Resources

For all terrestrial fauna, including humans, water is the most immediate necessity to sustain life. As the population has increased and altered an ever-greater portion of the landscape from its natural condition, demand on water resources has intensified, especially with the development of industrialization and large-scale irrigation. The supply of freshwater is inherently limited, and moreover distributed unevenly across the earth's landmasses. Moreover, not just demand for freshwater but activities certain to degrade it are becoming more pervasive. By contrast, the oceans form a sort of "last wilderness," still little explored and in large part not seriously affected by human activity. However, coastal environments - the biologically richest part of the marine ecosystem-are experiencing major depletion due to human encroachment and over-exploitation.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 245 of 290 pages

#### Freshwater:

In various regions, for instance the Colorado River in the western <u>United States</u>, current withdrawals of river water for irrigation, domestic, and industrial use consume the entire streamflow so that almost no water flows into the sea at the river's mouth. Yet development is ongoing in many such places, implying continually rising demand for water. In some areas reliant on groundwater, aquifers are being depleted at a markedly faster rate than they are being replenished. An example is the San Joaquin Valley in California, where decades of high water withdrawals for agriculture have caused land subsidence of ten meters or more in some spots. Naturally, the uncertainty of future water supplies is particularly acute in arid and semi-arid regions. Speculation that the phenomenon of global warming will alter geographic and seasonal rainfall patterns adds further uncertainty.

Water conservation measures have great potential to alleviate supply shortages. Some city water systems are so old and beset with leaking pipes that they lose as much water as they meter. Broad-scale irrigation could be replaced by drip-type irrigation, actually enhancing the sustainability of agriculture. In many areas where heavy irrigation has been used for decades, the result is deposition of salts and other chemicals in the soil such that the land becomes unproductive for farming and must be abandoned.

Farming is a major source of water pollution. Whereas restrictions on industrial effluents and other "point sources" are relatively easy to implement, comparable measures to reform hydraulic practices at farms and other "nonpoint sources" pose a significantly knottier challenge. Farm-caused water pollution takes the following main forms:

- Nitrate pollution found in wells in intensive farming areas as a consequence of heavy fertilizer use is a threat to human health. The most serious danger is to infants, who by ingesting high-nitrate water can contract methemoglobinemia, sometimes called "blue baby syndrome," a potentially fatal condition.
- Fertilizer runoff into rivers and lakes imparts unwanted nutrients that cause algae growth and eventual loss of oxygen in the body of water, degrading its ability to support fish and other desirable aquatic life.
- Toxic agricultural chemicals insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides are detectable in some aquifers and waterways.

In general, it is much easier to get a pollutant into water than to retrieve it out. Gasoline additives, dry cleaning chemicals, other industrial toxins, and in a few areas radionucleides have all been found in water sources intended for human use. The complexity and long time scale of

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 246 of 290 pages

subterranean hydrological movements essentially assures that pollutants already deposited in aquifers will continue to turn up for decades to come. Sophisticated water treatment processes are available, albeit expensive, to reclaim degraded water and render it fit for human consumption. Yet source protection is unquestionably a more desirable alternative.

In much of the developing world, and even some low-income rural enclaves of the developed world, the population lacks ready access to safe water. Surface water and shallow groundwater supplies are susceptible to contamination from untreated wastewater and failing septic tanks, as well as chemical hazards. The occurrence of waterborne disease is almost certainly greatly underreported.

#### **Marine Resources:**

Coastal areas have always been desirable places for human habitation, and population pressure on them continues to increase. Many types of water degradation that affect lakes and rivers also affect coastal zones: industrial effluents, untreated or partially treated sewage, nutrient load from agriculture figure prominently in both cases. Prospects for more extreme storms as a result of global warming, as well as the pervasiveness of poorly planned development in many coastal areas, forebode that catastrophic hurricanes and landslides may increase in frequency in the future. Ongoing rise in sea levels will force remedial measures and in some cases abandonment of currently valuable coastal property.

Fisheries over much of the globe have been overharvested, and immediate conservation measures are required to preserve stocks of many species. Many governments subsidized factory-scale fishing fleets in the 1970s and 1980s, and the resultant catch increase evidently surpassed a sustainable level. It is uncertain how much of the current decline in fish stocks stems from overharvesting and how much from environmental pollution. The deep ocean remains relatively unaffected by human activity, but continental shelves near coastlines are frequently seriously polluted, and these close-to-shore areas are the major biological nurseries for food fish and the smaller organisms they feed on.

#### **6. Environmental Toxins**

Toxic chemical pollution exploded on the public consciousness with disclosure of spectacularly polluted industrial areas such as Love Canal near Buffalo, New York. There is no question that pollutants such as organophosphates or radionucleides can be highly deleterious to health, but evidence to date suggests that seriously affected areas are a localized rather than universal problem.

While some explore the possibilities for a lifestyle that fully eschews use of modern industrial

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 247 of 290 pages

chemicals, the most prevalent remediative approach is to focus on more judicious use. The most efficient chemical plants are now able to contain nearly all toxic byproducts of their production processes within the premises, minimizing the release of such substances into the environment. Techniques such as Integrated Pest Management (IPM) dictate limited rather than broadcast use of pesticides: application only when needed using the safest available chemical, supplemented as much as possible with nontoxic controls.

While heightened public awareness and growing technical sophistication suggest a hopeful outlook on limiting the damage from manmade environmental toxins, one must grant that previous incidents of their misuse and mishandling have already caused environmental damage that will have to be dealt with for many years to come. In the case of the most hazardous radioactive substances, the time scale for successful remediation actually extends beyond that of the recorded history of civilization. Moreover, in this era of high population density and rapid economic growth, quotidian activities such as the transport of chemicals will occasionally, seemingly inevitably result in accidents with adverse environmental consequences.

#### 7. "Islandization" and Biodiversity

With increased awareness regarding the adverse effects of unregulated hunting and habitat depletion upon wildlife species and other aspects of biodiversity, large-scale efforts across the globe have been initiated to reduce and even reverse this trend.

In every region of the world, many species of wildlife and areas of biodiversity have been saved from extinction. Nationally, many countries have adopted policies aimed at preservation and conservation of species, and one of the most tangible measures has been the proliferation of protected habitats. Such habitats exist in the form of wildlife reserves, marine life reserves, and other such areas where biodiversity can be protected from external encroachment and exploitation.

Despite these advances in wildlife and biodiversity protection, further and perhaps more intractable challenges linger. Designated reserves, while intended to prevent further species decline, exist as closed territories, fragmented from other such enclaves and disconnected from the larger ecosystem. This environmental scenario is referred to as "islandization." Habitat reserves often serve as oversized zoos or game farms, with landscapes and wildlife that have effectively been "tamed" to suit. Meanwhile, the larger surrounding ecosystem continues to be seriously degraded and transformed, while within the islandized habitat, species that are the focus of conservation efforts may not have sufficient range and may not be able to maintain healthy genetic variability.

As a consequence, many conservationists and preservationists have demanded that substantially larger portions of land be withheld as habitat reserves, and a network of biological corridors to connect continental reserves be established. While such efforts to combat islandization have

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 248 of 290 pages

considerable support in the <u>United States</u>, how precisely such a program would be instituted, especially across national boundaries, remains a matter of debate. International conservationists and preservationists say without a network of reserves a massive loss of biodiversity will result.

The concept of islandization illustrates why conservation and preservation of wildlife and biodiversity must consider and adopt new, broader strategies. In the past, conservation and preservation efforts have been aimed at specific species, such as the spotted owl and grizzly bear in North America, the Bengal tiger in Southeast Asia, the panda in China, elephants in Africa. Instead, the new approach is to simultaneously protect many and varied species that inhabit the same ecosystem. This method, referred to as "bio-regional conservation," may more efficaciously generate longer-term and more far-reaching results precisely because it is aimed at preserving entire ecosystems, and all the living things within.

## **More About Biodiversity Issues:**

This section is directly taken from the United Nations Environmental Program: "Biodiversity Assessment"

The Global Biodiversity Assessment, completed by 1500 scientists under the auspices of United Nations Environmental Program in 1995, updated what is known (or unknown) about global biological diversity at the ecosystem, species and genetic levels. The assessment was uncertain of the total number of species on Earth within an order of magnitude. Of its working figure of 13 million species, only 13 percent are scientifically described. Ecological community diversity is also poorly known, as is its relationship to biological diversity, and genetic diversity has been studied for only a small number of species. The effects of human activities on biodiversity have increased so greatly that the rate of species extinctions is rising to hundreds or thousands of times the background level. These losses are driven by increasing demands on species and their habitats, and by the failure of current market systems to value biodiversity adequately. The Assessment calls for urgent action to reverse these trends.

There has been a new recognition of the importance of protecting marine and aquatic biodiversity. The first quantitative estimates of species losses due to growing coral reef destruction predict that almost 200,000 species, or one in five presently contributing to coral reef biodiversity, could die out in the next 40 years if human pressures on reefs continue to increase.

Since Rio, many countries have improved their understanding of the status and importance of their biodiversity, particularly through biodiversity country studies such as those prepared under the auspices of UNEP/GEF. The <u>United Kingdom</u> identified 1250 species needing monitoring, of which 400 require action plans to ensure their survival. Protective measures for biodiversity, such as legislation to protect species, can prove effective. In the USA, almost 40 percent of the plants

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 249 of 290 pages

and animals protected under the Endangered Species Act are now stable or improving as a direct result of recovery efforts. Some African countries have joined efforts to protect threatened species through the 1994 Lusaka Agreement, and more highly migratory species are being protected by specialized cooperative agreements among range states under the Bonn Agreement.

There is an emerging realization that a major part of conservation of biological diversity must take place outside of protected areas and involve local communities. The extensive agricultural areas occupied by small farmers contain much biodiversity that is important for sustainable food production. Indigenous agricultural practices have been and continue to be important elements in the maintenance of biodiversity, but these are being displaced and lost. There is a new focus on the interrelationship between agrodiversity conservation and sustainable use and development practices in smallholder agriculture, with emphasis on use of farmers' knowledge and skills as a source of information for sustainable farming.

Perhaps even more important than the loss of biodiversity is the transformation of global biogeochemical cycles, the reduction in the total world biomass, and the decrease in the biological productivity of the planet. While quantitative measurements are not available, the eventual economic and social consequences may be so significant that the issue requires further attention.

\*\*\*\*\*

Specific sources used for this section:

Bendall, Roger. 1996. "Biodiversity: the follow up to Rio". The Globe 30:4-5, April 1996.

Global Environmental Change: Human and Policy Implications. 1995. Special issue on "People, Land Management and Environmental Change", Vol. 3, No. 4, September 1995.

Golubev, Genady N. (Moscow University) In litt. 29 June 1996.

Heywood, V.H. (ed.). 1995. Global Biodiversity Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 250 of 290 pages

Heywood, V.H. 1996. "The Global Biodiversity Assessment". The Globe, 30:2-4, April 1996.

Reaka-Kudla, Marjorie. 1996. Paper presented at American Association for Advancement of Science, February 1996. Quoted in Pain, Stephanie. "Treasures lost in reef madness". New Scientist, 17 February 1996.

Uitto, Juha I., and Akiko Ono (eds). 1996. Population, Land Management and Environmental Change. The United Nations University, Tokyo.

USFWS. 1994. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service report to Congress, cited in news release 21 July 1994.

Online resources used generally in the Environmental Overview:

Environmental Protection Agency Global Warming Site. URL: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming">http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming</a>

Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations: Forestry. URL: <a href="http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/sofo/en/">http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/sofo/en/</a>

Global Warming Information Page. URL: <a href="http://globalwarming.org">http://globalwarming.org</a>

United Nations Environmental Program. URL: <a href="http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO/Products/Assessment Reports/">http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO/Products/Assessment Reports/</a>

United Nations Global Environmental Outlook. URL: <a href="http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/media/">http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/media/</a>

## Note on Edition Dates:

The edition dates for textual resources are noted above because they were used to formulate the original content. We also have used online resources (cited above) to update coverage as needed.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 251 of 290 pages

## **Information Resources**

For more information about environmental concepts, CountryWatch recommends the following resources:

The United Nations Environmental Program Network (with country profiles)

<a href="mailto:shift://www.unep.net/"></a>

The United Nations Environment Program on Climate Change

<a href="mailto://climatechange.unep.net/">
<a href="mailto:net/">
<

The United Nations Environmental Program on Waters and Oceans

<a href="mailto:shift://www.unep.ch/earthw/Pdepwat.htm">http://www.unep.ch/earthw/Pdepwat.htm</a>

The United Nations Environmental Program on Forestry: "Forests in Flux"

<a href="mailto:shiftp://www.unep-wcmc.org/forest/flux/homepage.htm"></a>

FAO "State of the World's Forests"

World Resources Institute.

<a href="mailto:shift://www.wri.org/">http://www.wri.org/</a>

Harvard University Center for Health and the Global Environment

<a href="mailto://www.med.harvard.edu/chge/the-review.html"></a>

The University of Wisconsin Center for Sustainability and the Global Environment

http://sage.aos.wisc.edu/

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 252 of 290 pages

## **International Environmental Agreements and Associations**

## **International Policy Development in Regard to Global Warming:**

#### Introduction

Regardless of what the precise nature of the relationship between greenhouse gas emissions and global warming may be, it seems that there is some degree of a connection between the phenomena. Any substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and global warming trends will likely involve systematic changes in industrial operations, the use of advanced energy sources and technologies, as well as global cooperation in implementing and regulating these transformations.

In this regard, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) stipulated the following objectives:

- 1. To stabilize "greenhouse gas" concentrations within the atmosphere, in such a manner that would preclude hazardous anthropogenic intervention into the existing biosphere and ecosystems of the world. This stabilization process would facilitate the natural adaptation of ecosystems to changes in climate.
- 2. To ensure and enable sustainable development and food production on a global scale.

Following are two discussions regarding international policies on the environment, followed by listings of international accords.

## Special Entry: The Kyoto Protocol

The UNFCCC was adopted at the Rio Earth Summit in 1992, and entered into force in 1994. Over 175 parties were official participants.

Meanwhile, however, many of the larger, more industrialized nations failed to reach the emissions' reduction targets, and many UNFCCC members agreed that the voluntary approach to reducing emissions had not been successful. As such, UNFCCC members reached a consensus that legally binding limits were necessitated, and agreed to discuss such a legal paradigm at a meeting in Kyoto, Japan in 1997. At that meeting, the UNFCCC forged the Kyoto Protocol. This concord is the first legally binding international agreement that places limits on emissions from industrialized countries. The major greenhouse gas emissions addressed in the Kyoto Protocol include carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and methane.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 253 of 290 pages

The provisions of the Kyoto Protocol stipulate that economically advanced nations must reduce their combined emissions of greenhouse gases, by approximately five percent from their 1990 levels, before the 2008-2010 deadline. Countries with the highest carbon dioxide emissions, such as the <u>United States</u> (U.S.), many of the European Union (EU) countries, and <u>Japan</u>, are to reduce emissions by a scale of 6 to 8 percent. All economically advanced nations must show "demonstrable progress" by 2005. In contrast, no binding limits or timetable have been set on developing countries. Presumably, this distinction is due to the fact that most developing countries - with the obvious exceptions of <u>India</u> and <u>China</u> -- simply do not emit as many greenhouse gases as do more industrially advanced countries. Meanwhile, these countries are entrenched in the process of economic development.

Regardless of the aforementioned reasoning, there has been strong opposition against the asymmetrical treatment assigned to emissions limits among developed and developing countries. Although this distinction might be regarded as unfair in principle, associations such as the Alliance of Small Island States have been vocal in expressing how global warming -- a result of greenhouse gas emissions - has contributed to the rise in sea level, and thus deleteriously affected their very existence as island nation states. For this reason, some parties have suggested that economically advanced nations, upon returning to their 1990 levels, should be required to further reduce their greenhouse gas emissions by a deadline of 2005. In response, interested parties have observed that even if such reductions were undertaken by economically advanced nations, they would not be enough to completely control global warming. Indeed, a reduction in the rate of fossil fuel usage by developing nations would also be necessary to have substantial ameliorative effect on global warming. Indeed, a reduction in the rate of fossil fuel usage by developing nations would also be necessary to have substantial ameliorative effect on global warming.

As such, the Protocol established a "Clean Development Mechanism" which permits developed countries to invest in projects aimed at reducing emissions within developing countries in return for credit for the reductions. Ostensibly, the objective of this mechanism is to curtail emissions in developing countries without unduly penalizing them for their economic development. Under this model, the countries with more potential emissions credits could sell them to other signatories of the Kyoto Protocol, whose emissions are forecast to significantly rise in the next few years. Should this trading of emissions credits take place, it is estimated that the Kyoto Protocol's emissions targets could still be met.

In 1999, the International Energy Outlook projected that Eastern Europe, the former Soviet Union and Newly Independent States, as well as parts of Asia, are all expected to show a marked decrease in their level of energy-related carbon emissions in 2010. Nations with the highest emissions, specifically, the U.S., the EU and <u>Japan</u>, are anticipated to reduce their emissions by up to 8 percent by 2012. By 2000, however, the emissions targets were not on schedule for achievement. Indeed, the U.S. Department of Energy estimates forecast that by 2010, there will be

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 254 of 290 pages

a 34 percent increase in carbon emissions from the 1990 levels, in the absence of major shifts in policy, economic growth, energy prices, and consumer trends. Despite this assessment in the U.S., international support for the Kyoto Protocol remained strong, especially among European countries and island states, who view the pact as one step in the direction away from reliance on fossil fuels and other sources of greenhouse gases.

In 2001, U.S. President, George W. Bush, rejected his country's participation in the Kyoto Protocol, saying that the costs imposed on the global economic system, and especially, on the US, overshadowed the benefits of the Protocol. He also cited the unfair burden on developed nations to reduce emissions, as another primary reasons for withdrawal from the international pact, as well as insufficient evidence regarding the science of global warming. Faced with impassioned international disapproval for his position, the U.S. president stated that his administration remained interested in dealing with the matter of global warming, but would endorse alternative measures to combat the problem, such as voluntary initiatives limiting emissions. Critics of Bush's position, however, have noted that it was the failure of voluntary initiatives to reduce emissions following the Rio Summit that led to the establishment of the Kyoto Protocol in the first place.

In the wake of the Bush administration's decision, many participant countries resigned themselves to the reality that the goals of the Kyoto Protocol might not be achieved without U.S. involvement. Nevertheless, in Bonn, Germany, in July 2001, the remaining participant countries struck a political compromise on some of the key issues and sticking points, and planned to move forward with the Protocol, irrespective of the absence of the U.S. The key compromise points included the provision for countries to offset their targets with carbon sinks (these are areas of forest and farmland which can absorb carbon through the process of photosynthesis). Another compromise point within the broader Bonn Agreement was the reduction of emissions cuts of six gases from over 5 percent to a more achievable 2 percent. A third key change was the provision of funding for less wealthy countries to adopt more progressive technologies.

In late October and early November 2001, the UNFCC's 7<sup>th</sup> Conference of the Parties met in Marrakesh, Morocco, to finalize the measures needed to make the Kyoto Protocol operational. Although the UNFCC projected that ratification of the Protocol would make it legally binding within a year, many critics noted that the process had fallen short of implementing significant changes in policy that would be necessary to actually stop or even slow climate change. They also maintained that the absence of U.S. participation effectively rendered the Protocol into being a political exercise without any substance, either in terms of transnational policy or in terms of environmental concerns.

The adoption of the compromises ensconced within the Bonn Agreement had been intended to make the provisions of the Kyoto Protocol more palatable to the U.S. In this regard, it failed to achieve its objective as the Bush administration continued to eschew participation in the

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 255 of 290 pages

international accord. Still, however, the Bonn Agreement did manage to render a number of other positive outcomes. Specifically, in 2002, key countries, such as <u>Russia</u>, <u>Japan</u> and <u>Canada</u> agreed to ratify the protocol, bringing the number of signatories to 178. The decision by key countries to ratify the protocol was regarded as "the kiss of life" by observers.

By 2005, on the eve of a climate change conference in London, British Prime Minister Tony Blair was hoping to deal with the problems of climate change beyond the provisions set forth in the Kyoto Protocol. Acknowledging that the Kyoto Protocol could not work in its current form, Blair wanted to open the discussion for a new climate change plan.

Blair said that although most of the world had signed on to Kyoto, the protocol could not meet any of its practical goals of cutting greenhouse gas emissions without the participation of the United States, the world's largest polluter. He also noted that any new agreement would have to include India and China -- significant producers of greenhouse gas emissions, but exempt from Kyoto because they have been classified as developing countries. Still, he said that progress on dealing with climate change had been stymied by "a reluctance to face up to reality and the practical action needed to tackle problem."

Blair also touted the "huge opportunities" in technology and pointed toward the possibilities offered by wind, solar and nuclear power, along with fuel cell technology, eco-friendly biofuels, and carbon capture and storage which could generate low carbon power. Blair also asserted that his government was committed to achieving its domestic goal of reducing carbon dioxide emissions by 20 percent by 2010.

In the United States, President George W. Bush has said that global warming remained a debatable issue and despite conclusions reached by his own Environmental Protection Agency, he has not agreed with the conclusion that global warming and climate change are linked with human activities. Bush has also refused to ratify Kyoto on the basis of its economic costs.

Australia, an ally of the United States, has taken a similarly dim view of the Kyoto Protocol. Ahead of the November 2005 climate change meeting in Canada in which new goals for the protocol were to be discussed, Australia 's Environment Minister, Ian Campbell, said that negotiating new greenhouse gas emission levels for the Kyoto Protocol would be a waste of time. Campbell said, "There is a consensus that the caps, targets and timetables approach is flawed. If we spend the next five years arguing about that, we'll be fiddling and negotiating while Rome burns." Campbell, like the Bush administration, has also advocated a system of voluntary action in which industry takes up new technologies rather than as a result of compelling the reduction of emissions. But the Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF) has called on its government to ratify the Kyoto Protocol, to establish a system of emissions trading, and to set binding limits on emissions. Interestingly, although it did not sign on to Kyoto, Australia was expected to meet its emissions target by 2012 (an 8 percent increase in 1990 levels in keeping with the country's

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 256 of 290 pages

reliance on coal). But this success has nothing to do with new technologies and is due to state-based regulations on land clearing.

Note: The Kyoto Protocol calls for developed nations to cut greenhouse emissions by 5.2 percent of 1990 levels by 2012.

## Special Entry: Climate Change Summit in Copenhagen (2009) --

In December 2009, the United Nations Climate Change Summit opened in the Danish capital of Copenhagen. The summit was scheduled to last from Dec. 7-18, 2009. Delegates from more than 190 countries were in attendance, and approximately 100 world leaders, including British Prime Minister Gordon Brown and <u>United States</u> President Barack Obama, were expected to participate. At issue was the matter of new reductions targets on greenhouse gas emissions by 2020.

Despite earlier fears that little concurrence would come from the conference, effectively pushing significant actions forward to a 2010 conference in Mexico City, negotiators were now reporting that the talks were productive and several key countries, such as South Africa, had pledged to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The two main issues that could still lead to cleavages were questions of agreement between the industrialized countries and the developing countries of the world, as well as the overall effectiveness of proposals in seriously addressing the perils of climate change.

On Dec. 9, 2009, four countries -- the <u>United Kingdom</u>, <u>Australia</u>, <u>Mexico</u> and <u>Norway</u> -- presented a document outlining ideas for raising and managing billions of dollars, which would be intended to help vulnerable countries dealing with the perils of climate change. Described as a "green fund," the concept could potentially help small island states at risk because of the rise in sea level. <u>Bangladesh</u> identified itself as a potential recipient of an assistance fund, noting that as a country plagued by devastating floods, it was particularly hard-hit by climate change. The "green fund" would fall under the rubric of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, for which developed countries have been committed to quantifying their emission reduction targets, and also to providing financial and technical support to developing countries.

The <u>United Kingdom</u>, <u>Australia</u>, <u>Mexico</u> and <u>Norway</u> also called for the creation of a new legal treaty that would replace the Kyoto Protocol. This new treaty, which could go into force in 2012, would focus largely on the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by 2020. But <u>Australia</u> went even further in saying that the successor treaty to the Kyoto Protocol, should be one with provisions covering all countries. Such a move would be a departure from the structure of the Kyoto Protocol, which contained emissions targets for industrialized countries due to the prevailing view that developed countries had a particular historic responsibility to be accountable for climate change. More recently, it has become apparent that substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions demanded by scientists would only come to pass with the participation also of significant

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 257 of 290 pages

developing nation states, such as <u>China</u> and <u>India</u>. Indeed, one of the most pressing critiques of the Kyoto Protocol was that it was a "paper tiger" that failed to address the impact of the actions of emerging economies like <u>China</u> and <u>India</u>, with its focus on the developed economies.

Now, in 2009, <u>China</u> -- as the world's biggest greenhouse gas emitter -- was responding this dubious distinction by vocalizing its criticism of the current scenario and foregrounding its new commitments. Ahead of the Copenhagen summit, <u>China</u> had announced it would reduce the intensity of its carbon emissions per unit of its GDP in 2020 by 40 to 45 percent against 2005 levels. With that new commitment at hand, <u>China</u> was now accusing the <u>United States</u> and the European Union of shirking their own responsibilities by setting weak targets for greenhouse gas emissions cuts. Senior Chinese negotiator, Su Wei, characterized the goals of the world's second largest greenhouse gas emitter -- the <u>United States</u> -- as "not notable," and the European Union's target as "not enough." Su Wei also took issue with <u>Japan</u> for setting implausible preconditions.

On Dec. 11, 2009, China demanded that developed and wealthy countries in Copenhagen should help deliver a real agreement on climate change by delivering on their promises to reduce carbon emissions and provide financial support for developing countries to adapt to global warming. In so doing, China's Vice Foreign Minister He Yafei said his country was hoping that a "balanced outcome" would emerge from the discussions at the summit. Echoing the position of the Australian government, He Yafei spoke of a draft agreement as follows: "The final document we're going to adopt needs to be taking into account the needs and aspirations of all countries, particularly the most vulnerable ones."

China's Vice Foreign Minister emphasized the fact that climate change was "a matter of survival" for developing countries, and accordingly, such countries need wealthier and more developed countries to accentuate not only their pledges of emissions reduction targets, but also their financial commitments under the aforementioned United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. To that end, scientists and leaders of small island states in the Indian Ocean, the Pacific Ocean and the Caribbean Sea, have highlighted the existential threat posed by global warming and the concomitant rise in sea level.

China aside, attention was also on <u>India</u> -- another major player in the developing world and a country with an industrializing economy that was impacting the environment. At issue was the Indian government's decision to set a carbon intensity target, which would slow emissions growth by up to 25 percent by the 2020 deadline. This strong position was resisted by some elements in <u>India</u>, who argued that their country should not be taking such a strong position when developed wealthy countries were yet to show accountability for their previous commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The matter grew so heated that the members of the opposition stormed out of the parliament in protest as Indian Environment Minister Jairam Ramesh defended the policy. But the political pressure at home in <u>India</u> was leaving the Indian delegation in Copenhagen in a state of chaos as well. In fact, India's top environmental negotiator refused to travel to

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 258 of 290 pages

Copenhagen in protest of the government's newly-announced stance.

China and <u>India</u> were joined by <u>Brazil</u> and <u>South Africa</u> in the crafting of a draft document calling for a new global climate treaty to be completed by June 2010. Of concern has been the realization that there was insufficient time to find concurrence on a full legal treaty, which would leave countries only with a politically-binding text by the time the summit at Copenhagen closed. But Guyana's leader, President Bharrat Jagdeo, warned that the summit in <u>Denmark</u> would be classified as a failure unless a binding document was agreed upon instead of just political consensus. He urged his cohorts to act with purpose saying, "Never before have science, economics, geo-strategic self-interest and politics intersected in such a way on an issue that impacts everyone on the planet."

Likewise, <u>Tuvalu</u> demanded that legally binding agreements emerge from Copenhagen. Its proposal was supported by many of the vulnerable countries, from small island states and sub-Saharan Africa, all of whom warned of the catastrophic impact of climate change on their citizens. <u>Tuvalu</u> also called for more aggressive action, such as an amendment to the 1992 agreement, which would focus on sharp greenhouse gas emissions and the accepted rise in temperatures, due to the impact the rise in seas. The delegation from <u>Kiribati</u> joined the call by drawing attention to the fact that one village had to be abandoned due to waist-high water, and more such effects were likely to follow. Kiribati's Foreign Secretary, Tessie Lambourne, warned that the people of <u>Kiribati</u> could well be faced with no homeland in the future saying, "Nobody in this room would want to leave their homeland." But despite such impassioned pleas and irrespective of warnings from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change that the rise in sea level from melting polar ice caps would deleteriously affect low-lying atolls such as such as <u>Tuvalu</u> and <u>Kiribati</u> in the Pacific, and the <u>Maldives</u> in the Indian Ocean, the oil-giant <u>Saudi Arabia</u> was able to block this move.

Meanwhile, within the developed countries, yet another power struggle was brewing. The European Union warned it would only agree to raise its target of 20 percent greenhouse gas emissions reductions to 30 percent if the <u>United States</u> demonstrated that it would do more to reduce its own emissions. It was unknown if such pressure would yield results. <u>United States</u> President Barack Obama offered a "provisional" 2020 target of 17 percent reductions, noting that he could not offer greater concessions at Copenhagen due to resistance within the <u>United States</u> Congress, which was already trying to pass a highly controversial "cap and trade" emissions legislation. However, should that emissions trading bill fail in the Senate, the <u>United States</u> Environment Protection Agency's declaration that greenhouse gases pose a danger to human health and the environment was expected to facilitate further regulations and limits on power plants and factories at the national level. These moves could potentially strengthen the Obama administration's offering at Copenhagen. As well, President Obama also signaled that he would be willing to consider the inclusion of international forestry credits.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 259 of 290 pages

Such moves indicated willingness by the Obama administration to play a more constructive role on the international environmental scene than its predecessor, the Bush administration. Indeed, ahead of his arrival at the Copenhagen summit, President Barack Obama's top environmental advisors promised to work on a substantial climate change agreement. To that end, <u>United States</u> Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Lisa Jackson said at a press conference, "We are seeking robust engagement with all of our partners around the world." But would this proengagement assertion yield actual results?

By Dec. 12, 2009, details related to a draft document prepared by Michael Zammit Cutajar, the head of the Ad-hoc Working Group on Long-Term Cooperative Action, were released at the Copenhagen climate conference. Included in the document were calls for countries to make major reductions in carbon emissions over the course of the next decade. According to the Washington Post, industrialized countries were called on to make cuts of between 25 percent and 40 percent below 1990 levels -- reductions that were far more draconian than the <u>United States</u> was likely to accept. As discussed above, President Obama had offered a provisional reduction target of 17 percent. The wide gap between the released draft and the United States' actual stated position suggested there was much more negotiating in the offing if a binding agreement could be forged, despite the Obama administration's claims that it was seeking greater engagement on this issue.

In other developments, the aforementioned call for financial support of developing countries to deal with the perils of climate change was partly answered by the European Union on Dec. 11, 2009. The European bloc pledged an amount of 2.4 billion euros (US\$3.5 billion) annually from 2010 to 2012. Environment Minister Andreas Carlgren of <a href="Sweden">Sweden</a> -- the country that holds the rotating presidency of the European Union at the time of the summit -- put his weight behind the notion of a "legally binding deal." Meanwhile, Yvo de Boer, a top United Nations climate change official, focused less on the essence of the agreement and more on tangible action and effects saying, "Copenhagen will only be a success if it delivers significant and immediate action that begins the day the conference ends."

The division between developed and developing countries in Copenhagen reached new heights on Dec. 14, 2009, when some of the poor and less developed countries launched a boycott at the summit. The move, which was spurred by African countries but backed by China and India, appeared to be geared toward redirecting attention and primary responsibility to the wealthier and more industrialized countries. The impasse was resolved after the wealthier and more industrialized countries offered assurances that they did not intend on shirking from their commitments to reducing greenhouse gases. As a result, the participating countries ceased the boycott.

Outside the actual summit, thousands of protestors had gathered to demand crucial global warming, leading to clashes between police and demonstrators elsewhere in the Danish capital city. There were reports of scattered violence across Copenhagen and more than 1,000 people were arrested.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 260 of 290 pages

Nevertheless, by the second week of the climate change summit, hopes of forging a strong deal were eroding as developed and developing nations remained deadlocked on sharing cuts in greenhouse gases, and particularly on the matters of financing and temperature goals. In a bid to shore up support for a new climate change, <u>United States</u> President Barack Obama joined other world leaders in Copenhagen. On Dec. 14, 2009, there was a standoff brewing between the <u>United States</u> and <u>China</u>. At issue was China's refusal to accept international monitoring of its expressed targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The <u>United States</u> argued that China's opposition to verification could be a deal-breaker.

By the close of the summit, the difficult process eventually resulted in some consensus being cultivated. A draft text called for \$100 billion a year by 2020 to assist poor nations cope with climate change, while aiming to limit global warming to two degrees Celsius compared with preindustrial levels. The deal also included specific targets for developed countries to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and called for reductions by developing countries as a share of their economies. Also included in the agreement was a mechanism to verify compliance. The details of the agreement were supported by President Barack Obama, Chinese Premier Wen Jiabao, Indian Prime Minister Manmohan Singh and Brazilian President Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva.

This draft would stand as an interim agreement, with a legally-binding international pact unlikely to materialize until 2010. In this way, the summit in Copenhagen failed to achieve its central objective, which was to negotiate a successor to the Kyoto Protocol on greenhouse gas emissions.

#### Editor's Note

In the background of these developments was the growing global consciousness related to global warming and climate change. Indeed, as the Copenhagen summit was ongoing, it was clear there was enormous concurrence on the significance of the stakes with an editorial on the matter of climate change being published in 56 newspapers in 45 countries. That editorial warned that without global action, climate change would "ravage our planet." Meanwhile, a global survey taken by Globescan showed that concern over global warming had exponentially increased from 1998 -- when only 20 percent of respondents believed it to be a serious problem -- to 64 percent in 2009. Such survey data, however, was generated ahead of the accusations by climate change skeptics that some climate scientists may have overstated the case for global warming, based on emails derived in an illicit manner from a British University.

## Special Entry: Climate change talks in Doha in **Qatar** extend life of Kyoto Protocol (2012)

December 2012 saw climate talks ensue in the Qatari city of Doha as representatives from countries across the world gathered to discuss the fate of the Kyoto Protocol, which seeks to

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 261 of 290 pages

minimize greenhouse gas emissions. The summit yielded results with decisions made (1) to extend the Kyoto Protocol until 2020, and (2) for wealthier countries to compensate poorer countries for the losses and damage incurred as a result of climate change.

In regards to the second matter, Malia Talakai of Nauru, a leading negotiator for the Alliance of Small Island States, explained the necessity of the compensation package as follows: "We are trying to say that if you pollute you must help us."

This measure was being dubbed the "Loss and Damage" mechanism, and was being linked with <u>United States</u> President Barack Obama's request for \$60 billion from Congress to deal with the devastation caused by Hurricane Sandy months before. The sight of a hurricane bearing down on the northern Atlantic seaboard, along with the reality of the scope of reconstruction, appeared to have illustrated the economic costs of climate change -- not so much as a distant environmental issue -- but as a danger to the quotidian lives of people. Still, there was blame to be placed on the <u>United States</u> and European countries -- some of world's largest emitters -- for failing to do more to reduce emissions.

To that latter end, there was in fact little progress made on the central issue of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Had those emissions been reduced, there would have been less of a need to financially deal with the devastation caused by climate change. One interpretation was that the global community was accepting the fact that industrialization was contributing to global warming, which had deleterious effects on the polar ice caps and concomitantly on the rise of sea level, with devastating effects for small island nations. Thus, wealthier countries were willing to pay around \$10 billion a year through 2020, effectively in "damages," to the poor countries that could be viewed as the "collateral damage" of industrial progress. But damages today could potentially be destruction tomorrow, leaving in place the existential challenges and burdens to be born by some of the world's smallest and least wealthy island countries.

Perhaps not surprisingly, the representative for the small island nation states at the Doha summit responded with ire, characterizing the lack of progress on reducing emissions as follows: "We see the package before us as deeply deficient in mitigation (carbon cuts) and finance. It's likely to lock us on the trajectory to a 3,4,5C rise in global temperatures, even though we agreed to keep the global average temperature rise of 1.5C to ensure survival of all islands. There is no new finance (for adapting to climate change and getting clean energy) -- only promises that something might materialize in the future. Those who are obstructive need to talk not about how their people will live, but whether our people will live."

Indeed, in most small island countries not just in the Pacific, but also the Caribbean and Indian Ocean, ecological concerns and the climate crisis have been dominant themes with dire life and death consequences looming in the background for their people. Small island nations in these region are already at risk from the rise of sea-level, tropical cyclones, floods. But their very

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 262 of 290 pages

livelihoods of fishing and subsistence farming were also at risk as a result of ecological and environmental changes. Increasingly high storm surges can wipe out entire villages and contaminate water supplies. Accordingly, the very existence of island nations, such as <u>Kiribati</u> and <u>Tuvalu</u>, are at severe risk of being obliterated from the map. Yet even with the existential threat of being wiped off the map in the offing, the international community has been either slow or restrictive in its efforts to deal with global warming, climate change, economic and ecological damage, as well as the emerging global challenge of environmental refugees.

A 2012 report from the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) and the Pacific Regional Environment Program underlined the concerns of small island nations and their people as it concluded that the livelihoods of approximately 10 million people in Pacific island communities were increasingly vulnerable to climate change. In fact, low-lying islands in that region would likely confront losses of up to 18 percent of gross domestic product due to climate change, according to the report. The report covers 21 countries and territories, including Fiji, Kiribati, Samoa and Tonga, and recommended environmental legislation intended to deal with the climate crisis facing the small island countries particularly. As noted by David Sheppard, the director general of the Pacific Regional Environment Program that co-sponsored this study: "The findings... emphasize the need more than ever to raise the bar through collective actions that address the region's environmental needs at all levels."

Regardless of the failures of the summit in <u>Qatar</u> (discussed above), the meeting did facilitate a process starting in 2015, which would bind both wealthy and poor countries together in the mission of forging a new binding treaty that would replace the Kyoto Protocol and tackle the central causes of climate change.

For more information on the threats faced in small island nations by climate change and the measures being undertaken to lobby for international action, please see the Alliance for Small Island States available online at the URL: http://aosis.org/

## Special Report

COP 21 summit in Paris ends with historic agreement to tackle climate change; rare international consensus formed on environmental crisis facing the planet (2015) --

In mid-December 2015, the highly-anticipated United Nations climate conference of parties (COP) in Paris, <u>France</u>, ended with a historic agreement. In fact, it would very likely be understood as the most significant international agreement signed by all the recognized countries of the world since the Cold War. Accordingly, the Paris Agreement was being distinguished as the first multilateral pact that would compel all countries across the world to cut its carbon emissions -- one of the major causes of increasing greenhouse gas emissions, which contribute to global warming,

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 263 of 290 pages

and its deleterious effects ranging from the dangerous rise in sea level to catastrophic climate change.

The accord, which was dubbed to be the "Paris Agreement," was the work of rigorous diplomacy and fervent environmental advocacy, and it aimed to address the climate change crisis facing the planet. As many as 195 countries were represented in the negotiations that led to the landmark climate deal. Indeed, it was only after weeks of passionate debate that international concurrence was reached in addressing the environmental challenges confronting the world, with particular attention to moving beyond fossil fuels and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

The success of the COP 21 summit in Paris and the emergence of the landmark Paris Agreement was, to some extent, attributed to the efforts of France's Foreign Minister Laurent Fabius who presided over the negotiations. The French foreign minister's experience and credentials as a seasoned diplomat and respected statesman paid dividends. He skillfully guided the delegates from almost 200 countries and interest groups along the negotiations process, with ostensibly productive results and a reasonably robust deal to show for it.

On Dec. 12, 2015, French Foreign Minister Fabius officially adopted the agreement, declaring: "I now invite the COP to adopt the decision entitled Paris Agreement outlined in the document. Looking out to the room I see that the reaction is positive, I see no objections. The Paris agreement is adopted." Once Foreign Minister Fabius' gavel was struck, symbolically inaugurating the Paris Agreement into force, the COP delegate rushed to their feet with loud and bouyant cheers as well as thunderous applause.

In general, the Paris Agreement was being hailed as a victory for environmental activists and a triumph for international diplomats, while at the same time being understood as simply an initial -- and imperfect -- move in the direction of a sustainable future. China's chief negotiator, Xie Zhenhua, issued this message, saying that while the accord was not ideal, it should "not prevent us from marching historical steps forward."

United States President Barack Obama lauded the deal as both "ambitious" and "historic," and the work of strenuous multilateral negotiations as he declared, "Together, we've shown what's possible when the world stands as one." The <u>United States</u> leader acknowledged that the accord was not "perfect," but he reminded the critics that it was "the best chance to save the one planet we have."

Former <u>United States</u> Vice President Al Gore, one of the world's most well known environmental advocates, issued a lengthy statement on the accompishments ensconced in the Paris Agreement. He highlighted the fact that the Paris Agreement was a first step towards a future with a reduced carbon footprint on Planet Earth as he said, "The components of this agreement -- including a strong review mechanism to enhance existing commitments and a long-term goal to eliminate global-warming pollution this century -- are essential to unlocking the necessary investments in our

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 264 of 290 pages

future. No agreement is perfect, and this one must be strengthened over time, but groups across every sector of society will now begin to reduce dangerous carbon pollution through the framework of this agreement."

The central provisions of the Paris Agreement included the following items:

- Greenhouse gas emissions should peak as quickly as possible, with a move towards balancing energy sources, and ultimately the decrease of greenhouse gases in the second half of this century
- Global temperature increase would be limited to 1.5 degrees Centigrade above pre-industrial levels and would be held "well below" the two degrees Centigrade threshold
- Progress on these goals would be reviewed every five years beginning in 2020 with new greenhouse gas reduction targets issued every five years
- \$100 billion would be expended each year in climate finance for developing countries to move forward with green technologies, with further climate financing to be advanced in the years beyond

It should be noted that there both legally binding and voluntary elements contained within the Paris Agreement. Specifically, the submission of an emissions reduction target and the regular review of that goal would be legally mandatory for all countries. Stated differently, there would be a system in place by which experts would be able to track the carbon-cutting progress of each country. At the same time, the specific targets to be set by countries would be determined at the discretion of the countries, and would not be binding. While there was some criticism over this non-binding element, the fact of the matter was that the imposition of emissions targets was believed to be a major factor in the failure of climate change talks in Copenhagen, Denmark, in 2009.

In 2015, the talks faced challenges as several countries, such as China and India, objected to conditions that would stymie economic and development. In order to avoid that kind of landmine, a system Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs) was developed and formed the basis of the accord. As such, the Paris Agreement would, in fact, facilitate economic growth and development, as well as technological progress, but with the goal of long-term ecological sustainability based on low carbon sources. In fact, the agreement heralded as "the beginning of the end of the fossil fuel era." As noted by Nick Mabey, the head of the climate diplomacy organization E3G, said, "Paris means governments will go further and faster to tackle climate change than ever before. The transition to a low carbon economy is now unstoppable, ensuring the end of the fossil fuel age."

A particular sticking point in the agreement was the \$100 billion earmarked for climate financing for developing countries to transition from traditional fossil fuels to green energy technologies and a low carbon future. In 2014, a report by the International Energy Agency indicated that the cost of that transition would actually be around \$44 trillion by the mid-century -- an amount that would render the \$100 billion being promised to be a drop in the proverbial bucket. However, the general

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 265 of 290 pages

expectation was that the Republican-controlled Senate in the <u>United States</u>, which would have to ratify the deal in that country, was not interested in contributing significant funds for the cause of climate change.

A key strength of the Paris Agreement was the ubiquitous application of measures to all countries. Of note was the frequently utilized concept of "flexibility" with regard to the Paris Agreement. Specifically, the varying capacities of the various countries in meeting their obligations would be anticipated and accorded flexibility. This aspect presented something of a departure from the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, which drew a sharp distinction between developed and developing countries, and mandated a different set of obligations for those categories of countries. Thus, under Kyoto, China and India were not held to the same standards as the United States and European countries. In the Paris Agreement, there would be commitments from all countries across the globe.

Another notable strength of the Paris Agreement was the fact that the countries of the world were finally able to reach consensus on the vital necessity to limit global temperature increases to 1.5 degrees Centrigrade. Ahead of the global consensus on the deal, and as controversy continued to surface over the targeted global temperature limits, the leaders of island countries were sounding the alarm about the melting of the Polar ice caps and the associated rise in seal level. Prime Minister Enele Sopoaga of <u>Tuvalu</u> issued this dismal reminder: "Tuvalu's future ... is already bleak and any further temperature increase will spell the total demise of <u>Tuvalu</u>. No leader in this room carries such a level of worry and responsibility. Just imagine you are in my shoes, what would you do?" It was thus something of a victory for environmental advocates that the countries of the world could find ensensus on the lower number -- 1.5 degrees rather than 2 degrees.

A significant weak point with regard to the Paris deal was a "loss and damage" provision, which anticipates that even with all the new undertakings intended to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and move to a low carbon future, there would nonetheless be unavoidable climate change consequences. Those consequences ranged from the loss of arable land for farmers as well as soil erosion and contamination of potable water by sea water, to the decimation of territory in coastal zones and on small islands, due to the rise in sea level, with entire small island countries being rendered entirely uninhabitable. The reality was that peoples' homes across the world would be destroyed along with their way of life.

With that latter catastrophic effect being a clear and present danger for small island countries, the Association of Small Island States (AOSIS) demanded that the developed world acknowledge its responsibility for this irreversible damage. Despite the fact that greenhouse gas emissions and the ensuing plague of global warming was, indeed, the consequence of development in the West (the <u>United States</u> and Europe) and the large power house countries, such as <u>Russia</u>, <u>China</u> and <u>India</u>, there was no appetite by those countries to sign on to unlimited liability. Under the Paris Agreement, there was a call for research on insurance mechanisms that would address loss and

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 266 of 290 pages

damage issues, with recommendations to come in the future.

The call for research was being regarded as an evasion of sorts and constituted the weakest aspect of the Paris Agreement. Not surprisingly, a coalition of small island nations demanded a "Marshall Plan" for the Pacific. Borrowing the term "Marshall Plan" from the post-World War II reconstruction effort, the coalition of Pacific island nation, which included Kiribati, Tuvalu, Fiji, and the Marshall Islands, called for an initiative that would include investment in renewable energy and shoreline protection, cultural preservation, economic assistance for economies in transition, and a plan for migration and resettlement for these countries as they confront the catastrophic effects of the melting of the Polar ice caps and the concomitant rise in sea level. The precise contours of the initiative remained unknown, unspecified, and a mere exercise in theory at the time of writing. Yet such an initiative would, at some point, have to be addressed, given the realities of climate change and the slow motion calamity unfolding each day for low-lying island nations across the world.

As noted by Vice President Greg Stone of Conservation International, who also functions as an adviser to the government of Kiribati, "Imagine living in a place where you know it's going to go away someday, but you don't know what day that wave's going to come over and wash your home away." He added, "It's a disaster we know is going to happen." Meanwhile, the intervening years promised to be filled with hardship for small island nations, such as Kiribati. Stone explained, "For every inch of sea-level rise, these islands lose 10 feet of their freshwater table to saltwater intrusion," Stone explained. "So it's not just about the day the water finally goes over the island; it's also about the day that there's just not enough water left and everyone has to move off the island." Presaging the future for island nations that could face submersion, Stone said, "If you look ahead 50 years, a country like Kiribati could become the first aqueous nation. possibility of migration. That is, they own this big patch of ocean, and they administer it from elsewhere."

Foreign Minister Minister Tony Debrum of the Marshall Islands emerged as the champion advocating on behalf of small island nation states and a loose coalition of concerned countries from the Pacific to the Caribbean, but with support from the United States. He addressed the comprehensive concerns of small island nations regarding the weaknesses of the deal, while simultaneously making clear that the Paris Agreement signified hope for the countries most at risk. In a formal statement, Debrum declared: "We have made history today. Emissions targets are still way off track, but this agreement has the tools to ramp up ambition, and brings a spirit of hope that we can rise to this challenge. I can go back home to my people and say we now have a pathway to survival." Debrum highlighted the imperatives of Pacific island nations, saying, "Our High Ambition Coalition was the lightning rod we needed to lift our sights and expectations for a strong agreement here in Paris. We were joined by countries representing more than half the world. We said loud and clear that a bare-bones, minimalist agreement would not fly. We instead demanded an agreement to mark a turning point in history, and the beginning of our journey to the post-carbon

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 267 of 290 pages

era."

Debrum of the <u>Marshall Islands</u> espoused the quintessential synopsis of the accord and its effects for those most likely to be affected by climate change as he noted, "Climate change won't stop overnight, and my country is not out of the firing line just yet, but today we all feel a little safer."

## Editor's Entry on **Environmental Policy**:

The low-lying Pacific island nations of the world, including <u>Kiribati</u>, <u>Tuvalu</u>, the <u>Marshall Islands</u>, <u>Fiji</u>, among others, are vulnerable to the threats posed by global warming and cimate change, derived from carbon emissions, and resulting in the rise in sea level. Other island nations in the Caribbean, as well as poor countries with coastal zones, were also at particular risk of suffering the deleterious effects of climate change.

Political policy in these countries are often connected to ecological issues, which have over time morphed into an existential crisis of sorts. Indeed, ecological concerns and the climate crisis have also been dominant themes with life and death consequences for the people of island nations in the Pacific. Indeed, the very livelihoods of fishing and subsistence farming remain at risk as a result of ecological and environmental changes. Yet even so, these countries are threatened by increasingly high storm surges, which could wipe out entire villages and contaminate water supplies. Moreover, because these are low lying island nations, the sustained rise in sea level can potentially lead to the terrain of these countries being unihabitable at best, and submerged at worst. Stated in plain terms, these countries are at severe risk of being obliterated from the map and their plight illuminates the emerging global challenge of environmental refugees. In these manifold senses, climate change is the existential crisis of the contemporary era.

Since the time of the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, there have been efforts aimed at extending the life of that agreement, with an eye on minimizing greenhouse gas emissions, and thus minimizing the effects of climate change. Those endeavors have largely ended in failure, as exemplified by the unsuccessful Copenhagen talks in 2009 and the fruitless Doha talks in 2012 respectively. The success of the COP 21 talks in France, with the adoption of the landmark Paris Agreement in 2015, was regarded as the first glimmer of hope. Not only did the Paris Agreement signify the triumph of international diplomacy and global consensus, but it also marked the start of the end of the fossil fuel era, with the path forward toward a low carbon future reliant on greener technologies. Most crucially, the Paris Agreement stood as the first significant response in recent times to the central challenge of climate change and its quotidian effects on the lives of real human beings across the world.

## 1. Major International Environmental Accords:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 268 of 290 pages

## **General Environmental Concerns**

Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context, Espoo, 1991.

## **Accords Regarding Atmosphere**

Annex 16, vol. II (Environmental Protection: Aircraft Engine Emissions) to the 1044 Chicago Convention on International Civil Aviation, Montreal, 1981

Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP), Geneva, 1079

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), New York, 1002

Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer, Vienna, 1985 including the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Depleted the Ozone Layer, Montreal, 1987

## **Accords Regarding Hazardous Substances**

Convention on the Ban of the Import into Africa and the Control of Transboundary Movements and Management of Hazardous Wastes within Africa, Bamako, 1991

Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Caused during Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road, Rail and Inland Navigation Vessels (CRTD), Geneva, 1989

Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (Basel Convention), Basel, 1989

Convention on the Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, Helsinki, 1992

Convention to Ban the Importation into Forum Island Countries of Hazardous and Radioactive Wastes and to Control the Transboundary Movement and Management of Hazardous Wastes within the South Pacific Region (Waigani Convention), Waigani, 1995

European Agreement Concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR), Geneva 1957

FAO International Code of Conduct on the Distribution and Use of Pesticides, Rome, 1985

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 269 of 290 pages

## 2. Major International Marine Accords:

#### **Global Conventions**

Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (London Convention 1972), London, 1972

International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973, as modified by Protocol of 1978 relation thereto (MARPOL 73/78), London, 1973 and 1978

International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage 1969 (1969 CLC), Brussels, 1969, 1976, and 1984

International Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage 1971 (1971 Fund Convention), Brussels, 1971

Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage in Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances by Sea (HNS), London 1996

International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response, and Co-operation (OPRC), London, 1990

International Convention Relation to Intervention on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution Casualties (Intervention Convention), Brussels, 1969

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), Montego Bay, 1982

## **Regional Conventions**

Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping from Ships and Aircraft (Oslo Convention), Oslo, 1972

Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution from Land-based Sources (Paris Convention), Paris, 1974

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention), Paris, 1992

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the Baltic Sea Area (1974 Helsinki

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 270 of 290 pages

Convention), Helsinki 1974

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the Baltic Sea Area (1992 Helsinki Convention), Helsinki 1992

Conventions within the UNEP Regional Seas Programme

Convention on the Protection of the Black Sea against Pollution, Bucharest, 1992

Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment of the Wider Caribbean Region, Cartagena de Indias, 1983

Convention for the Protection, Management, and Development of the Marine and Coastal Environment of the Eastern African Region, Nairobi, 1985

Kuwait Regional Convention for Co-operation on the Protection of the Marine Environment from Pollution, <u>Kuwait</u>, 1978

Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment and Coastal Region of the Mediterranean Sea (Barcelona Convention), Barcelona, 1976

Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Environment, Jeddah, 1982

Convention for the Protection of the Natural Resources and Environment of the South Pacific Region, Noumea, 1986

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and Coastal Area of the South-East Pacific, Lima, 1981

Convention for Co-operation in the Protection and Development of the Marine and Coastal Environment of the West and Central African Region, Abidjan, 1981

## 3. Major Conventions Regarding Living Resources:

## **Marine Living Resources**

Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), Canberra, 1980

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 271 of 290 pages

International Convention for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT), Rio de Janeiro, 1966

International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (ICRW), Washington, 1946

## **Nature Conservation and Terrestrial Living Resources**

Antarctic Treaty, Washington, D.C., 1959

Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (World Heritage Convention), Paris, 1972

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Nairobi, 1992

Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS), Bonn, 1979

Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), Washington, D.C., 1973

Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (Ramsar Convention), Ramsar, 1971

Convention to Combat Desertification (CCD), Paris 1994

FAO International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources, Rome, 1983

International Tropical Timber Agreement, 1994 (ITTA, 1994), Geneva, 1994

#### **Freshwater Resources**

Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes, Helsinki, 1992

## 4. Major Conventions Regarding Nuclear Safety:

Convention on Assistance in the Case of a Nuclear Accident or Radiological Emergency (Assistance Convention), Vienna, 1986

Convention on Early Notification of a Nuclear Accident (Notification Convention), Vienna, 1986

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 272 of 290 pages

Convention on Nuclear Safety, Vienna, 1994

Vienna Convention on Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage, Vienna, 1963

## 5. Major Intergovernmental Organizations

Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD)

European Union (EU): Environment

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)

Global Environment Facility (GEF)

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES)

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)

International Labour Organization (ILO)

International Maritime Organization (IMO)

International Monetary Fund (IMF)

International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds (IOPC Funds)

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Environment Policy Committee (EPOC)

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)

United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 273 of 290 pages

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO)

United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)

World Bank

World Food Programme (WFP)

World Health Organization (WHO)

World Meteorological Organization (WMO)

World Trade Organization (WTO)

## 6. Major Non-Governmental Organizations

Atmosphere Action Network East Asia (AANEA)

Climate Action Network (CAN)

Consumers International (CI)

Earth Council

**Earthwatch Institute** 

Environmental Liaison Centre International (ELCI)

European Environmental Bureau (EEB)

Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)

Friends of the Earth International (FoEI)

Greenpeace International

International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)

International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU)

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 274 of 290 pages

International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF)

International Solar Energy Society (ISES)

**IUCN-The World Conservation Union** 

Pesticide Action Network (PAN)

Sierra Club

Society for International Development (SID)

Third World Network (TWN)

Water Environment Federation (WEF)

Women's Environment and Development Organization (WEDO)

World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)

World Federalist Movement (WFM)

World Resources Institute (WRI)

World Wide Fund For Nature (WWF)

## 7. Other Networking Instruments

Arab Network for Environment and Development (RAED)

Global Legislators for a Balanced Environment (GLOBE)

Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe (REC)

United Nations Non-Governmental Liaison Service (UN-NGLS)

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 275 of 290 pages

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 276 of 290 pages

# **Appendices**

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 277 of 290 pages

## **Bibliography**

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

**Sources: Key Data** 

Altapedia. URL: http://www.atlapedia.com/online/country\_index.htm

Ethnologue. URL: <a href="http://www.ethnologue.com">http://www.ethnologue.com</a>

Geobase Global Statistics. URL: <a href="http://www.geoba.se">http://www.geoba.se</a>

Infoplease: URL: <a href="http://www.infoplease.com">http://www.infoplease.com</a>

The Statesman's Year Book 2006. Barry Turner, ed. London: St. Martin's Press.

<u>United States</u> Department of State, Background Notes. URL: <a href="http://www.state.gov/www/background-notes/index.htm">http://www.state.gov/www/background-notes/index.htm</a>

<u>United States</u> Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: <a href="http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html">http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html</a>

World Bank. URL: http://www.worldbank.org/

World Climate Data Online. URL: <a href="http://www.worldclimate.com">http://www.worldclimate.com</a>

## Methodology Note for Demographic Data:

The demographic numbers for cities and national populations listed in CountryWatch content are derived from the Geoba.se website, which analyzes data from the World Bank. The current demographic numbers displayed on the Countrywatch website are reflective of the latest available estimates.

The demographic information for language, ethnicity and religion listed in CountryWatch content is

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 278 of 290 pages

derived from a mix of sources including the Altapedia, Central Intelligence Agency Factbook, Infoplease, and State Department Background Notes.

## **Sources: Political Overview**

Agence France Presse. URL: http://www.afp.com/en/

BBC International News. URL: <a href="http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/">http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/</a> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Britannica Book of the Year. 1998-present. David Calhoun, ed. Chicago: Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc.

Britannica Online URL: <a href="http://www.eb.com">http://www.eb.com</a>

Britannica Year in Review. URL: <a href="http://www.britannica.com/browse/year">http://www.britannica.com/browse/year</a>

Chiefs of State and Cabinet Members of Foreign Governments. URL: <a href="http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/chiefs/index.html">http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/chiefs/index.html</a>

Christian Science Monitor. URL: <a href="http://www.csmonitor.com/">http://www.csmonitor.com/</a> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

CNN International News. URL: <a href="http://www.cnn.com/WORLD/">http://www.cnn.com/WORLD/</a> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Current Leaders of Nations. 1997. Jennifer Mossman, ed. Detroit: Gale Research

The Economist Magazine. (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

The Economist Country Briefings. URL: <a href="http://www.economist.com/countries/">http://www.economist.com/countries/</a>

Eldis Country Profiles. URL: <a href="http://www.eldis.org/country/index.htm">http://www.eldis.org/country/index.htm</a>

Elections Around the World. URL: <a href="http://www.electionworld.org/">http://www.electionworld.org/</a>

Election Resources. URL: <a href="http://electionresources.org/">http://electionresources.org/</a>

Europa World Yearbook 1999. Vols. I & II. 1999. London: Europa Publications Ltd.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 279 of 290 pages

Europe World Online. URL: <a href="http://www.europaworld.com/pub/">http://www.europaworld.com/pub/</a>

Financial Times. URL: <a href="http://www.financialtimes.com">http://www.financialtimes.com</a>

Foreign Government Resources. URL: <a href="http://www.lib.umich.edu/govdocs/foreign.html">http://www.lib.umich.edu/govdocs/foreign.html</a>

Human Rights Watch. URL: http://www.hrw.org

IFES Election Guide. URL: <a href="http://www.electionguide.org">http://www.electionguide.org</a>

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. URL: <a href="http://www.idea.int/">http://www.idea.int/</a>

International Who's Who 1997-1998, 61st Edition. 1997. London: Europa Publications Ltd.

Leadership Views, Chiefs of State Online. URL: <a href="http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/chiefs/index.html">http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/chiefs/index.html</a>

Library of Congress Country Studies. URL: <a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/frd/cs/cshome.html">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/frd/cs/cshome.html</a>

New Encyclopedia Britannica. 1998. Chicago: Encyclopedia Britannica Inc.

New York Times. URL: <a href="http://www.nytimes.com">http://www.nytimes.com</a> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Patterns of Global Terrorism. n.d. <u>United States</u> Department of State. Washington D.C.: <u>United States</u> Department of State Publications.

Political Handbook of the World. n.d. Arthur S. Banks, Thomas C. Muller, ed. Binghamton, New York: CSA Publications.

Political Reference Almanac Online. URL: <a href="http://www.polisci.com/almanac/nations.htm">http://www.polisci.com/almanac/nations.htm</a>

Reuters News. URL: <a href="http://www.reuters.com/">http://www.reuters.com/</a>

Rulers. URL: <a href="http://rulers.org/">http://rulers.org/</a>

The Guardian Online. URL: <a href="http://www.guardian.co.uk/">http://www.guardian.co.uk/</a> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

The Statesman's Year-Book 2006. Barry Turner, ed. London: St. Martin's Press.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 280 of 290 pages

United Nations Development Programme. URL: <a href="http://hdr.undp.org">http://hdr.undp.org</a>

United Nations Refugee Agency. URL: <a href="http://www.unhcr.org">http://www.unhcr.org</a>

<u>United States</u> Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: <a href="http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html">http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html</a>

<u>United States</u> Department of State, World Military Expenditures and Arms Transfers (WMEAT) URL: <a href="http://www.state.gov/www/global/arms/bureau">http://www.state.gov/www/global/arms/bureau</a> ac/reports ac.html

United States Department of State, Country Reports on <u>Human Rights</u> Practices. URL: <a href="http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2002/18245.htm">http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2002/18245.htm</a>

<u>United States</u> Department of State, Background Notes. URL: <a href="http://www.state.gov/www/background\_notes/index.html">http://www.state.gov/www/background\_notes/index.html</a>

Virtual Library: International Relations Resources. URL: <a href="http://www.etown.edu/vl/countgen.html">http://www.etown.edu/vl/countgen.html</a>

World Bank: Governance Indicators. URL: <a href="http://info.worldbank.org/governance">http://info.worldbank.org/governance</a>

-- See also list of News Wires services below, which are also used for research purposes. --

## Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original Country Reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

## **Sources: Economic Overview**

BP Statistical Review of World Energy. URL: http://www.bp.com/genericsection.do?categoryId=92&contentId=7005893

BP Statistical Review of World Energy, June 1998. 1998 to present. Page 1.C. London: The British Petroleum Company.

International Monetary Fund, Direction of Trade Statistics Yearbook. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 281 of 290 pages

International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics. 1998 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics Yearbook. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook, May 1999. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Labour Office, World Employment Report, 1998-99. 1998 to present. Geneva: International Labour Office.

United Nations Statistical Division Online. URL: http://unstats.un.org/unsd/default.htm

United Nations Statistics Division, Monthly Bulletin of Statistics (MBS On Line), November 1999 Edition. 1999 to present. New York: United Nations.

United Nations, Statistical Yearbook, 43rd Issue. 1999. 1999 to present New York: United Nations.

United Nations, Food & Agricultural Organization, FAOSTAT Database. URL: http://apps.fao.org/ United Nations, Comtrade Data Base, http://comtrade.un.org/

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs. URL:http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html

United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics Database

United States Geological Service, Mineral Information

United States Department of State, Country Commercial Guides. Washington, D.C. <u>United States</u> of America. URL:http://www.state.gov/www/about\_state/business/com\_guides/index.html

The World Bank, Global Development Finance, Country Tables. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

The World Bank Group, World Development Indicators. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

Yearbook of Tourism Statistics, World Tourism Organization. 1998 to present. Madrid: The World Tourism Organization.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 282 of 290 pages

#### Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

## Methodology Notes for Economic Data:

Estimates by CountryWatch.com of GDP in dollars in most countries are made by converting local currency GDP data from the International Monetary Fund World Economic Outlook to US dollars by market exchange rates estimated from the International Monetary Fund International Financial Statistics and projected out by the CountryWatch Macroeconomic Forecast. Real GDP was estimated by deflating current dollar values by the US GDP Implicit Price Deflator.

Exceptions to this method were used for:

- Bosnia-Herzegovina
- Nauru
- Cuba
- Palau
- Holy See
- San Marino
- Korea, North
- Serbia & Montenegro
- Liberia
- Somalia
- Liechtenstein
- Tonga
- Monaco
- Tuvalu

In these cases, other data and/or estimates by CountryWatch.com were utilized.

#### **Investment Overview**

Corruption and Transparency Index. URL: <a href="http://www.transparency.org/documents/cpi/2001/cpi2001.html#cpi">http://www.transparency.org/documents/cpi/2001/cpi2001.html#cpi</a>

Deloitte Tax Guides. URL: <a href="http://www.deloittetaxguides.com">http://www.deloittetaxguides.com</a>

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 283 of 290 pages

Trade Policy Reviews by the World Trade Organization . URL: <a href="http://www.wto.org/english/tratop\_e/tpr\_e/tp\_rep\_e.htm#bycountry">http://www.wto.org/english/tratop\_e/tpr\_e/tp\_rep\_e.htm#bycountry</a>

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs. URL: <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html">http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html</a>

<u>United States</u> Department of State, Background Notes. URL: <a href="http://www.state.gov/www/background-notes/index.html">http://www.state.gov/www/background-notes/index.html</a>

<u>United States</u> Department of State, Country Commercial Guides. 1996-2006. Washington, D.C. <u>United States</u> of America ca. URL: <a href="http://www.state.gov/www/about\_state/business/com\_guides/index.html">http://www.state.gov/www/about\_state/business/com\_guides/index.html</a>

World Bank: Doing Business. URL: <a href="http://www.doingbusiness.org">http://www.doingbusiness.org</a>

World Bank: Governance Indicators. URL: <a href="http://info.worldbank.org/governance">http://info.worldbank.org/governance</a>

## **Social Overview**

Borden, G.A., Conaway, W.A., Morrison, T. 1994. Kiss, Bow, or Shake Hands: How to do Business in Sixty Countries. Holbrook, Massachusetts, 1994.

Center for Disease Control. URL: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov">http://www.cdc.gov</a>

Eldis Country Profiles. URL: <a href="http://www.eldis.org/country/index.htm">http://www.eldis.org/country/index.htm</a>

Ethnologue. URL: <a href="http://www.ethnologue.com/">http://www.ethnologue.com/</a>

Government of <u>Australia</u> Department of Foreign Affiars and Trade. URL: <a href="http://www.dfat.gov.au/geo">http://www.dfat.gov.au/geo</a>

Government of <u>Canada</u> Foreign Affairs and International Trade. URL: <a href="http://www.voyage.gc.ca/consular\_home-e.htm">http://www.voyage.gc.ca/consular\_home-e.htm</a>

Library of Congress Country Studies. URL: <a href="http://lcweb2.loc.gov/frd/cs/cshome.html">http://lcweb2.loc.gov/frd/cs/cshome.html</a>

Lonely Planet. URL: <a href="http://www.lonelyplanet.com/worldguide/">http://www.lonelyplanet.com/worldguide/</a>

Steve Kropla's Online Help For World Travelers. URL: <a href="http://www.kropla.com/">http://www.kropla.com/</a>

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 284 of 290 pages

United Kingdom Ministry of Foreign and Commonwealth Office. URL: http://www.fco.gov.uk/

United Nations Human Development Report. URL: <a href="http://www.undp.org/hdro">http://www.undp.org/hdro</a>

UNICEF Statistical Database Online. URL: <a href="http://www.unicef.org/statis/atoz.html">http://www.unicef.org/statis/atoz.html</a>

<u>United States</u> Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. 2001. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: <a href="http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html">http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html</a>

United States Department of State, Background Notes. URL: <a href="http://www.state.gov/www/background\_notes/index.html">http://www.state.gov/www/background\_notes/index.html</a>

United States Department of State, Commercial and Business Affairs: Travel Tips. URL: <a href="http://www.state.gov/www/about\_state/business/cba\_travel.html">http://www.state.gov/www/about\_state/business/cba\_travel.html</a>

United States Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs. URL: <a href="http://travel.state.gov/">http://travel.state.gov/</a>

World Health Organization. URL: <a href="http://www.who.int/home-page/">http://www.who.int/home-page/</a>

World News Connection, National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia, USA.

Internet News Service, Xinhua News Agency (U.S.) Inc. Woodside, New York. URL: <a href="http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/">http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/</a>

## Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

## Methodology Notes for the HDI:

Since 1990, the United Nations Development Programme, in concert with organizations across the globe, has produced the <u>Human Development Index</u> (or HDI). According to the UNDP, the index measures average achievement in basic human development in one simple composite index, and produces from this index a ranking of countries. The HDI is a composite of three basic components of human development: longevity, knowledge and standard of living. Longevity is measured by life expectancy. Knowledge is measured by combination of adult literacy and mean

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 285 of 290 pages

years of schooling. Standard of living is measured by purchasing power, based on real GDP per capita (in constant US\$) adjusted for differences in international living costs (or, purchasing power parity, PPP). While the index uses these social indicators to measure national performance with regard to human welfare and development, not all countries provide the same level of information for each component needed to compute the index; therefore, as in any composite indicator, the final index is predicated on projections, predictions and weighting schemes. The index is a static measure, and thus, an incomplete measure of human welfare. In fact, the UNDP says itself the concept of human development focuses on the ends rather than the means of development and progress, examining in this manner, the average condition of all people in a given country.

Specifically, the index is calculated by determining the maximum and minimum for each of the three components (as listed above) and then measuring where each country stands in relation to these scales-expressed as a value between 0 and 1. For example, the minimum adult literary rate is zero percent, the maximum is 100 percent, and the reading skills component of knowledge in the HDI for a country where the literacy rate is 75 percent would be 0.75. The scores of all indicators are then averaged into the overall index.

For a more extensive examination of human development, as well as the ranking tables for each participating country, please visit: <a href="http://www.undp.org">http://www.undp.org</a>

## Note on History sections

In some Country Watch Country Reviews, open source content from the State Department Background Notes and Country Guides have been used.

#### **Environmental Overview**

Environmental Profiles: A Global Guide to Projects and People. 1993. Linda Sobel Katz, Sarah Orrick, and Robert Honig. New York: Garland Publishing.

The Environment Encyclopedia and Directory, 2nd Edition. 1998. London: Europa.

Environmental Protection Agency Global Warming Site. URL: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming">http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming</a>

Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations: Forestry. URL: <a href="http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/sofo/en/">http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/sofo/en/</a>

Global Warming Information Page. URL: <a href="http://globalwarming.org">http://globalwarming.org</a>

Introduction to Global Environmental Issues, 2nd Edition. 1997. Kevin Pickering and Lewis Owen.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 286 of 290 pages

London: Routledge.

Trends: Compendium of Data on Global Change. URL: <a href="http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/em\_cont.htm">http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/em\_cont.htm</a>

United Nations Environmental Program. URL: <a href="http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO/Products/Assessment Reports/">http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO/Products/Assessment Reports/</a>

United Nations Global Environmental Outlook. URL: <a href="http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/media/">http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/media/</a>

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs. URL: <a href="http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html">http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html</a>

World Climate Data Online. URL: http://www.worldclimate.com

World Directory of Country Environmental Studies. 1996. The World Resource Institute.

World Factbook. US Central Intelligence Agency. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group.

1998-1999 World Resources Guide to the Global Environment by the World Resources Institute. May, 1998.

1998/1999 Yearbook of International Cooperation on Environment and Development. 1998. London: Earthscan Publications.

#### Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

#### **Other Sources:**

General information has also been used in the compilation of this review, with the courtesy of governmental agencies from this country.

## **News Services:**

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 287 of 290 pages

CANA Daily Bulletin. Caribbean Media Agency Ltd., St. Michael, <u>Barbados</u>.

Central and Eastern Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for Central and Eastern Africa.

Daily News, Panafrican News Agency. Dakar, Senegal.

PACNEWS, Pacific Islands Broadcasting Association. Suva, Fiji.

Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty. Washington D.C. USA.

Reuters News. Thomson Reuters. New York, New York. USA.

Southern Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for Southern Africa.

Voice of America, English Service. Washington D.C.

West Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for West Africa. 1998-1999

<u>Note:</u> Some or all these news services have been used to research various sections of this Country Review.

## USING COUNTRYWATCH.COM AS AN ELECTRONIC SOURCE:

MLA STYLE OF CITATION

## **Commentary**

For items in a "Works Cited" list, Country Watch.com suggests that users follow recommended patterns for indentation given in the *MLA Handbook*, 4th edition.

#### **Individual Works**

## Basic form, using an Internet protocol:

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 288 of 290 pages

Author/editor. *Title of Print Version of Work*. Edition statement (if given). Publication information (Place of publication: publisher, date), if given. *Title of Electronic Work*. Medium. Available Protocol (if applicable):Site/Path/File. Access date.

## **Examples:**

Youngblood-Coleman, Denise. *Country Review: France*. 2003. Houston, Texas: CountryWatch Publications, 2003. *Country Review: France*. Online. Available URL: <a href="http://www.countrywatch.com/cw\_country.asp?vCOUNTRY=61">http://www.countrywatch.com/cw\_country.asp?vCOUNTRY=61</a> October, 12, 2003.

Note:

This is the citation format used when the print version is not used in the reference.

### **Parts of Works**

## Basic form, using an Internet protocol:

Author/editor. "Part title." *Title of Print Version of Work*. Edition statement (if given). Publication information (Place of publication: publisher, date), if given. *Title of Electronic Work*. Medium. AvailableProtocol (if applicable): Site/Path/File. Access date.

## **Examples:**

Youngblood-Coleman, Denise. "People." *CountryWatch.com: France*. 2003. Houston, Texas: CountryWatch Publications, 2003. *CountryWatch.com: France*. Online. Available URL: <a href="http://www.countrywatch.com/cw\_topic.asp?">http://www.countrywatch.com/cw\_topic.asp?</a><a href="http://www.countrywatch.com/cw\_topic.asp?">vCOUNTRY=61&SECTION=SOCIAL&TOPIC=CLPEO&TYPE=TEXT</a>. October 12, 2003.

## Note:

This is the citation format used when the print version is not used in the reference.

For further source citation information, please email: editor@countrywatch.com or education@countrywatch.com.

Vietnam Review 2016 Page 289 of 290 pages

## **CountryWatch**

CountryWatch is an information provider for public and private sector organizations that operate globally. The management of CountryWatch has extensive international experience and has utilized this experience to provide a concise and useful set of political, economic, and business information for its clients in the form of Country Reviews, the Country Wire, CountryWatch Data, Elections Central, CountryWatch Videos and CountryWatch Forecast.

This Country Review is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information on the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publication is not intended to provide legal, accounting, investment, or other professional advice.

CountryWatch believes that the information and opinions contained here in are reliable, but does not make any warranties, express or implied, and assumes no liability for reliance on or use of the information or opinions contained herein.

The offices of CountryWatch are located at:

CountryWatch, Inc. 5005 Riverway Suite 220 Houston, Texas 77056 U.S.A.

Tel: 800-879-3885 Fax: 713-355-3770

Web address: http://www.countrywatch.com

Email: support@countrywatch.com

ISBN: 1-60523-803-1 Vietnam Country Review 2016

ISSN: 1-60523-893-5

Printed in the United States of America